



**Westfield  
America Trust  
Financial Report  
31 December 2010**

**Westfield Group**

Westfield Holdings Limited  
ABN 66 001 671 496

**Westfield Trust**

ARSN 090 849 746  
(responsible entity Westfield Management Limited ABN 41 001 670 579, AFS Licence No 230329)

**Westfield America Trust**

ARSN 092 058 449  
(responsible entity Westfield America Management Limited ABN 66 072 780 619, AFS Licence No 230324)

**Registered Office**

Level 24, Westfield Towers  
100 William Street  
Sydney NSW 2011

Telephone: +61 2 9358 7000

Facsimile: +61 2 9358 7077

**United States Office**

12th Floor  
11601 Wilshire Boulevard  
Los Angeles California 90025

Telephone: +1 310 478 4456

Facsimile: +1 310 478 1267

**New Zealand Office**

Level 2, Office Tower  
277 Broadway  
Newmarket, Auckland 1023

Telephone: +64 9 978 5050

Facsimile: +64 9 978 5070

**United Kingdom Office**

6th Floor, MidCity Place  
71 High Holborn  
London WC1V 6EA

Telephone: +44 20 7061 1400

Facsimile: +44 20 7061 1401

**Secretaries**

Simon J Tuxen  
Maureen T McGrath

**Auditors**

Ernst & Young  
The Ernst & Young Centre  
680 George Street  
Sydney NSW 2000

**Investor Information**

Westfield Group  
Level 24, Westfield Towers  
100 William Street  
Sydney NSW 2011

Telephone: +61 2 9358 7877

Facsimile: +61 2 9358 7881

E-mail: investor@au.westfield.com

Website: www.westfield.com/corporate

**Principal Share Registry**

Computershare Investor  
Services Pty Limited  
Level 3, 60 Carrington Street  
Sydney NSW 2000  
GPO Box 2975  
Melbourne VIC 3001

Telephone: +61 3 9415 4070

Enquiries: 1300 132 211

Facsimile: +61 3 9473 2500

E-mail: web.queries@computershare.com.au

Website: www.computershare.com

**ADR Registry**

Bank of New York Mellon  
Depository Receipts Division  
101 Barclay Street  
22nd Floor  
New York, New York 10286  
Telephone: +1 212 815 2293  
Facsimile: +1 212 571 3050  
Website: www.adrbny.com

Code: WFGPY

**Listing**

Australian Securities Exchange – WDC

**Website**

westfield.com/corporate

# Financial Report

**WESTFIELD AMERICA TRUST**

For the financial year ended 31 December 2010

**Contents**

<b>2</b>	Income Statement
<b>3</b>	Statement of Comprehensive Income
<b>4</b>	Balance Sheet
<b>5</b>	Statement of Changes in Equity
<b>6</b>	Cash Flow Statement
<b>7</b>	Notes to the Financial Statements
<b>65</b>	Directors' Declaration
<b>66</b>	Independent Audit Report
<b>67</b>	Directors' Report
<b>69</b>	Corporate Governance Statement
<b>70</b>	Members' Information



The papers used in the production of this years Westfield Group reports are produced using environmentally responsible papers produced from FSC (mixed sources) certified pulp from well managed forests. Sumo Offset Laser is an environmentally responsible paper manufactured under the environmental management system ISO 14001 using Elemental Chlorine Free (ECF) pulp sourced from certified, well managed forests. Sumo Offset Laser is FSC Chain of Custody (CoC) certified (mixed sources), and both Novatech and Nordset are environmentally responsible papers produced from FSC (mixed sources) certified pulp from well managed forests and are Elemental Chlorine Free (ECF). They are manufactured by Nordland Papier, a company certified with environmental management systems ISO 14001 and EMAS, the EU Eco-management & Audit Scheme (Reg. No.D-162-00007). Nordset and Novatech have also been awarded the EU "Flower" Eco-label certification.

## Income Statement

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Revenue</b>			
Property revenue	3	1,387.8	1,584.7
Property development and project management revenue		10.0	26.1
Property and funds management income		34.5	35.4
		<b>1,432.3</b>	1,646.2
<b>Share of after tax profits/(loss) of equity accounted entities</b>			
Property revenue		204.0	237.6
Property revaluations		68.5	(328.0)
Property expenses, outgoings and other costs		(71.6)	(85.4)
Net interest expense		(47.1)	(56.7)
	13(a)	<b>153.8</b>	(232.5)
<b>Expenses</b>			
Property expenses, outgoings and other costs		(508.4)	(584.5)
Property development and project management costs		(41.0)	(51.6)
Property and funds management costs		(21.5)	(27.2)
Corporate costs		(8.3)	(8.8)
		<b>(579.2)</b>	(672.1)
Interest income		72.9	68.6
Currency derivatives	4	(105.5)	54.9
Financing costs	6	(486.7)	(555.6)
Gain/(loss) from capital transactions	5	(18.9)	54.4
Property revaluations		107.9	(2,057.3)
<b>Profit/(loss) before tax and non controlling interests</b>		<b>576.6</b>	(1,693.4)
Tax (expense)/benefit	7	(109.0)	176.3
<b>Profit/(loss) after tax for the period</b>		<b>467.6</b>	(1,517.1)
Less: net (profit)/loss attributable to non controlling interests	39	(39.2)	135.1
<b>Net profit/(loss) attributable to members of Westfield America Trust (WAT)</b>		<b>428.4</b>	(1,382.0)
		<b>cents</b>	<b>cents</b>
<b>Basic earnings/(loss) per unit</b>	8(a)	<b>18.56</b>	(61.45)
<b>Diluted earnings/(loss) per unit</b>	8(a)	<b>12.29</b>	(61.45)
		<b>\$million</b>	<b>\$million</b>
Final distribution proposed	25(a)	197.6	454.3
Interim distribution paid	25(b)	253.9	448.3
<b>Total distribution proposed/paid</b>		<b>451.5</b>	902.6
Weighted average number of units entitled to distribution at 31 December (millions)		<b>2,308.4</b>	2,369.4
		<b>cents</b>	<b>cents</b>
6 months ended 31 December			
<b>Distribution proposed per ordinary unit</b>		<b>8.56</b>	19.00
6 months ended 30 June			
<b>Distribution paid per ordinary unit</b>		<b>11.00</b>	19.00
<b>Distribution paid per Distribution Reinvestment Plan (DRP) unit</b>		<b>-</b>	19.00

## Statement of Comprehensive Income

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Profit/(loss) after tax for the period</b>	<b>467.6</b>	(1,517.1)
<b>Other comprehensive income</b>		
<i>Movements in foreign currency translation reserve</i>		
- Net exchange difference on translation of foreign operations	(500.6)	(1,623.9)
- Realised and unrealised gains on asset hedging derivatives which qualify for hedge accounting	234.7	533.9
<b>Total comprehensive income for the period</b>	<b>201.7</b>	(2,607.1)
<b>Total comprehensive income attributable to:</b>		
- Members of WAT	205.2	(2,307.0)
- Non controlling interests	(3.5)	(300.1)
<b>Total comprehensive income for the period</b>	<b>201.7</b>	(2,607.1)

## Balance Sheet

AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2010

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Current assets</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	24(a)	49.8	53.8
Trade debtors		19.1	21.0
Derivative assets	9	91.8	261.0
Receivables	10	143.0	431.9
Inventories		11.4	4.8
Tax receivable		6.3	15.7
Prepayments and deferred costs	11	62.3	56.9
<b>Total current assets</b>		<b>383.7</b>	845.1
<b>Non current assets</b>			
Investment properties	12	13,174.7	14,496.2
Equity accounted investments	13	1,339.6	1,368.8
Other investments	14	409.5	462.3
Derivative assets	9	1,008.2	924.7
Plant and equipment	15	86.6	98.3
Deferred tax assets	7	-	29.2
Prepayments and deferred costs	11	63.4	78.9
<b>Total non current assets</b>		<b>16,082.0</b>	17,458.4
<b>Total assets</b>		<b>16,465.7</b>	18,303.5
<b>Current liabilities</b>			
Trade creditors		42.3	59.8
Payables and other creditors	16	399.2	481.6
Interest bearing liabilities	17	863.1	437.4
Other financial liabilities	18	98.7	100.0
Tax payable		1.9	1.3
Derivative liabilities	19	271.8	206.3
<b>Total current liabilities</b>		<b>1,677.0</b>	1,286.4
<b>Non current liabilities</b>			
Payables and other creditors	16	53.2	123.0
Interest bearing liabilities	17	7,983.8	8,849.4
Other financial liabilities	18	1,177.0	1,559.4
Deferred tax liabilities	7	976.0	1,027.1
Derivative liabilities	19	156.7	498.3
<b>Total non current liabilities</b>		<b>10,346.7</b>	12,057.2
<b>Total liabilities</b>		<b>12,023.7</b>	13,343.6
<b>Net assets</b>		<b>4,442.0</b>	4,959.9
<b>Equity attributable to members of WAT</b>			
Contributed equity	20	8,409.5	8,406.9
Reserves	22	(514.5)	(291.5)
Accumulated losses	23	(3,782.0)	(3,502.2)
<b>Total equity attributable to members of WAT</b>		<b>4,113.0</b>	4,613.2
<b>Equity attributable to non controlling interests</b>			
Reserves		(207.7)	(165.0)
Retained profits		536.7	511.7
<b>Total equity attributable to non controlling interests</b>		<b>329.0</b>	346.7
<b>Total Equity</b>		<b>4,442.0</b>	4,959.9

## Statement of Changes in Equity

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	Note	Comprehensive Income 31 Dec 10 \$million	Movement in Equity 31 Dec 10 \$million	Total 31 Dec 10 \$million	Total 31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Changes in equity attributable to members of WAT</b>					
Opening balance of contributed equity		-	8,406.9	8,406.9	7,425.2
- Issuance of units		-	-	-	825.2
Share placement/share purchase plan		-	-	-	175.4
Distribution reinvestment plan		-	2.6	2.6	-
Conversion of options/rights		-	-	-	(18.9)
- Costs associated with the issuance of units		-	-	-	-
Closing balance of contributed equity		-	8,409.5	8,409.5	8,406.9
Opening balance of reserves		-	(291.5)	(291.5)	633.2
- Movement in foreign currency translation reserve <sup>(1) (2)</sup>		(223.2)	-	(223.2)	(925.0)
- Movement in employee share plan benefits reserve <sup>(1)</sup>		-	0.2	0.2	0.3
Closing balance of reserves		(223.2)	(291.3)	(514.5)	(291.5)
Opening balance of accumulated losses		-	(3,502.2)	(3,502.2)	(1,003.2)
- Profit/(loss) after tax for the period <sup>(2)</sup>		428.4	-	428.4	(1,382.0)
- Distributions paid		-	(708.2)	(708.2)	(801.6)
- Reallocation of Group net assets to other entities of the Westfield Group on issuance of shares by controlled entities	39	-	-	-	(315.4)
Closing balance of accumulated losses		428.4	(4,210.4)	(3,782.0)	(3,502.2)
<b>Closing balance of equity attributable to members of WAT</b>		205.2	3,907.8	4,113.0	4,613.2
<b>Changes in equity attributable to non controlling interests</b>					
Opening balance of equity		-	346.7	346.7	-
Shares issued by controlled entities	39	-	-	-	352.6
Reallocation of Group net assets to other entities of the Westfield Group on issuance of shares by controlled entities	39	-	-	-	315.4
Total comprehensive income attributable to non controlling interests <sup>(2)</sup>		(3.5)	-	(3.5)	(300.1)
Dividends paid or provided for		-	(14.2)	(14.2)	(21.2)
<b>Closing balance of equity attributable to non controlling interests</b>		(3.5)	332.5	329.0	346.7
<b>Total Equity</b>		201.7	4,240.3	4,442.0	4,959.9

<sup>(1)</sup> Movement in reserves attributable to members of WAT consists of the net exchange loss on translation of foreign operations of \$223.2 million (31 December 2009: loss of \$925.0 million) and net credit to the employee share plan benefits reserve of \$0.2 million (31 December 2009: \$0.3 million).

<sup>(2)</sup> Total comprehensive income for the period amounts to a gain of \$201.7 million (31 December 2009: loss of \$2,607.1 million). The comparative period consists of a loss attributable to non controlling interests of \$300.1 million and a loss attributable to members of WAT of \$2,307.0 million.

# Cash Flow Statement

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Receipts in the course of operations		1,443.3	1,696.2
Payments in the course of operations		(612.9)	(704.0)
Settlement of income hedging currency derivatives		26.4	27.6
Dividends/distributions received from equity accounted associates		72.8	83.8
Withholding taxes (paid)/received		(4.7)	10.0
<b>Net cash flows from operating activities</b>	24(b)	<b>924.9</b>	1,113.6
<b>Cash flows used in investing activities</b>			
Payments of capital expenditure for property investments		(279.5)	(458.5)
Payments for the acquisition of property investments		(37.6)	-
Proceeds from the sale of other investments		-	115.4
Net outflows for investments in equity accounted investments		(94.8)	(4.9)
Payments for the purchases of plant and equipment		(15.4)	(17.7)
Financing costs capitalised		(9.6)	(15.8)
Settlement of asset hedging currency derivatives		53.5	(3.6)
<b>Net cash flows used in investing activities</b>		<b>(383.4)</b>	(385.1)
<b>Cash flows used in financing activities</b>			
Proceeds from the issuance of units		-	1,000.6
Payments for costs associated with the issuance of units		-	(18.9)
Termination of surplus interest rate swaps upon repayment of interest bearing liabilities with the proceeds from the issuance of units		-	(155.4)
Termination of surplus interest rate swaps upon the restructure of the Group's interest rate hedge portfolio		(322.1)	(267.6)
Net proceeds from/(repayments of) interest bearing liabilities		568.8	(646.5)
Loans received from related entities		430.8	516.1
Financing costs excluding interest capitalised		(567.0)	(705.5)
Interest received		72.9	74.0
Net cash (paid)/received by controlled entities (to)/from non controlling interests		(14.2)	331.9
Distributions paid		(708.2)	(801.6)
<b>Net cash flows used in financing activities</b>		<b>(539.0)</b>	(672.9)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents held		2.5	55.6
Add opening cash and cash equivalents brought forward		53.8	(1.2)
Net foreign exchange differences		(6.5)	(0.6)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period</b>	24(a)	<b>49.8</b>	53.8

# Index of Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

Note	Description	Page
1	Basis of preparation of the Financial Report	8
2	Summary of significant accounting policies	9
3	Property revenue	12
4	Currency derivatives	12
5	Gain/(loss) from capital transactions	12
6	Financing costs	12
7	Taxation	13
8	Earnings/(loss) per unit	13
9	Derivative assets	14
10	Receivables	14
11	Prepayments and deferred costs	14
12	Investment properties	14
13	Details of equity accounted investments	15
14	Other investments	16
15	Plant and equipment	16
16	Payables and other creditors	16
17	Interest bearing liabilities	16
18	Other financial liabilities	17
19	Derivative liabilities	19
20	Contributed equity	19
21	Share based payments	19
22	Reserves	25
23	Accumulated losses	25
24	Cash and cash equivalents	25
25	Distributions	25
26	Lease commitments	26
27	Capital expenditure commitments	26
28	Contingent liabilities	26
29	Segment reporting	26
30	Capital risk management	29
31	Financial risk management	29
32	Interest rate risk management	29
33	Exchange rate risk management	33
34	Credit and liquidity risk management	36
35	Parent entity	36
36	Interest bearing liabilities, interest and derivative cash flow maturity profile	36
37	Fair value of financial assets and liabilities	37
38	Auditor's remuneration	38
39	Related party disclosures	39
40	Remuneration, option and shareholdings of Key Management Personnel	40
41	Details of controlled entities and equity accounted entities	59

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PREPARATION OF THE FINANCIAL REPORT

### (a) Corporate information

This financial report comprising Westfield America Trust (WAT) and its controlled entities (the Group) for the year ended 31 December 2010 was approved in accordance with a resolution of the Board of Directors of Westfield America Management Limited, as responsible entity of WAT (Responsible Entity) on 16 March 2011.

The nature of the operations and principal activities of WAT are described in the Directors' Report.

### (b) Statement of Compliance

This financial report complies with Australian Accounting Standards and International Financial Reporting Standards issued by the International Accounting Standards board. The accounting policies adopted are consistent with those of the previous financial year except that the Group has adopted the following new or amended standards which became applicable on 1 January 2010.

- AASB 3 Business Combinations (revised 2008). The revised standard introduces significant changes in the accounting for business combinations occurring after this date. Changes affect the valuation of non-controlling interests (previously "minority interests"), the accounting for transaction costs, the initial recognition and subsequent measurement of contingent consideration and business combinations achieved in stages. These changes will impact the amount of goodwill recognised, the reported results in the period when an acquisition occurs and future reported results;
- AASB 127 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements (revised 2008). The revised standard requires that a change in the ownership interest of a subsidiary (without a change in control) is to be accounted for as a transaction with owners in their capacity as owners. Therefore such transactions will no longer give rise to goodwill, nor will they give rise to a gain or loss in the statement of comprehensive income. Furthermore the revised standard changes the accounting for losses incurred by a partially owned subsidiary as well as the loss of control of a subsidiary. The changes will affect future acquisitions, changes in and loss of control of subsidiaries and transactions with non-controlling interests.

The Group has also adopted the following amendments to accounting standards as a result of the revision of related standards and the Annual Improvement Projects (for non-urgent changes).

- AASB 2008-3 *Amendments to the Australian Accounting Standards arising from AASB 3 and AASB 127*;
- AASB 2008-6 *Further Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from the Annual Improvement Project*;
- AASB 2008-8 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standard – Eligible Hedged Items*;
- AASB 2009-4 and AASB 2009-5 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from the Annual Improvement Projects*;
- AASB 2009-8 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Group Cash-settled Share-based Payment Transactions*.

For the year, the adoption of these amended standards has no material impact on the financial statements of the Group.

The Group has prepared the financial statements in compliance with recent amendments to the Corporation Act (2001) in June 2010 which remove the requirement for the Group to lodge parent entity financial statements. Parent entity financial statements have been replaced by the reduced parent entity disclosure in Note 35.

Certain Australian Accounting Standards and Interpretations have recently been issued or amended but are not yet effective and have not been adopted by the Group for the year ended 31 December 2010. The impact of these new or amended standards (to the extent relevant to the Group) and interpretations are as follows:

- AASB 9 *Financial Instruments: Classification and measurement*. This standard simplifies the classifications of financial assets into those to be carried at amortised cost and those to be carried at fair value. The standard is applicable to the Group from 1 January 2013.

In addition to the above, further amendments to accounting standards have been proposed as a result of the revision of related standards and the Annual Improvement Projects (for non-urgent changes). These amendments are set out below:

- AASB 2009-10 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Classification of Rights Issues (applicable from 1 February 2010)*;
- AASB 2009-11 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from AASB 9 (applicable from 1 January 2013)*;
- AASB 2009-12 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards (applicable from 1 January 2011)*;
- AASB 2010-2 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from Reduced Disclosure Requirements (applicable from 1 July 2013)*;
- AASB 2010-3 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from the Annual Improvement Project (applicable from 1 July 2010)*;
- AASB 2010-4 *Further Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from the Annual Improvements Project (applicable from 1 January 2011)*;
- AASB 2010-5 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards (applicable from 1 January 2011)*;
- AASB 2010-6 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Disclosures on Transfers of Financial Assets (applicable from 1 July 2011)*;
- AASB 2010-7 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from AASB 9 (December 2010) (applicable from 1 January 2013)*;
- AASB 2010-8 *Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Deferred Tax: Recovery of Underlying Assets (applicable from 1 January 2012)*;

These recently issued or amended standards are not expected to have a significant impact on the amounts recognised in these financial statements when they are restated on application of these new accounting standards. The amendment to AASB 2010-8 Deferred Tax: Recovery of Underlying Assets (effective date on or after 1 January 2012) was approved on 20 December 2010. Management are in the process of assessing the expected financial impact in the various tax jurisdictions on application of this amendment which is effective 2012.

### (c) Basis of accounting

The financial report is a general purpose financial report, which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Corporations Act 2001 (Act) and Australian Accounting Standards and other authoritative pronouncements of the Australian Accounting Standards Board. The financial report has also been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for investment properties, investment properties within equity accounted investments, derivative financial instruments, financial assets at fair value through profit and loss and other financial liabilities. The carrying values of recognised assets and liabilities that are hedged with fair value hedges and are otherwise carried at cost are adjusted to record changes in the fair values attributable to the risks that are being hedged.

### (d) Significant accounting judgements, estimates and assumptions

The preparation of the financial report requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions. Management continually evaluates its judgements and estimates in relation to assets, liabilities, contingent liabilities, revenue and expenses. Management bases its judgements and estimates on historical experience and other various factors it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources.

Further details of the nature of these assumptions and conditions may be found in the relevant notes to the financial statements, in particular, Note 2: Summary of significant accounting policies, Note 12: Investment properties and Note 37: Fair value of financial assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions and may materially affect the Group's financial results or the financial position in future periods.

## NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### (a) Listed Property Trust Units

The Westfield Group was established in July 2004 by the stapling of securities of each of Westfield Holdings Limited (WHL), Westfield Trust (WT) and WAT. The securities trade as one security on the Australian Securities Exchange under the code WDC. The stapling transaction is referred to as the Merger.

### (b) Consolidation and classification

The consolidated financial report comprises the financial statements and notes to the financial statements of WAT (the Parent Entity), and each of its controlled entities as from the date the Parent Entity obtained control until such time control ceased. Where entities adopt accounting policies which differ from those of the Parent Entity, adjustments have been made so as to achieve consistency within the Group.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements all inter-entity transactions and balances, including unrealised profits arising from intra Group transactions, have been eliminated in full. Unrealised losses are eliminated unless costs cannot be recovered. Non controlling interests represent the portion of profit or loss and net assets of Westfield America, Inc (WEA) that are not wholly-owned by the Group and held by WHL entities. The non controlling interests are presented separately in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income and within equity in the consolidated balance sheet, separately from parent shareholders' equity. Acquisitions of non controlling interests are accounted for using the entity concept method, whereby, the transaction is treated as a transaction with other equity shareholders.

#### i) Joint venture entities

The Group has significant co-ownership interests in a number of properties through property partnerships or trusts. These joint venture entities are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The Group and its joint venture entities use consistent accounting policies. Investments in joint venture entities are carried in the consolidated balance sheet at cost plus post-acquisition changes in the Group's share of net assets of the joint venture entities. The consolidated income statement reflects the Group's share of the results of operations of the joint venture entity.

#### ii) Associates

Where the Group exerts significant influence but not control, equity accounting is applied. The Group and its associates use consistent accounting policies. Investments in associates are carried in the consolidated balance sheet at cost plus post-acquisition changes in the Group's share of net assets of the associates. The consolidated income statement reflects the Group's share of the results of operations of the associate. Where there has been a change recognised directly in the associate's equity, the Group recognises its share of any changes and discloses this, when applicable in the consolidated financial statements.

#### iii) Controlled entities

Where an entity either began or ceased to be a controlled entity during the reporting period, the results are included only from the date control commenced or up to the date control ceased. Non controlling interests are shown as a separate item in the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2002, the Group together with Simon Property Group (Simon) and The Rouse Company (Rouse) acquired the assets and liabilities of Rodamco North America, N.V. (RNA). The Group's economic interest in certain acquired assets under the Urban Shopping Centers, LP is represented by a 54.2% equity ownership of Head Acquisition, LP which has been accounted for in accordance with the substance of the contractual agreements. Properties where the Group has 100% economic ownership have been consolidated. Other retail and property investments and property where the Group has significant influence have been equity accounted.

### (c) Investment properties

The Group's investment properties include shopping centre investments and development projects.

#### i) Shopping centre investments

The Group's shopping centre investment properties represent completed centres comprising freehold and leasehold land, buildings and leasehold improvements.

Land and buildings are considered as having the function of an investment and therefore are regarded as a composite asset, the overall value of which is influenced by many factors, the most prominent being income yield, rather than by the diminution in value of the building content due to effluxion of time. Accordingly, the buildings and all components thereof, including integral plant and equipment, are not depreciated.

Initially, shopping centre investment properties are measured at cost including transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, the Group's portfolio of shopping centre investment properties are stated at fair value. Gains and losses arising from changes in the fair values of shopping centre investment properties are included in the income statement in the year in which they arise. Any gains or losses on the sale of an investment property are recognised in the income statement in the year of sale. The carrying amount of investment properties also includes components relating to lease incentives, leasing costs and receivables on rental income that have been recorded on a straight line basis.

At each reporting date, the carrying value of the portfolio of shopping centre investment properties are assessed by the Directors and where the carrying value differs materially from the Directors' assessment of fair value, an adjustment to the carrying value is recorded as appropriate.

Independent valuations of shopping centres are prepared annually. The Directors' assessment of fair value of each shopping centre investment property takes into account annual independent valuations, with updates at year end of independent valuations that were prepared at the half year taking into account any changes in estimated yield, underlying income and valuations of comparable centres. In determining the fair value, the capitalisation of net income method and the discounting of future cash flows to their present value have been used which are based upon assumptions and judgement in relation to future rental income, property capitalisation rate or estimated yield and make reference to market evidence of transaction prices for similar properties.

#### ii) Development projects

The Group's development projects include costs incurred for the current and future redevelopment and expansion of new and existing shopping centre investments. Development projects include capitalised construction and development costs and where applicable, borrowing costs incurred on qualifying developments.

Development projects are carried at fair value based on Directors' assessment of fair value at each reporting date taking into account the expected cost to complete, the stage of completion, expected underlying income and yield of the developments. Any increment or decrement in the fair value of development projects resulting from Directors' assessment of fair value is included in the income statement in the year in which it arises. From time to time during a development project, Directors may commission an independent valuation. On completion, development projects are reclassified to shopping centre investments and an independent valuation is obtained.

The assessment of fair value and possible impairment in the fair value of shopping centre investment and development projects are significant estimates that can change based on the Group's continuous process of assessing the factors affecting each property.

### (d) Other investments

#### i) Unlisted investments

Unlisted investments are designated as assets held at fair value through the income statement. Unlisted investments are stated at fair value of the Group's interest in the underlying assets which approximate fair value. Movements in fair value subsequent to initial recognition are reported as revaluation gains or losses in the income statement.

For investments with no active market, fair values are determined using valuation techniques which keep judgemental inputs to a minimum, including the fair value of underlying properties, recent arm's length transactions and reference to market value of similar investments.

## NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

### (e) Foreign currencies

#### *i) Translation of foreign currency transactions*

The functional and presentation currency of WAT and its Australian subsidiary is Australian dollars. The functional currency of the United States entities is United States dollars. The presentation currency of the United States entities is Australian dollars to enable the consolidated financial statements of the Group to be reported in a common currency.

Foreign currency transactions are converted to Australian dollars at exchange rates ruling at the date of those transactions. Amounts payable and receivable in foreign currency at balance date are translated to Australian dollars at exchange rates ruling at that date. Exchange differences arising from amounts payable and receivable are treated as operating revenue or expense in the period in which they arise, except as noted below.

#### *ii) Translation of accounts of foreign operations*

The balance sheets of foreign subsidiaries and equity accounted associates are translated at exchange rates ruling at balance date and the income statements of foreign subsidiaries and equity accounted associates are translated at average exchange rates for the period. Exchange differences arising on translation of the interests in foreign operations and equity accounted associates are taken directly to the foreign currency translation reserve. On consolidation, exchange differences and the related tax effect on foreign currency loans and cross currency swaps denominated in foreign currencies, which hedge net investments in foreign operations and equity accounted associates are taken directly to the foreign currency translation reserve.

### (f) Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognised to the extent that it is probable that the economic benefits will flow to the Group and can be reliably measured. Rental income from investment properties is accounted for on a straight line basis over the lease term. Contingent rental income is recognised as income in the period in which it is earned. If not received at balance date, revenue is reflected in the balance sheet as receivable and carried at fair value. Recoveries from tenants are recognised as income in the year the applicable costs are accrued.

Revenue from external parties for property development and construction is recognised on a percentage of completion basis. Revenue from property and funds management is recognised on an accruals basis, in accordance with the terms of the relevant management contracts.

Certain tenant allowances that are classified as lease incentives are recorded as part of investment properties and amortised over the term of the lease. The amortisation is recorded against property income.

Where revenue is obtained from the sale of properties, it is recognised when the significant risks and rewards have transferred to the buyer. This will normally take place on unconditional exchange of contracts except where payment or completion is expected to occur significantly after exchange. For conditional exchanges, sales are recognised when these conditions are satisfied.

All other revenues are recognised on an accruals basis.

### (g) Expenses

Expenses including rates, taxes and other outgoings are brought to account on an accruals basis and any related payables are carried at cost. All other expenses are brought to account on an accruals basis.

### (h) Taxation

The Group comprises taxable and non taxable entities. A liability for current and deferred taxation and tax expense is only recognised in respect of taxable entities that are subject to income and potential taxation as set out below.

Under current Australian income tax legislation, WAT is not liable to Australian income tax, including capital gains tax, provided that members are presently entitled to the income of the Trust as determined in accordance with WAT's constitution.

WEA is a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) for United States income tax purposes. To maintain its REIT status, WEA is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income to shareholders and meet certain asset and income tests as well as certain other requirements. As a REIT, WEA will generally not be liable for federal and state income taxes in the United States, provided it satisfies the necessary requirements and distributes 100% of its taxable income to its shareholders. Dividends paid by WEA to WAT are subject to United States dividend withholding tax.

Under current Australian income tax legislation, members of WAT may be entitled to receive a foreign income tax offset for United States withholding tax deducted from dividends paid to WAT by WEA.

Deferred tax is provided on all temporary differences at balance sheet date on the differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts for financial reporting purposes.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply when the asset is realised through continued use or the liability is settled, based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantially enacted at the balance sheet date. Income taxes related to items recognised directly in equity are recognised in equity and not in the income statement.

### (i) Goodwill

Goodwill on acquisition is initially measured at cost being the excess of the cost of the business combination over the acquirer's interest in the net fair value of the identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities. Following initial recognition, goodwill is measured at cost less any accumulated impairment losses and is not amortised.

The excess of the cost over the net fair value for the Group generally arises as a result of the recognition of deferred taxes based on the difference between the tax cost base and the fair value of net assets acquired. The deferred tax liability recognised at nominal value on acquisition of property businesses generally arises from the recognition of built in capital gains on those properties.

Any resultant goodwill which arises from the recognition of these deferred tax liabilities is assessed for impairment at each reporting date. Impairment may arise when the nominal value of deferred taxes on built in capital gains exceeds the fair value of those taxes. Any impairment write down is charged to the income statement subsequent to acquisition.

### (j) Financing costs

Financing costs include interest, amortisation of discounts or premiums relating to borrowings and other costs incurred in connection with the arrangement of borrowings. Financing costs are expensed as incurred unless they relate to a qualifying asset. A qualifying asset is an asset which generally takes more than 12 months to get ready for its intended use or sale. In these circumstances, the financing costs are capitalised to the cost of the asset. Where funds are borrowed by the Group for the acquisition or construction of a qualifying asset, the financing costs are capitalised.

Refer to Note 2(p) for other items included in financing costs.

### (k) Property development projects and construction contracts for external parties

Property development projects for external parties are carried at the lower of cost or net realisable value. Profit on property development is recognised on a percentage of completion basis. These property development projects are included in inventories and represent the value of work actually completed and are assessed in terms of the contract and provision is made for losses, if any, anticipated.

### (l) Depreciation and amortisation

Plant and equipment and deferred costs are carried at acquisition cost less depreciation and amortisation and any impairment in value. Depreciation and amortisation is applied over the estimated economic life using the straight line method from the date of acquisition or from the time the asset is ready for use. The estimated economic life of items in the asset class plant and equipment ranges from three to ten years.

## NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

### (m) Leases

Leases are classified at their inception as either operating or finance leases based on the economic substance of the agreement so as to reflect the risks and benefits incidental to ownership.

#### *i) Operating leases*

The minimum lease payments of operating leases, where the lessor effectively retains substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of the leased item, are recognised as an expense on a straight line basis.

Ground rent obligations for leasehold property that meets the definition of an investment property are accounted for as a finance lease.

#### *ii) Finance leases*

Leases which effectively transfer substantially all of the risks and benefits incidental to ownership of the leased item to the Group are capitalised at the present value of the minimum lease payments under lease and are disclosed as an asset or investment property.

Capitalised lease assets are depreciated over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the assets and the lease term. Minimum lease payments are allocated between interest expense and reduction of the lease liability.

### (n) Employee benefits

The liability for employees' benefits to wages, salaries, bonuses and annual leave is accrued to balance date based on the Group's present obligation to pay resulting from the employees' services provided. The liability for employees' benefits to long service leave is provided to balance date based on the present values of the estimated future cash flows to be paid by the Group resulting from the employees' services provided.

### (o) Contributed equity

Issued and paid up capital is recognised at the fair value of the consideration received by the Group. Any transaction costs arising on the issue of ordinary units are recognised directly in equity as a reduction of the proceeds received.

### (p) Derivative financial instruments and financial instruments

The Group utilises derivative financial instruments, including forward exchange contracts, currency and interest rate options, currency and interest rate swaps to manage the risks associated with foreign currency and interest rate fluctuations. Such derivative financial instruments are recognised at fair value.

The Group has set defined policies and implemented a comprehensive hedging program to manage interest and exchange rate risks. Derivative instruments are transacted to achieve the economic outcomes in line with the Group's treasury policy and hedging program. Derivative instruments are not transacted for speculative purposes. Accounting standards however require compliance with onerous documentation, designation and effectiveness parameters before a derivative financial instrument is deemed to qualify for hedge accounting treatment. These documentation, designation and effectiveness requirements cannot be met in all circumstances. As a result, derivative instruments, other than cross currency swaps that hedge net investments in foreign operations, are deemed not to qualify for hedge accounting and are recorded at fair value. Gains or losses arising from the movement in fair values are recorded in the income statement.

The fair value of forward exchange contracts, currency and interest rate options and cross currency swaps are calculated by reference to relevant market rates for contracts with similar maturity profiles. The fair value of interest rate swaps are determined by reference to market rates for similar instruments.

Gains or losses arising on the movements in the fair value of cross currency swaps which hedge net investments in foreign operations are recognised in the foreign currency translation reserve. Where a cross currency swap, or portion thereof, is deemed an ineffective hedge for accounting purposes, gains or losses thereon are recognised in the income statement. On disposal of a net investment in foreign operations, the cumulative gains or losses recognised previously in the foreign currency translation reserve are transferred to the income statement.

The accounting policies adopted in relation to material financial instruments are detailed as follows:

#### *i) Financial assets*

##### *Cash and cash equivalents*

Cash and cash equivalents in the balance sheet comprise cash at bank and on hand and short term deposits with an original maturity of 90 days or less that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

For the purposes of the cash flow statement, cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand and at bank, short term money market deposits and bank accepted bills of exchange readily converted to cash, net of bank overdrafts and short term loans. Bank overdrafts are carried at the principal amount. Interest is charged as an expense as it accrues.

##### *Receivables*

Trade and sundry debtors are carried at original invoice amount, less provision for doubtful debts, and are usually due within 30 days. Collectability of trade and sundry receivables is reviewed on an ongoing basis. Individual debts that are determined to be uncollectible are written off when identified. An impairment provision for doubtful debts is recognised when there is evidence that the Group will not be able to collect the receivable.

##### *ii) Financial liabilities*

##### *Payables*

Trade and other payables are carried at amortised cost and due to their short term nature they are not discounted. They represent liabilities for goods and services provided to the Group prior to the end of the financial year that are unpaid and arise when the Group becomes obliged to make future payments in respect of the purchase of these goods and services. The amounts are unsecured and are usually paid within 60 days.

##### *Interest bearing liabilities*

Interest bearing liabilities are recognised initially at the fair value of the consideration received less any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, interest bearing liabilities are recorded at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method.

Interest bearing liabilities are classified as current liabilities where the liability has been drawn under a financing facility which expires within one year. Amounts drawn under financing facilities which expire after one year are classified as non current.

Financing costs for interest bearing liabilities are recognised as an expense on an accruals basis.

##### *Other financial liabilities*

Other financial liabilities include preference and convertible preference securities. Where there is a minimum distribution entitlement and/or the redemption terms include the settlement for cash on redemption, the instrument is classified as a financial liability and is designated at fair value through the income statement.

The fair value of preference and convertible preference securities are determined in accordance with generally accepted pricing models using current market prices in accordance with the terms of each instrument as set out in Note 18.

### (q) Recoverable amount of assets

At each reporting date, the Group assesses whether there is any indication that an asset may be impaired. Where an indicator of the impairment exists, the Group makes an estimate of recoverable amount. Where the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount the asset is considered impaired and is written down to its recoverable amount.

### (r) Earnings per unit

Basic earnings per unit is calculated as net profit attributable to members divided by the weighted average number of ordinary units. Diluted earnings per unit is calculated as net profit attributable to members adjusted for any profit recognised in the period in relation to dilutive potential ordinary units divided by the weighted average number of ordinary units and dilutive potential ordinary units.

### (s) Rounding

In accordance with ASIC Class Order 98/0100, the amounts shown in the financial report have, unless otherwise indicated, been rounded to the nearest tenth of a million dollars. Amounts shown as 0.0 represent amounts less than \$50,000 that have been rounded down.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>NOTE 3 PROPERTY REVENUE</b>		
Shopping centre base rent and other property income	1,431.5	1,634.0
Amortisation of tenant allowances	(43.7)	(49.3)
	<b>1,387.8</b>	1,584.7
<b>NOTE 4 CURRENCY DERIVATIVES</b>		
Realised gains on income hedging currency derivatives	22.2	35.5
Net fair value gain/(loss) on currency derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting	(127.7)	19.4
	<b>(105.5)</b>	54.9
<b>NOTE 5 GAIN/(LOSS) FROM CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS</b>		
Net fair value gain on the termination of surplus interest rate swaps upon repayment of interest bearing liabilities with the proceeds from the issuance of units	-	64.2
Proceeds from asset sales	-	115.4
Carrying value of assets sold and other transaction costs	(18.9)	(125.2)
	<b>(18.9)</b>	54.4
<b>NOTE 6 FINANCING COSTS</b>		
Gross financing costs (excluding net fair value gain or loss on interest rate hedges that do not qualify for hedge accounting)		
– interest bearing liabilities	(484.3)	(607.1)
– other financial liabilities	-	(0.5)
Related party borrowing costs	(34.8)	(39.9)
Financing costs capitalised to construction projects	9.6	15.8
Financing costs	<b>(509.5)</b>	(631.7)
Net fair value gain on interest rate hedges that do not qualify for hedge accounting	16.8	372.5
Finance leases interest expense	(7.4)	(4.2)
Interest expense on other financial liabilities	(33.2)	(49.6)
Net fair value gain/(loss) on other financial liabilities	219.8	(272.6)
Net fair value gain/(loss) on termination of surplus interest rate swaps upon the restructure of the Group's interest rate hedge portfolio	(173.2)	30.0
	<b>(486.7)</b>	(555.6)

## NOTE 7 TAXATION

### (a) Tax (expense)/benefit

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Current	(13.2)	(13.7)
Deferred	(95.8)	190.0
	<b>(109.0)</b>	176.3

The prima facie tax on profit/(loss) before tax is reconciled to the tax expense provided in the financial statements as follows:

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Profit/(loss) before tax	576.6	(1,693.4)
Prima facie withholding tax (expense)/benefit at 15%	(86.5)	254.0
Profit/(loss) not assessable/deductible	(22.5)	(77.7)
Tax (expense)/benefit	<b>(109.0)</b>	176.3

### (b) Deferred tax assets

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Unrealised fair value loss on financial derivatives	-	29.2
	<b>-</b>	29.2

### (c) Deferred tax liabilities

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Tax effect of book value in excess of the tax cost base of investment properties	921.4	981.9
Unrealised fair value gain on financial derivatives	7.9	-
Other timing differences	46.7	45.2
	<b>976.0</b>	1,027.1

cents                      cents

## NOTE 8 EARNINGS/(LOSS) PER UNIT

### (a) Attributable to members of WAT

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Basic earnings/(loss) per unit	18.56	(61.45)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per unit	12.29	(61.45)

The following reflects the income and unit data used in the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per unit:

	No. of units	No. of units
Weighted average number of ordinary units used in calculating basic earnings per unit <sup>(1) (2)</sup>	2,307,806,424	2,249,026,955
Bonus element of unit options and performance rights which are dilutive <sup>(3)</sup>	72,068,085	-
Adjusted weighted average number of ordinary units used in calculating diluted earnings per unit	2,379,874,509	2,249,026,955
	\$million	\$million
Earnings/(loss) used in calculating basic earnings per unit	428.4	(1,382.0)
Adjustment to earnings on unit options which are considered dilutive <sup>(3)</sup>	(136.0)	-
Earnings/(loss) used in calculating diluted earnings per unit	292.4	(1,382.0)

The calculation of the weighted average number of converted, lapsed or cancelled potential ordinary units used in diluted earnings per unit was 73,609 (31 December 2009: nil).

<sup>(1)</sup> 2,307.8 million (31 December 2009: 2,249.0 million) weighted average number of units on issue for the period has been included in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per unit as reported in the income statement.

<sup>(2)</sup> On 9 April 2010, 83,084,363 units held by subsidiaries of WHL were redeemed for nil consideration. As required by AASB 133 Earnings per Share, these units have not been included in the calculation of earnings per unit for the current period and prior period.

<sup>(3)</sup> Bonus element of unit options relating to other financial liabilities and performance rights that are dilutive for the current period were 72,068,085 (31 December 2009 – anti-dilutive: 49,950,627), earnings in respect of the unit options were \$136.0 million (31 December 2009: losses of \$308.2 million).

### (b) Conversions, calls, subscription or issues after 31 December 2010

There have been no conversions to, calls of, or subscriptions for, issuance of new or potential ordinary units since the reporting date and before the completion of this report.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>NOTE 9 DERIVATIVE ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current</b>		
Receivables on interest rate derivatives	40.5	30.9
Receivables on currency derivatives	51.3	51.5
Receivables on currency derivatives with related entities	–	178.6
	<b>91.8</b>	<b>261.0</b>
<b>Non Current</b>		
Receivables on interest rate derivatives	524.4	589.7
Receivables on interest rate derivatives with related entities	1.2	–
Receivables on currency derivatives	105.0	133.1
Receivables on currency derivatives with related entities	377.6	201.9
	<b>1,008.2</b>	<b>924.7</b>
<b>NOTE 10 RECEIVABLES</b>		
<b>Current</b>		
Sundry debtors	77.7	61.8
Interest bearing loans and other receivables from related entities	65.3	370.1
	<b>143.0</b>	<b>431.9</b>
<b>NOTE 11 PREPAYMENTS AND DEFERRED COSTS</b>		
<b>Current</b>		
Prepayments and deposits	43.2	38.8
Deferred costs – other	19.1	18.1
	<b>62.3</b>	<b>56.9</b>
<b>Non Current</b>		
Deferred costs – other	63.4	78.9
	<b>63.4</b>	<b>78.9</b>
<b>NOTE 12 INVESTMENT PROPERTIES</b>		
Shopping centre investments	12,625.0	13,991.3
Development projects	549.7	504.9
	<b>13,174.7</b>	<b>14,496.2</b>
<b>Movement in total investment properties</b>		
Balance at the beginning of the year	14,496.2	20,493.5
Acquisition of properties	37.6	–
Transfer from equity accounted investment properties	22.6	–
Redevelopment costs	201.6	474.2
Net revaluation increment/(decrement)	107.9	(2,057.3)
Retranslation of foreign operations	(1,691.2)	(4,414.2)
Balance at the end of the year <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>13,174.7</b>	<b>14,496.2</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> The fair value of investment properties at the end of the year of \$13,174.7 million (31 December 2009: \$14,496.2 million) comprises investment properties at market value of \$13,127.0 million (31 December 2009: \$14,441.7) and ground leases included as finance leases of \$47.7 million (31 December 2009: \$54.5 million).

Independent valuations of all shopping centres are conducted annually with the exception of those shopping centres under development. Independent valuations are conducted in accordance with Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice in the United States. The independent valuation uses capitalisation of net income method and the discounting of future net cash flows to their present value method. The property capitalisation rates range between 5.50% and 9.80%. Refer to Note 15(d) of the Westfield Group's Financial Report for details of property capitalisation rates by shopping centre.

Investment properties are carried at the Directors' determination of fair value which take into account annual independent valuations, with updates at year end of independent valuations that were prepared at the half year. The carrying amount of investment properties comprises the original acquisition cost, subsequent capital expenditure, tenant allowances, deferred costs, ground leases, straight-line rent and revaluation increments and decrements.

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million		
<b>NOTE 13 DETAILS OF EQUITY ACCOUNTED INVESTMENTS</b>				
<b>(a) Details of the Group's aggregate share of equity accounted entities' net profit/(loss)</b>				
Shopping centre base rent and other property income	208.6	242.2		
Amortisation of tenant allowances	(4.6)	(4.6)		
Property revenue	204.0	237.6		
Property expenses, outgoings and other costs	(71.6)	(85.4)		
Net interest expense	(47.1)	(56.7)		
Share of after tax profits of equity accounted entities before property revaluations	85.3	95.5		
Property revaluations	68.5	(328.0)		
Share of after tax profits/(losses) of equity accounted entities	<b>153.8</b>	<b>(232.5)</b>		
<b>(b) Details of the Group's aggregate share of equity accounted entities' assets and liabilities</b>				
Cash	9.9	29.1		
Receivables	5.3	4.5		
Shopping centre investments	2,018.5	2,204.4		
Development projects	104.8	118.3		
Other assets	5.1	14.3		
Total assets	<b>2,143.6</b>	<b>2,370.6</b>		
Payables	(73.0)	(52.4)		
Interest bearing liabilities	(731.0)	(949.4)		
Total liabilities	<b>(804.0)</b>	<b>(1,001.8)</b>		
Net assets	<b>1,339.6</b>	<b>1,368.8</b>		
<b>(c) Details of the Group's aggregate share of equity accounted entities' lease commitments</b>				
<b>Operating lease receivables</b>				
Future minimum rental revenues under non-cancellable operating retail property leases				
Due within one year	110.2	129.3		
Due between one year and five years	334.2	409.0		
Due after five years	200.7	271.9		
	<b>645.1</b>	<b>810.2</b>		
<b>(d) Details of the Group's aggregate share of equity accounted entities' capital expenditure commitments</b>				
Estimated capital expenditure commitments in relation to development projects				
Due within one year	–	16.9		
	<b>–</b>	<b>16.9</b>		
<b>(e) Details of the Group's aggregate share of equity accounted entities' contingent liabilities</b>				
Performance guarantees	1.7	1.9		
	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>		
<b>(f) Equity accounted entities' economic interest</b>				
			<b>Economic Interest</b>	
Name of investments <sup>(1) (2)</sup>	Type of equity	Balance Date	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09
Fashion Square	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%
Garden State Plaza	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%
Montgomery	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%
San Francisco Emporium	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%
UTC	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%
Valencia Town Center	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%
Valley Fair	Partnership units	31 Dec	50.0%	50.0%

<sup>(1)</sup> Details of the entities in respect of these investments are provided in Note 41.

<sup>(2)</sup> All equity accounted property partnerships operate solely as retail property investors in the United States.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>NOTE 14 OTHER INVESTMENTS</b>			
Unlisted investments		409.5	462.3
		<b>409.5</b>	462.3
<b>Movement in other investments</b>			
Balance at the beginning of the year		462.3	731.1
Disposals		-	(115.4)
Retranslation of foreign operations		(52.8)	(153.4)
Balance at the end of the year		<b>409.5</b>	462.3
<b>NOTE 15 PLANT AND EQUIPMENT</b>			
At cost		138.0	143.5
Accumulated depreciation		(51.4)	(45.2)
Total plant and equipment		<b>86.6</b>	98.3
<b>Movement in plant and equipment</b>			
Balance at the beginning of the year		98.3	127.3
Additions		15.4	17.7
Depreciation expense		(16.7)	(16.9)
Retranslation of foreign operations		(10.4)	(29.8)
Balance at the end of the year		<b>86.6</b>	98.3
<b>NOTE 16 PAYABLES AND OTHER CREDITORS</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Sundry creditors and accruals		391.1	444.6
Payables to related entities		8.1	37.0
		<b>399.2</b>	481.6
<b>Non Current</b>			
Sundry creditors and accruals		53.2	123.0
		<b>53.2</b>	123.0
<b>NOTE 17 INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
<b>Unsecured</b>			
Bank loans – US\$ denominated <sup>(1)</sup>		-	24.6
Notes payable – US\$ denominated <sup>(2)</sup>		-	107.8
Finance leases		0.5	0.5
Loans payable to related entities	39(b)	149.1	-
<b>Secured</b>			
Bank loans – US\$ denominated <sup>(4)</sup>		713.5	304.5
		<b>863.1</b>	437.4
<b>Non Current</b>			
<b>Unsecured</b>			
Bank loans – US\$ denominated <sup>(1)</sup>		648.5	-
Notes payable			
– US\$ denominated <sup>(2)</sup>		4,617.8	5,221.6
– € denominated <sup>(3)</sup>		490.5	597.4
Finance leases		47.2	53.4
<b>Secured</b>			
Bank loans – US\$ denominated <sup>(4)</sup>		2,179.8	2,977.0
		<b>7,983.8</b>	8,849.4
The maturity profile in respect of current and non current interest bearing liabilities is set out below:			
Due within one year		863.1	437.4
Due between one and five years		3,766.9	4,299.3
Due after five years		4,216.9	4,550.1
		<b>8,846.9</b>	9,286.8

## NOTE 17 INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES (CONTINUED)

The Group maintains a range of interest bearing liabilities. The sources of funding are spread over various counterparties to minimise credit risk and the terms of the instruments are negotiated to achieve a balance between capital availability and the cost of debt.

Refer Note 32 for details relating to fixed rate debt and derivatives which hedge the floating rate liabilities.

<sup>(1)</sup> These instruments are subject to negative pledge arrangements which require the Westfield Group to comply with certain minimum financial requirements.

<sup>(2)</sup> Notes payable – US\$

Guaranteed Senior Notes of US\$6,700.0 million were issued in the US 144A bond market by the Westfield Group. The issues comprised US\$600.0 million, US\$2,100 million, US\$750 million, US\$900.0 million, US\$1,100 million, and US\$1,250 million of fixed rate notes maturing 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2018 and 2019 respectively. The Group was assigned US\$4,700.0 million, comprising US\$600.0 million, US\$950.0 million, US\$900.0 million, US\$1,100.0 million and US\$1,150.0 million fixed rate notes maturing 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018 and 2019 respectively. These notes are subject to negative pledge arrangements which require the Westfield Group to comply with certain minimum financial requirements.

<sup>(3)</sup> Notes payable – €

Guaranteed Notes of €560.0 million were issued in the European bond market by the Westfield Group. The issue comprised €560.0 million of fixed rate notes maturing 2012, of which the Group was assigned €373.3 million. These notes are subject to negative pledge arrangements which require the Westfield Group to comply with certain minimum financial requirements.

<sup>(4)</sup> Secured liabilities – US\$

Current and non current secured liabilities are \$2,893.3 million (31 December 2009: \$3,281.5 million). Secured liabilities are borrowings secured by mortgages over properties that have a fair value of \$7.9 billion (31 December 2009: \$8.8 billion). These properties are as follows: Belden Village, Brandon, Broward, Century City, Countryside, Culver City, Fox Valley, Franklin Park, Galleria at Roseville, Gateway, Great Northern, Horton Plaza, Mainplace, Mission Valley, Old Orchard, Parkway, Plaza Bonita, San Francisco Centre, Santa Anita, Solano, Southcenter, Southlake, Southpark, Vancouver, West Covina and Westland. The terms of the debt facilities preclude the properties from being used as security for other debt without the permission of the first mortgage holder. The debt facilities also require the properties to be insured.

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Financing facilities</b>		
Committed financing facilities available to the Group:		
Total financing facilities at the end of the year	14,830.6	16,973.3
Amounts utilised <sup>(1)</sup>	(8,872.1)	(9,340.3)
Available financing facilities	5,958.5	7,633.0
Cash	49.8	53.8
Financing resources available at the end of the year	<b>6,008.3</b>	7,686.8
<b>Maturity profile of financing facilities</b>		
Maturity profile in respect of the above financing facilities:		
Due within one year	1,385.8	2,392.0
Due between one and five years	9,227.9	10,031.0
Due after five years	4,216.9	4,550.3
	<b>14,830.6</b>	16,973.3

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts utilised include borrowings and bank guarantees.

These facilities comprise fixed and floating rate secured facilities, fixed and floating rate notes and unsecured interest only floating rate facilities. Certain facilities are also subject to negative pledge arrangements which require the Westfield Group to comply with specific minimum financial requirements. These facilities exclude other financial liabilities set out in Note 18. Amounts which are denominated in foreign currencies are translated at exchange rates ruling at balance date.

The Group as a member of the Westfield Group, is able to draw on financing facilities unutilised by the Westfield Group totalling A\$ equivalent \$5,958.5 million at year end which are included in available financing facilities shown above. These are interest only unsecured multicurrency multioption facilities.

Subsequent to year end, the Westfield Group cancelled \$1.4 billion of financing facilities.

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>NOTE 18 OTHER FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Convertible redeemable preference shares/units	18(a)	8.2	14.0
Other redeemable preference shares/units	18(b)	90.5	86.0
		<b>98.7</b>	100.0
<b>Non Current</b>			
Convertible redeemable preference shares/units	18(a)	1,029.2	1,401.6
Other redeemable preference shares/units	18(b)	147.8	157.8
		<b>1,177.0</b>	1,559.4

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>NOTE 18 OTHER FINANCIAL LIABILITIES (CONTINUED)</b>		
<b>The maturity profile in respect of current and non current other financial liabilities is set out below:</b>		
Current – within one year	98.7	100.0
Non current – after one year	1,177.0	1,559.4
	<b>1,275.7</b>	1,659.4

## (a) Convertible redeemable preference shares/units

The convertible redeemable preference shares/units comprise: (i) Series D convertible preference shares (Series D CPS); (ii) Series G partnership preferred units (Series G units) issued to the Jacobs Group; (iii) Series I partnership preferred units (Series I units); (iv) Series J partnership preferred units (Series J units); (v) Investor unit rights in the operating partnership; (vi) Series F preferred shares; (vii) Foreign currency denominated common shares convertible into Westfield Group stapled securities, and (viii) WEA common shares.

- The holders of Series D CPS are entitled to receive an annual dividend equal to the greater of: (i) 9.3% of the liquidation value of the preferred shares, increasing at 1.5% per annum in 2002 and at 3% per annum thereafter; or (ii) the US\$ equivalent of the distribution on the number of Westfield Group stapled securities into which the preference shares are then exchangeable.
  - Each Series D CPS is convertible into 10 common shares in WEA, which will not form a separate series of shares. The original holder of the Series D CPS and/or the common shares into which the Series D CPS have been converted can require WEA, subject to certain conditions, to redeem a number of the Series D CPS or common shares into which such preferred shares convert, or a combination thereof, on the last business date of May 2005 and each year thereafter in an amount up to US\$10 million at any one time. The maximum aggregate amount which may be redeemed pursuant to those rights is US\$50,000,040. During the period no Series D CPS were redeemed by WEA pursuant to the arrangement.
  - The Series D CPS are redeemable by WEA at any time at 100% of the liquidation preference.
- As at 31 December 2010, the Jacobs Group holds 10,448,066 (31 December 2009: 10,448,066) Series G units in the operating partnership. The holders have the right that requires WEA to purchase up to 10% of the shares redeemed for cash.
- As at 31 December 2010, the previous owners of the Sunrise Mall hold 1,401,426 Series I units (31 December 2009: 1,401,426). At any time after the earlier of (i) 21 July 2005; (ii) dissolution of the operating partnership; or (iii) the death of the holder, such holder (or the holder's Estate) has the right to require the operating partnership to redeem its Series I units, at the Group's discretion either for: (i) cash; (ii) shares in WEA (with the holder having the right to exchange such WEA shares for Westfield Group stapled securities); or (iii) a combination of both.
- As at 31 December 2010, 1,538,481 (31 December 2009: 1,538,481) Series J units are outstanding. At the holder's discretion, such holder has the right to require the operating partnership to redeem its Series J units, at the Group's discretion, either for: (i) cash; (ii) shares in WEA (with the holder having the right to exchange such WEA shares for Westfield Group stapled securities); or (iii) a combination of both.
- The investor unit rights in the operating partnership have a fixed life and are able to be redeemed at the Group's discretion, either for: (i) cash, (ii) shares in WEA; or (iii) a combination of both.
- The Series F preferred shares are able to be redeemed at the Group's discretion in cash at any time after 20 June 2020 and are able to be converted into Westfield Group stapled securities with the exercise of Series F – Special Options (refer Note 21).
- The foreign currency denominated common shares are able to be converted into Westfield Group stapled securities with the exercise of either Series H – Special Options or Series I – Special Options (refer Note 21).
- As at 31 December 2010, 764,205 (31 December 2009: nil) WEA common shares are held by certain third party investors. At any time after 19 May 2014, such holders have the right to require WEA to redeem their WEA common shares, at the Group's discretion, either for: (i) cash; (ii) Westfield Group stapled securities, or (iii) a combination of both.

## (b) Other redeemable preference shares/units

The other redeemable preference shares/units comprise: (i) partnership interest in the Urban Shopping Centres, LP (the Urban OP); (ii) Series H-1 Partnership Preferred Units (Series H-1 units); (iii) a Preferred Partnership in Head Acquisition LP (Head LP); (iv) Series A Partnership Preferred Units (Series A units); and (v) limited partnership interests in certain properties.

- In connection with the acquisition of RNA, WEA, Rouse and Simon acquired a 94.44% general partnership interest of the Urban OP. WEA's share of the general partnership interest is 54.2%. The 5.56% limited partnership interest in the Urban OP is held by certain third party investors (the Limited Partners). The Limited Partners have 1,946,080 units and the right to sell their units in the Urban OP to the Urban OP at any time during the first calendar month of each calendar quarter beginning 8 November 2005 or on or prior to the first anniversary of the date of the death of such Limited Partner for cash.
 

The Limited Partners have the right to receive quarterly distributions from available cash of the Urban OP in accordance with a tiered distribution schedule. If the partners do not receive a certain level of distributions, interest accrues at a rate of 8% per annum on the unpaid distributions.
- The former partners in the San Francisco Centre hold 360,000 Series H-1 Units in the operating partnership. Each Series H-1 unit will be entitled to receive quarterly distributions equal to US\$0.125 for the first four calendar quarters after the Series H-1 units are issued (the Base Year) and for each calendar quarter thereafter, US\$0.125 multiplied by a growth factor. The growth factor is an amount equal to one plus or minus, 25% of the percentage increase or decrease in the distributions payable with respect to a partnership common unit of the operating partnership for such calendar quarter relative to 25% of the aggregate distributions payable with respect to a partnership common unit for the Base Year.
- In September 2003, WEA sold its entire interest in WEA HRE-Abbey, Inc. In connection with the transaction, the acquirer has a preferred limited partner interest in Head LP. The holder of this interest receives a rate of return per annum equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 0.90%.
- In connection with the completion of the San Francisco Emporium development, 1,000 Westfield Growth, LP Series A units were issued to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Redemption of these units by the holder can only be made at the time that the San Francisco Centre (which includes San Francisco Emporium) is sold or otherwise divested. Should this occur, the redemption of these units is required to be made in cash but only out of funds legally available from Westfield Growth, LP.
- The limited partnership interests have a fixed life and an obligation to distribute available funds.

## NOTE 19 DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Current</b>		
Payables on interest rate derivatives	228.6	80.3
Payables on interest rate derivatives with related entities	–	4.7
Payables on currency derivatives	43.2	25.9
Payables on currency derivatives with related entities	–	95.4
	<b>271.8</b>	206.3
<b>Non Current</b>		
Payables on interest rate derivatives	9.3	410.7
Payables on interest rate derivatives with related entities	71.5	55.1
Payables on currency derivatives	75.9	32.5
	<b>156.7</b>	498.3
	<b>Units</b>	Units

## NOTE 20 CONTRIBUTED EQUITY

### (a) Number of units on issue

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Balance at the beginning of the year	2,390,858,026	2,047,855,398
Redemption of cross holdings <sup>(1)</sup>	(83,084,363)	–
Share placement	–	276,190,500
Share purchase plan	–	5,971,444
Distribution reinvestment plan	–	60,837,808
Conversion of options/rights	1,214,876	2,876
Balance at the end of the year	<b>2,308,988,539</b>	2,390,858,026

<sup>(1)</sup> On 9 April 2010, 83,084,363 units held by subsidiaries of WHL were redeemed for nil consideration. These units were not stapled or quoted on the ASX. There was no change to the number of Westfield Group stapled securities on issue in the Westfield Group as a result of the redemption.

Westfield Group stapled securities have the right to receive declared dividends from WHL and distributions from WAT and WT and, in the event of winding up WHL, WAT and WT, to participate in the proceeds from the sale of all surplus assets in proportion to the number of and amounts paid up on Westfield Group stapled securities held.

Holders of Westfield Group stapled securities can vote their shares and units in accordance with the Act, either in person or by proxy, at a meeting of either WHL, WAT or WT (as the case may be). The Westfield Group stapled securities have no par value.

	\$million	\$million
<b>(b) Movement in contributed equity attributable to members of WAT</b>		
Balance at the beginning of the year	8,406.9	7,425.2
Share placement/share purchase plan	–	825.2
Distribution reinvestment plan	–	175.4
Conversion of options/rights	2.6	–
Costs associated with the issuance of units	–	(18.9)
Balance at the end of the year	<b>8,409.5</b>	8,406.9

	31 Dec 10 No. of options and rights	31 Dec 10 Weighted average exercise price \$	31 Dec 09 No. of options and rights	31 Dec 09 Weighted average exercise price \$
Note				

## NOTE 21 SHARE BASED PAYMENTS

### (a) Options and rights over units

	31 Dec 10 No. of options and rights	31 Dec 10 Weighted average exercise price \$	31 Dec 09 No. of options and rights	31 Dec 09 Weighted average exercise price \$
– Series F Special options	21(a) (i) 52,500	2.03	52,500	2.64
– Series G1 Special options	21(a) (ii) 277,778	0.71	277,778	1.37
– Series H Special options	21(a) (iii) 11,805,862	0.81	11,805,862	1.55
– Series I Special options	21(a) (iv) 13,260,859	0.78	13,260,859	1.50
– Executive performance rights	21(b) (i) 441,271	–	409,325	–
– Partnership incentive rights	21(b) (ii) 95,772	–	43,872	–
– Executive performance and partnership incentive rights issued to employees of related parties	21(a) (vii) 3,864,937	–	4,073,010	–
	<b>29,798,979</b>	<b>0.89</b>	29,923,206	1.61

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 21 SHARE BASED PAYMENTS (CONTINUED)

### (a) Options and rights over units (continued)

	31 Dec 10 No. of options and rights	31 Dec 10 Weighted average exercise price \$	31 Dec 09 No. of options and rights	31 Dec 09 Weighted average exercise price \$
<b>Movement in options and rights on issue</b>				
Balance at the beginning of the year	29,923,206	1.61	27,787,991	1.16
<i>Movement in Executive performance rights</i>				
– rights issued during the year	–	–	133,931	–
– rights transferred on employee relocation	48,763	–	–	–
– adjustment to rights upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust <sup>(1)</sup>	122,694	–	–	–
– rights exercised during the year	(119,615)	–	–	–
– rights forfeited during the year	(19,896)	–	–	–
<i>Movement in Partnership incentive rights</i>				
– rights issued during the year	–	–	26,640	–
– rights transferred on employee relocation	36,971	–	–	–
– adjustment to rights upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust <sup>(1)</sup>	22,636	–	–	–
– rights exercised during the year	(7,707)	–	–	–
<i>Movements in Executive performance and Partnership incentive rights issued to employees of related parties</i>				
– rights issued during the year	159,088	–	2,161,205	–
– rights transferred on employee relocation	(85,734)	–	–	–
– adjustment to rights upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust <sup>(1)</sup>	1,138,739	–	–	–
– rights exercised during the year	(1,158,522)	–	(2,876)	–
– rights forfeited during the year	(261,644)	–	(183,685)	–
Balance at the end of the year <sup>(2)</sup>	29,798,979	0.89	29,923,206	1.61

<sup>(1)</sup> In December 2010, the Westfield Group established the Westfield Retail Trust (refer to Note 47 of the Westfield Group's Financial Report for details of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction). As a result of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction, the rights over Westfield Group stapled securities have been increased by applying an adjustment factor of 1.28 to the rights on issue with a vesting date post 15 December 2010. The adjustment factor is calculated using the formula as follows: (Value of Westfield Group stapled security post transaction = \$9.7927 + Offer price of stapled units under the offer = \$2.75) / Value of Westfield Group stapled security post transaction = \$9.7927.

<sup>(2)</sup> At 31 December 2010 the 29,798,979 options and rights (31 December 2009: 29,923,206 options and rights) on issue were convertible to 100,213,836 (31 December 2009: 100,338,063) Westfield Group stapled securities.

#### (i) Series F – Special Options

The Series F Special Options are exercisable during the period commencing on 1 June 2007 and ending on 1 June 2020. Each Series F Special Option entitles the holder the right to be issued 157.35 fully paid Westfield Group stapled securities in exchange for either US\$1,000 (\$982.51) or 1 Series F preferred share in WEA. As at 31 December 2010 and 31 December 2009, there were 52,500 Series F Special Options on issue which are exchangeable for 8,260,875 Westfield Group stapled securities.

As the Series F Special Options are A\$ options and are associated with foreign currency debt, they have been classified as a derivative financial liability and have been fair valued through the income statement.

#### (ii) Series G1 – Special Options

The Series G1 Special Options are exercisable any time after September 2003 and expire on the date being 10 days prior to the date of termination of the Group. Each Series G1 Special Option entitles the holder to deliver a Series D CPS (or the number of common shares into which a Series D CPS has been converted). On exercise the holder of a Series G1 Special Option will receive 34.6632 Westfield Group stapled securities. The ratio will be appropriately adjusted where, instead of delivering a Series D CPS, the holder delivers the number of WEA common shares into which a Series D CPS has been converted. As at 31 December 2010 and 31 December 2009, there were 277,778 Series G1 Special Options on issue which are exchangeable for 9,628,674 Westfield Group stapled securities.

As the Series G1 Special Options are A\$ options and are associated with foreign currency debt, they have been classified as a derivative financial liability and have been fair valued through the income statement.

#### (iii) Series H – Special Options

The Series H Special Options are exercisable any time after September 2003 and expire on the date being 10 days prior to the date of termination of the Group. The Series H Special Options are exercisable by the holder delivering a common share in WEA. On exercise the holder of a Series H Special Option will receive 3.049065 Westfield Group stapled securities. As at 31 December 2010 and 31 December 2009 there were 11,805,862 Series H Special Options on issue which are exchangeable for 35,996,841 Westfield Group stapled securities.

As the Series H Special Options are A\$ options and are associated with foreign currency debt, they have been classified as a derivative financial liability and have been fair valued through the income statement.

#### (iv) Series I – Special Options

The Series I Special Options are exercisable any time after May 2004 and expire on the date being 10 days prior to the date of termination of the Group. The Series I Special Options are exercisable by the holder delivering a common share in WEA. On exercise the holder of a Series I Special Option will receive 3.161595 Westfield Group stapled securities. As at 31 December 2010 and 31 December 2009, there were 13,260,859 Series I Special Options on issue which are exchangeable for 41,925,466 Westfield Group stapled securities.

As the Series I Special Options are A\$ options and are associated with foreign currency debt, they have been classified as a derivative financial liability and have been fair valued through the income statement.

## NOTE 21 SHARE BASED PAYMENTS (CONTINUED)

### (a) Options and rights over units (continued)

#### (v) Stapling Options

At the time of the Merger, each of WAT, WHL and WT had options on issue. Pursuant to the Merger Implementation Deed, each of WAT, WHL and WT have issued options to each other to enable each entity to satisfy the delivery of a Westfield Group stapled security on exercise of options currently on issue in each of those entities.

#### (vi) Other

Of the stapling options issued to WAT, 25,396,999 options remain from WT and the same from WHL to enable WAT to satisfy the delivery of a Westfield Group stapled security on exercise of the special options issued by WAT that are on issue.

The voting entitlements of the special options are determined in accordance with Section 253F of the Corporations Act 2001.

#### (vii) Executive Performance and Partnership Incentive Rights Issued to Employees of Related Parties

There are 3,864,937 (31 December 2009: 4,073,010) Executive performance and Partnership incentive rights on issue to employees of related parties of the Westfield Group. Under the stapling arrangement each of WT, WHL and WAT are required to issue securities/units on the vesting of an Executive performance and Partnership incentive right. At 31 December 2010, the 3,864,937 (31 December 2009: 4,073,010) Executive performance and Partnership incentive rights issued to employees of related parties were convertible to 3,864,937 (31 December 2009: 4,073,010) Westfield Group stapled securities.

Vesting profile	Number of rights 31 Dec 10	Number of rights 31 Dec 09
2010	–	959,889
2011	2,049,801	1,756,639
2012	1,202,029	998,431
2013	409,474	358,051
2014	203,633	–
	<b>3,864,937</b>	4,073,010

### (b) Executive Performance Rights and Partnership Incentive Rights Plans

#### (i) The Executive Performance Rights Plan (EPR Plan) – Equity settled

	Number of rights 31 Dec 10	Number of rights 31 Dec 09
<b>Movement in Executive Performance Rights</b>		
Balance at the beginning of the year	409,325	275,394
Rights issued during the year	–	133,931
Rights transferred on employee relocation	48,763	–
Adjustment to rights upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust	122,694	–
Rights exercised during the year	(119,615)	–
Rights forfeited during the year	(19,896)	–
Balance at the end of the year	<b>441,271</b>	409,325

Vesting profile	Fair value granted \$million <sup>(1)</sup>	Adjusted number of rights at <sup>(2)</sup> <sup>(3)</sup>	Adjusted fair value of rights granted \$ <sup>(3)</sup>	Fair value granted \$million	Number of rights at <sup>(2)</sup>	Fair value of the rights at grant date \$
	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 09
2010	–	–	–	1.0	76,364	13.47
2011	3.7	441,271	8.45	3.7	332,961	10.93
	<b>3.7</b>	<b>441,271</b>	<b>8.45</b>	4.7	409,325	11.40

<sup>(1)</sup> The fair value of the rights granted is equivalent before and after the Westfield Retail Trust transaction as a result of applying the adjustment factor to the number of rights on issue at the time of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction.

<sup>(2)</sup> The exercise price for the EPR Plan is nil.

<sup>(3)</sup> The fair value of the rights at grant date has been adjusted as a result of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction. The number of rights has been increased by applying the adjustment factor of 1.28 with consequential adjustment to the fair value at grant date.

The EPR Plan is a plan in which senior and high performing employees participate. The Westfield Group Executive Chairman and Westfield Group Managing Directors do not participate in the EPR Plan. However the Westfield Group Managing Directors participate in the EDA Plan. The fair value of rights issued under the EPR Plan is measured at each grant date using a Black Scholes option pricing model. The inputs include the Westfield Group's 10 day volume weighted average security price prior to the grant date, the risk free interest rate, expected volatility and expected dividend yield during the vesting period. Expected volatility is based on the historical Westfield Group security price volatility over the past 3 years. Executives are not able to call for early exercise of the rights, however there are provisions in the plan to allow for early vesting at the discretion of the Board. Vesting conditions such as the number of employees remaining in service is taken into account in determining the total amortisation for each reporting period. Refer to Note 40 for further details concerning other Key Management Personnel remuneration disclosures in relation to the EPR Plan.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 21 SHARE BASED PAYMENTS (CONTINUED)

### (b) Executive Performance Rights and Partnership Incentive Rights Plans (continued)

(ii) The Partnership Incentive Rights Plan (PIR Plan) – Equity settled

	Number of rights 31 Dec 10	Number of rights 31 Dec 09
<b>Movement in Partnership Incentive Rights</b>		
Balance at the beginning of the year	43,872	17,232
Rights issued during the year <sup>(1)</sup>	–	26,640
Rights transferred on employee relocation	36,971	–
Adjustment to rights upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust	22,636	–
Rights exercised during the year	(7,707)	–
Balance at the end of the year	95,772	43,872

<sup>(1)</sup> As outlined in Note 40, certain performance hurdles must be met in order for participants to be entitled to rights under the PIR Plan. The application of graduated scaling for entitlement to rights during the Financial Year resulted in participants receiving 85% of the targeted number of rights.

Vesting profile	Fair value granted \$million <sup>(1)</sup>	Adjusted number of rights at <sup>(2) (3)</sup>	Adjusted fair value of rights granted \$ <sup>(3)</sup>	Fair value granted \$million	Number of rights at <sup>(2)</sup>	Fair value of the rights at grant date \$
	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 09
2010	–	–	–	0.1	6,021	18.13
2011	0.4	36,666	10.65	0.3	21,674	13.96
2012	0.3	44,081	8.03	0.2	16,177	11.82
2013	0.1	15,025	4.96	–	–	–
	0.8	95,772	8.55	0.6	43,872	13.74

<sup>(1)</sup> The fair value of the rights granted is equivalent before and after the Westfield Retail Trust transaction as a result of applying the adjustment factor to the number of rights on issue at the time of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction.

<sup>(2)</sup> The exercise price for the PIR Plan is nil.

<sup>(3)</sup> The fair value of the rights at grant date has been adjusted as a result of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction. The number of rights has been increased by applying the adjustment factor of 1.28 with consequential adjustment to the fair value at grant date.

The senior leadership team of the Westfield Group participate in the PIR Plan. The Westfield Group Executive Chairman and Westfield Group Managing Directors do not participate in the PIR Plan. However, the Westfield Group Managing Directors participate in the PIP Plan (refer to Note 21(c) (ii)). The fair value of the rights issued under the PIR Plan is measured at each grant date using a Black Scholes option pricing model. The inputs include the Westfield Group's 10 day volume weighted average security price prior to the grant date, the risk free interest rate, expected volatility and expected dividend yield during the vesting period. Expected volatility is based on the historical Westfield Group security price volatility over the past 3 years. Other vesting conditions include growth in the Westfield Group's Operational segment earnings and development projects starts during the qualifying year. Vesting conditions such as number of employees remaining in service is taken into account in determining the total amortisation for each reporting period. In calculating the Black Scholes' value of rights granted it has been assumed that the hurdle conditions are met and consequently, the value of the option is not reduced to reflect the hurdle conditions. Refer to Note 40 for further details concerning other Key Management Personnel remuneration disclosures in relation to the PIR Plan.

### Accounting for equity settled Share Based Payments

During the year, \$1.5 million (31 December 2009: \$1.4 million) was charged to the income statement as gross amortisation in respect of equity settled share based payments.

## NOTE 21 SHARE BASED PAYMENTS (CONTINUED)

### (c) Executive Deferred Award and Partnership Incentive Plans

(i) The Executive Deferred Award Plan (EDA Plan) – Cash settled

	Number of award securities 31 Dec 10	Weighted average grant price \$ 31 Dec 10	Number of award securities 31 Dec 09	Weighted average grant price \$ 31 Dec 09
<b>Movement in Executive Deferred Awards</b>				
Balance at the beginning of the year	2,976,863	14.45	1,906,046	18.49
Awards issued during the year	1,023,475	12.05	1,342,102	10.34
Adjustment to awards upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust	764,694	–	–	–
Distribution reinvested as awards during the year	149,128	12.40	269,011	10.98
Awards exercised during the year	(1,096,336)	17.83	(278,177)	17.56
Awards lapsed during the year	(322,062)	13.04	(262,119)	15.93
Balance at the end of the year	3,495,762	9.51	2,976,863	14.45

Vesting profile	Cumulative value granted \$million <sup>(1)</sup>	Adjusted number of award securities <sup>(2)</sup>	Adjusted weighted average grant price \$ <sup>(2)</sup>	Cumulative value granted \$million	Number of award securities	Weighted average grant price \$
	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 09
2010	–	–	–	11.3	600,686	18.74
2011	0.1	8,812	12.62	8.3	503,096	16.53
2012	33.0	3,478,466	9.50	23.4	1,873,081	12.51
2013	0.1	8,484	9.75	–	–	–
	33.2	3,495,762	9.51	43.0	2,976,863	14.45

<sup>(1)</sup> The fair value of the awards granted is equivalent before and after the Westfield Retail Trust transaction as a result of applying the adjustment factor to the number of awards on issue at the time of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction.

<sup>(2)</sup> The weighted average grant price has been adjusted as a result of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction. The number of awards has been increased by applying the adjustment factor of 1.28 with consequential adjustment to the weighted average grant price.

The EDA Plan is a plan in which senior executives and high performing employees participate. The fair value of the EDA Plan is measured at each reporting date using inputs that include the number of employees remaining in service, the volume weighted average of the Westfield Group stapled security prices and the distribution policy during the vesting period. Refer to Note 40 for further details concerning other Key Management Personnel remuneration disclosures in relation to EDA Plan.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 21 SHARE BASED PAYMENTS (CONTINUED)

### (c) Executive Deferred Award and Partnership Incentive Plans (continued)

#### (ii) The Partnership Incentive Plan (PIP Plan) – Cash settled

	Number of award securities 31 Dec 10	Weighted average grant price \$ 31 Dec 10	Number of award securities 31 Dec 09	Weighted average grant price \$ 31 Dec 09
<b>Movement in Partnership Incentives</b>				
Balance at the beginning of the year	1,232,468	14.73	852,334	17.49
Awards issued during the year <sup>(1)</sup>	588,571	11.24	420,907	10.04
Awards transferred on employee relocation	29,502	11.24	–	–
Adjustment to awards upon the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust	402,273	–	–	–
Distribution reinvested as awards during the year	36,386	12.41	67,075	10.98
Awards exercised during the year	(450,227)	16.97	(107,848)	15.85
Balance at the end of the year	1,838,973	9.74	1,232,468	14.73

<sup>(1)</sup> As outlined in Note 40, certain performance hurdles must be met in order for participants to be entitled to awards under the PIP Plan. The application of graduated scaling for entitlement to awards during the Financial Year resulted in participants receiving 125% (31 December 2009: 85%) of the targeted number of awards.

Vesting profile	Cumulative value granted \$million <sup>(1)</sup> 31 Dec 10	Adjusted number of award securities <sup>(2)</sup> 31 Dec 10	Adjusted weighted average grant price \$ <sup>(2)</sup> 31 Dec 10	Cumulative value granted \$million 31 Dec 09	Number award securities 31 Dec 09	Weighted average grant price \$ 31 Dec 09
2010	–	–	–	3.6	221,028	16.33
2011	–	–	–	3.8	215,271	17.78
2012	7.1	681,090	10.43	4.0	229,662	17.61
2013	7.9	849,744	9.30	4.4	341,992	12.85
2014	2.9	308,139	9.41	2.3	224,515	10.04
	17.9	1,838,973	9.74	18.1	1,232,468	14.73

<sup>(1)</sup> The fair value of the awards granted is equivalent before and after the Westfield Retail Trust transaction as a result of applying the adjustment factor to the number of awards on issue at the time of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction.

<sup>(2)</sup> The weighted average grant price has been adjusted as a result of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction. The number of awards has been increased by applying the adjustment factor of 1.28 with consequential adjustment to the weighted average grant price.

The senior leadership team of the Westfield Group, including the Westfield Group Managing Directors, participate in the PIP Plan. The Westfield Group Executive Chairman does not participate in the PIP Plan. The fair value of the PIP Plan is measured at each reporting date using inputs that include the Westfield Group achieving the performance hurdles, number of employees remaining in service, the volume weighted average of the Westfield Group stapled security prices and the distribution policy during the vesting period. Refer to Note 40 for further details concerning other Key Management Personnel remuneration disclosures in relation to the PIP Plan.

#### Accounting for Cash Settled Share Based Payments

The accounts of the Group and the remuneration disclosures in this note and Note 40 disclose the full liability to unitholders of the grant of awards under the Group's equity linked plans, and not simply the amortisation of the nominal amount of the grant when originally made.

At the date of granting an award, the nominal value of the award is adjusted for anticipated increases in the value of that award over its life. Assumptions regarding both future distributions and Westfield Group security price increases are made for the purposes of estimating the Group's future liability with respect to each award. The estimated future liability is then amortised over the life of the award.

At the end of each accounting period the awards are marked to market, any gains and losses are amortised over the remaining life of the awards.

During the year, \$12.0 million (31 December 2009: \$4.1 million) was charged to the income statement as gross amortisation in respect of cash settled based share based payments.

31 Dec 10  
\$million

31 Dec 09  
\$million

## NOTE 22 RESERVES

Foreign currency translation reserve	(515.3)	(292.1)
Employee share plan benefits reserve	0.8	0.6
Balance at the end of the year	(514.5)	(291.5)

### Movement in foreign currency translation reserve

The foreign currency translation reserve is to record net exchange differences arising from the translation of financial statements of foreign controlled entities and the net investments hedged in these entities.

Balance at the beginning of the year	(292.1)	632.9
Foreign exchange movement		
– realised and unrealised differences on the translation of investment in foreign entities, currency loans and asset hedging derivatives which qualify for hedge accounting	(223.2)	(925.0)
Balance at the end of the year	(515.3)	(292.1)

### Movement in employee share plan benefits reserve

The employee share plan benefits reserve is used to record the value of share based payments provided to employees as part of their remuneration.

Balance at the beginning of the year	0.6	0.3
– equity settled share based payment	0.2	0.3
Balance at the end of the year	0.8	0.6

## NOTE 23 ACCUMULATED LOSSES

### Movement in accumulated losses

Balance at the beginning of the year	(3,502.2)	(1,003.2)
Profit/(loss) after tax for the period	428.4	(1,382.0)
Distributions paid	(708.2)	(801.6)
Reallocation of Group net assets to other entities of the Westfield Group on issuance of shares by controlled entities	–	(315.4)
Balance at the end of the year	(3,782.0)	(3,502.2)

## NOTE 24 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

### (a) Components of cash and cash equivalents

Cash	49.8	53.8
Total cash and cash equivalents	49.8	53.8

### (b) Reconciliation of profit/(loss) after tax to net cash flows from operating activities

Profit/(loss) after tax	467.6	(1,517.1)
Property revaluations	(107.9)	2,057.3
Share of associates' (profits)/losses in excess of dividends/distributions	(81.0)	316.3
Deferred tax	95.8	(190.0)
Net fair value (gain)/loss on forward exchange contracts	131.9	(27.3)
Financing costs	486.7	555.6
Interest income	(72.9)	(68.6)
Loss/(gain) from capital transactions	18.9	(54.4)
(Increase)/decrease in working capital attributable to operating activities	(14.2)	41.8
Net cash flows from operating activities	924.9	1,113.6

## NOTE 25 DISTRIBUTIONS

### (a) Final distribution proposed

25% estimated tax deferred (31 December 2009: 100% tax deferred)	197.6	454.3
	197.6	454.3

Interim distributions of 11.00 cents were paid on 31 August 2010. Final distributions were paid on 28 February 2011. The record date for the final distributions was 5pm, 14 February 2011. The DRP was suspended from operation on 2 February 2010. Accordingly, the DRP was not in operation for the distribution paid on 28 February 2011.

### (b) Distributions paid during the year

Distribution in respect of the six months to 30 June 2010	253.9	–
Distribution in respect of the six months to 31 December 2009	454.3	–
Distribution in respect of the six months to 30 June 2009	–	448.3
Distribution in respect of the six months to 31 December 2008	–	353.3
	708.2	801.6

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

31 Dec 10  
\$million

31 Dec 09  
\$million

## NOTE 26 LEASE COMMITMENTS

### Operating lease receivables

Substantially all of the property owned and leased by the Group is leased to third party retailers. Lease terms vary between retailers and some leases include percentage rental payments based on sales revenue.

Future minimum rental revenues under non-cancellable operating retail property leases:

Due within one year	710.6	826.0
Due between one and five years	2,189.0	2,664.7
Due after five years	1,521.1	2,129.8
	<b>4,420.7</b>	<b>5,620.5</b>

These amounts do not include percentage rentals which may become receivable under certain leases on the basis of retailer sales in excess of stipulated minimums and do not include recovery of outgoings.

### Operating lease payables

Expenditure contracted but not provided for

Due within one year	8.3	9.0
Due between one and five years	11.6	22.0
Due after five years	3.7	4.5
	<b>23.6</b>	<b>35.5</b>

## NOTE 27 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE COMMITMENTS

Estimated capital expenditure committed at balance date but not provided for in relation to development projects:

Due within one year	176.9	-
	<b>176.9</b>	<b>-</b>

## NOTE 28 CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Performance guarantees	415.7	560.5
Special tax assessment municipal bonds	35.3	41.5
Guaranteed borrowings of associates of the Responsible Entity	5,815.8	7,711.0
	<b>6,266.8</b>	<b>8,313.0</b>

The Group's obligation with respect to performance guarantees may be called on at any time dependent upon the performance or non performance of certain third parties.

From time to time, in the normal course of business, the Group is involved in lawsuits. The Directors of the Responsible Entity believe that the ultimate outcome of such pending litigation will not materially affect the results of operations or the financial position of the Group.

## NOTE 29 SEGMENT REPORTING

### Operating segments

The Group's operating segments are as follows:

(a) *The Group's operational segment comprises the property investment and property and project management segments.*

#### (i) Property Investments

Property investments segment includes net property income from existing shopping centres and completed developments, revaluation of existing centres and other operational expenses.

#### (ii) Property and Project Management

Property and project management segment includes external fee income from third parties, primarily property management and development fees, and associated business expenses.

#### (b) Development

The Westfield Group has a global program to redevelop its shopping centres and to develop new shopping centres. The development segment includes revaluation of redevelopments and development projects, and associated development expenses. It also includes income and expenses on properties held for future redevelopment and inter-segmental transactions.

#### (c) Corporate

The corporate business unit includes unallocated corporate entity expenses.

Transactions such as the change in fair value of financial instruments, impact of currency hedging, interest income, financing costs, taxation, gain/loss from capital transactions and the corporate business unit are not allocated to the above segments and are included in order to facilitate a reconciliation to the Group's net profit attributable to its members.

The Group's operating segment's income and expenses have been prepared on a proportionate format. The proportionate format presents the net income from equity accounted properties on a gross format whereby the underlying components of net income is disclosed separately as revenues, expenses, revaluations, financing costs and taxes.

Management of the Group considers that, given that the assets underlying both the consolidated and the equity accounted components of the statutory income statement are similar (that is United States shopping centres), that most of the centres are under common management, and that, therefore, the drivers of their results are similar, the proportionate format income statement provides a more useful way to understand the performance of the portfolio as a whole than the statutory format. This is because the proportionate format aggregates both revenue and expense items across the whole portfolio, rather than netting the income and expense items for equity accounted centres and only reflecting their performance as a single item of profit or loss, as the statutory format requires. As a result, the proportionate format is what management considers in assessing and understanding the performance and results of operations of the Group as it allows management to observe and analyse revenue and expense results and trends on a portfolio-wide basis.

## NOTE 29 SEGMENT REPORTING (CONTINUED)

### (a) Income and expenses

31 December 2010	Operational		Development \$million	Corporate \$million	Consolidated \$million
	Property investments \$million	Property and project management \$million			
<b>Revenue</b>					
Property revenue <sup>(1)</sup>	1,562.7	-	29.1	-	1,591.8
Property development and project management revenue	-	10.0	-	-	10.0
Property and funds management income	-	34.5	-	-	34.5
	<b>1,562.7</b>	<b>44.5</b>	<b>29.1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,636.3</b>
<b>Expenses</b>					
Property expenses, outgoings and other costs <sup>(2)</sup>	(564.3)	-	(15.7)	-	(580.0)
Property development and project management costs	-	(8.5)	(32.5)	-	(41.0)
Property and funds management costs	-	(21.5)	-	-	(21.5)
Corporate overheads	-	-	-	(8.3)	(8.3)
	<b>(564.3)</b>	<b>(30.0)</b>	<b>(48.2)</b>	<b>(8.3)</b>	<b>(650.8)</b>
Realised gains on income hedging currency derivatives	22.2	-	-	-	22.2
<b>Segment result</b>	<b>1,020.6</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>(19.1)</b>	<b>(8.3)</b>	<b>1,007.7</b>
<b>Segment revaluations and loss from capital transactions</b>					
Revaluation of properties and development projects	77.5	-	30.4	-	107.9
Equity accounted – revaluation of properties and development projects	75.5	-	(7.0)	-	68.5
Non controlling interests' share of property revaluations	(12.9)	-	(2.0)	-	(14.9)
	<b>140.1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>21.4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>161.5</b>
Loss from capital transactions					(18.9)
<b>Inter-segmental transactions</b>					
Transfer of completed developments			93.6		93.6
Carrying value of developments transferred			(93.6)		(93.6)
	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
Other currency derivatives					(127.7)
Interest income					72.9
Financing costs					(533.8)
Tax expense					(109.0)
Non controlling interests					(24.3)
<b>Net profit attributable to members of WAT <sup>(3)</sup></b>					<b>428.4</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Property revenue of \$1,591.8 million consists of consolidated property revenue of \$1,387.8 million and equity accounted property revenue of \$204.0 million.

<sup>(2)</sup> Property expenses, outgoings and other costs of \$580.0 million consists of consolidated property expenses, outgoings and other costs of \$508.4 million and equity accounted property expenses, outgoings and other costs of \$71.6 million.

<sup>(3)</sup> Net profit attributable to members of the WAT was \$428.4 million. Net profit after tax for the period which includes profit attributable to non controlling interests of \$39.2 million was \$467.6 million.

### (b) Details of segment assets and liabilities

Segment assets	14,591.1	11.2	549.7	-	15,152.0
Group assets					1,313.7
<b>Total segment assets</b>	<b>14,591.1</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>549.7</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>16,465.7</b>
Segment liabilities	378.2	-	22.0	-	400.2
Group liabilities					11,623.5
<b>Total segment liabilities</b>	<b>378.2</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12,023.7</b>
<b>Equity accounted associates included in segment assets</b>	<b>1,339.6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,339.6</b>
<b>Additions to segment non current assets during the year</b>	<b>25.9</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>201.6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>227.5</b>

## NOTE 29 SEGMENT REPORTING (CONTINUED)

### (a) Income and expenses (continued)

	Operational		Development	Corporate	Consolidated
	Property investments	Property and project management			
31 December 2009	\$million	\$million	\$million	\$million	\$million
<b>Revenue</b>					
Property revenue <sup>(1)</sup>	1,794.6	–	27.7	–	1,822.3
Property development and project management revenue	–	26.1	–	–	26.1
Property and funds management income	–	35.4	–	–	35.4
	<b>1,794.6</b>	<b>61.5</b>	<b>27.7</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,883.8</b>
<b>Expenses</b>					
Property expenses, outgoings and other costs <sup>(2)</sup>	(652.3)	–	(17.6)	–	(669.9)
Property development and project management costs	–	(20.0)	(31.6)	–	(51.6)
Property and funds management costs	–	(27.2)	–	–	(27.2)
Corporate overheads	–	–	–	(8.8)	(8.8)
	<b>(652.3)</b>	<b>(47.2)</b>	<b>(49.2)</b>	<b>(8.8)</b>	<b>(757.5)</b>
Realised gains on income hedging currency derivatives	26.7	–	–	8.8	35.5
<b>Segment result</b>	<b>1,169.0</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>(21.5)</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,161.8</b>
<b>Segment revaluations and gain from capital transactions</b>					
Revaluation of properties and development projects	(1,733.3)	–	(324.0)	–	(2,057.3)
Equity accounted – revaluation of properties and development projects	(328.0)	–	–	–	(328.0)
Non controlling interests' share of property revaluations	174.4	–	–	–	174.4
	<b>(1,886.9)</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>(324.0)</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>(2,210.9)</b>
Gain from capital transactions					54.4
<b>Inter-segmental transactions</b>					
Transfer of completed developments			627.4		627.4
Carrying value of developments transferred			(627.4)		(627.4)
	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>
Other currency derivatives					19.4
Interest income					68.6
Financing costs					(612.3)
Tax benefit					176.3
Non controlling interests					(39.3)
<b>Net loss attributable to members of WAT<sup>(3)</sup></b>					<b>(1,382.0)</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Property revenue of \$1,822.3 million consists of consolidated property revenue of \$1,584.7 million and equity accounted property revenue of \$237.6 million.

<sup>(2)</sup> Property expenses, outgoings and other costs of \$669.9 million consists of consolidated property expenses, outgoings and other costs of \$584.5 million and equity accounted property expenses, outgoings and other costs of \$85.4 million.

<sup>(3)</sup> Net loss attributable to members of the WAT was \$1,382.0 million. Net loss after tax for the period which includes loss attributable to non controlling interests of \$135.1 million was \$1,517.1 million.

### (b) Details of segment assets and liabilities

Segment assets	16,052.4	4.8	504.9	–	16,562.1
Group assets					1,741.4
<b>Total segment assets</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>504.9</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>18,303.5</b>
Segment liabilities	629.2	–	35.2	–	664.4
Group liabilities					12,679.2
<b>Total segment liabilities</b>	<b>629.2</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>13,343.6</b>
<b>Equity accounted associates included in segment assets</b>	<b>1,368.8</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,368.8</b>
<b>Additions to segment non current assets during the year</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>529.6</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>545.6</b>

## NOTE 30 CAPITAL RISK MANAGEMENT

The Group seeks to manage its capital requirements to maximise value to members through the mix of debt and equity funding, while ensuring that Group entities:

- comply with capital and distribution requirements of their constitutions and/or trust deeds;
- comply with capital requirements of relevant regulatory authorities;
- maintain strong investment grade credit ratings; and
- continue to operate as going concerns.

The Group assesses the adequacy of its capital requirements, cost of capital and gearing (i.e. debt/equity mix) as part of its broader strategic plan. The Group continuously reviews its capital structure to ensure:

- sufficient funds and financing facilities, on a cost effective basis, are available to implement the Group's property development and business acquisition strategies;
- adequate financing facilities for unforeseen contingencies are maintained; and
- distributions to members are made within the stated distribution policy.

The Group is able to alter its capital mix by issuing new units and hybrid units, activating its distribution reinvestment plan, electing to have the distribution reinvestment underwritten, adjusting the amount of distributions paid to members, activating a Westfield Group security buy back program, divesting assets to repay borrowings or adjusting the timing of capital expenditure for its property redevelopment pipeline.

The Group also protects its equity in assets by taking out insurance.

## NOTE 31 FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

The Group's principal financial instruments comprise cash, receivables, payables, interest bearing liabilities, other financial liabilities, other investments and derivative financial instruments.

The Group manages its exposure to key financial risks in accordance with the Westfield Group's treasury risk management policies. These policies have been established to manage the key financial risks such as interest rate, foreign exchange, counterparty credit and liquidity.

The Westfield Group's treasury risk management policies are established to identify and analyse the risks faced by the Group, to set appropriate risk limits and controls to monitor risks and adherence to limits. Risk management policies and systems are reviewed regularly to reflect changes in market conditions and the Group's activities. The Westfield Group through its training and procedures, has developed a disciplined and constructive control environment in which relevant treasury and finance personnel understand their roles and obligations in respect of the Group's treasury management objectives.

The Westfield Group has an established Board approved risk management framework including policies, procedures, limits and allowed types of derivative financial instruments. The Board has appointed a Board Risk Management Committee comprising four directors. The Board Risk Management Committee reviews and oversees management's compliance with these policies, procedures and limits. The Board Risk Management Committee is assisted in the oversight role by the Westfield Group's Executive Risk Management Committee and internal audit function.

The Group uses different methods to measure and manage different types of risks to which it is exposed. These include monitoring levels of exposure to interest rates, foreign exchange, liquidity and credit risk. The Group enters into derivative financial instruments, principally interest rate swaps, interest rate options, cross currency swaps, forward exchange contracts and currency options. The purpose of these transactions is to manage the interest rate and currency risks arising from the Group's operations, cash flows, interest bearing liabilities and its net investments in foreign operations. The Group seeks to deal only with creditworthy counterparties and these assessments are regularly reviewed. Liquidity risk is monitored through the use of future rolling cash flow forecasts.

## NOTE 32 INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

The Group is exposed to interest rate risk on its borrowings and derivative financial instruments. This risk is managed by the Group by maintaining an appropriate mix between fixed and floating rate interest bearing liabilities. Fixed rate debt is achieved either through fixed rate debt funding or through the use of derivative financial instruments approved by the Board. These activities are evaluated regularly to determine that the Group is not exposed to interest rate movements that could adversely impact its ability to meet its financial obligations and to comply with its borrowing covenants.

### Summary of interest rate positions at balance date

The Group has interest risk on borrowings which are typically floating rate debt or notional borrowings entered into under currency derivatives. The exposures at reporting date together with the interest rate risk management transactions are as follows:

#### (i) Interest payable and receivable exposures

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Principal amounts of all interest bearing liabilities:			
Current interest bearing liabilities	17	<b>863.1</b>	437.4
Non current interest bearing liabilities	17	<b>7,983.8</b>	8,849.4
Share of equity accounted entities' interest bearing liabilities	13(b)	<b>731.0</b>	949.4
Cross currency swaps			
– A\$	33(ii)	<b>551.4</b>	551.4
– US\$1,812.8 million (31 December 2009: US\$2,412.8 million)	33(i)	<b>1,781.1</b>	2,680.6
– US\$2,465.0 million (31 December 2009: US\$2,890.0 million)	33(iv)	<b>2,421.9</b>	3,210.8
Foreign currency swaps			
– A\$	33(ii)	–	791.2
Principal amounts subject to interest rate payable exposure		<b>14,332.3</b>	17,470.2

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 32 INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

### Summary of interest rate positions at balance date (continued)

#### (i) Interest payable and receivable exposures (continued)

	Note	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Principal amounts of all interest bearing assets:			
Cross currency swaps			
– A\$	33(i), 33(iv)	5,174.0	6,611.4
– €373.3 million (31 December 2009: €373.3 million)	33(i)	490.5	597.4
– US\$500.0 million (31 December 2009: US\$500.0 million)	33(ii)	491.3	555.5
Loans receivable from related entities	10	48.3	351.7
Foreign currency swaps			
– US\$ nil (31 December 2009: US\$600.0 million)	33(ii)	–	666.6
Cash	24(a)	49.8	53.8
Share of equity accounted entities cash	13(b)	9.9	29.1
<b>Principal amounts subject to interest rate receivable exposure</b>		<b>6,263.8</b>	<b>8,865.5</b>

<b>Principal amounts of net interest bearing liabilities subject to interest rate payable exposure</b>	<b>8,068.5</b>	<b>8,604.7</b>
--	----------------	----------------

#### Principal amounts of fixed interest rate liabilities:

Fixed rate loans			
– US\$8,086.3 million (31 December 2009: US\$8,183.2 million)	32(ii)	7,944.9	9,091.4
– €373.3 million (31 December 2009: €373.3 million)	32(ii)	490.5	597.4
Fixed rate derivatives			
– US\$1,894.0 million (31 December 2009: US\$7,329.0 million)	32(ii)	1,860.9	8,142.4
Interest rate caps			
– US\$500.0 million (31 December 2009: nil)	32(iii)	491.3	–
Foreign currency swaps			
– A\$	33(ii)	–	791.2
<b>Principal amounts on which interest rate payable exposure has been hedged</b>		<b>10,787.6</b>	<b>18,622.4</b>

#### Principal amounts of fixed interest rate assets:

Fixed rate derivatives			
– A\$	32(ii)	6,649.9	7,477.1
– US\$2,200.0 million (31 December 2009: US\$1,150.0 million)	32(ii)	2,161.5	1,277.6
– €373.3 million (31 December 2009: €373.3 million)	32(ii)	490.5	597.4
Foreign currency swaps			
– US\$ nil (31 December 2009: US\$600.0 million)	33(ii)	–	666.6
<b>Principal amounts on which interest rate receivable exposure has been hedged</b>		<b>9,301.9</b>	<b>10,018.7</b>

<b>Principal amounts on which net interest rate payable exposure has been hedged</b>	<b>1,485.7</b>	<b>8,603.7</b>
--	----------------	----------------

At 31 December 2010, the Group has hedged 18% of its net interest payable exposure by way of fixed rate borrowings, interest rate swaps and interest rate options of varying durations. The remaining 82% is exposed to floating rates on a principal payable of \$6,582.8 million, at an average interest rate of 3.0%, including margin (31 December 2009: 100% hedged with no floating exposure). Changes to the fair value of derivatives due to interest rate movements are set out in Note 32(ii).

During the year, the hedging of the Group's net interest payable exposure has been reduced as part of the restructure of Westfield Group's hedging portfolio. Refer to Note 32(iia) for details of the interest rate swap profile subsequent to balance date.

Interest rate sensitivity	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of interest expense to changes in floating interest rates is as follows:		
Interest rate movement	(Increase)/ decrease in interest expense	
– 1.0%	65.8	–
– 0.5%	32.9	–
0.5%	(32.9)	–
1.0%	(65.8)	–

## NOTE 32 INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

### Summary of interest rate positions at balance date (continued)

#### (ii) Fixed rate debt and interest rate derivatives

Notional principal or contract amounts of the Group's consolidated and share of equity accounted fixed rate debt and interest rate swaps:

Fixed rate debt and swaps contracted as at the reporting date and outstanding at	Interest rate swaps		Fixed rate borrowings		Interest rate swaps		Fixed rate borrowings	
	31 Dec 10 Notional principal amount million	31 Dec 10 Average rate	31 Dec 10 Principal amount million	31 Dec 10 Average rate including margin	31 Dec 09 Notional principal amount million	31 Dec 09 Average rate	31 Dec 09 Principal amount million	31 Dec 09 Average rate including margin
<b>US\$ payable<sup>(1)</sup></b>								
31 December 2009	–	–	–	–	US\$(7,329.0)	5.60%	US\$(8,183.2)	6.18%
31 December 2010	<b>US\$(1,894.0)</b>	<b>6.09%</b>	<b>US\$(8,086.3)</b>	<b>6.12%</b>	US\$(3,372.0)	5.93%	US\$(7,751.6)	6.15%
31 December 2011	<b>US\$(1,934.0)</b>	<b>5.98%</b>	<b>US\$(7,389.2)</b>	<b>6.00%</b>	US\$(3,412.0)	5.87%	US\$(6,925.4)	6.02%
31 December 2012	<b>US\$(3,804.0)</b>	<b>5.69%</b>	<b>US\$(6,447.7)</b>	<b>6.04%</b>	US\$(5,649.0)	5.70%	US\$(5,987.7)	6.07%
31 December 2013	<b>US\$(3,440.0)</b>	<b>5.71%</b>	<b>US\$(5,909.1)</b>	<b>6.10%</b>	US\$(4,835.0)	5.71%	US\$(5,453.0)	6.14%
31 December 2014	<b>US\$(2,040.0)</b>	<b>5.39%</b>	<b>US\$(4,603.2)</b>	<b>6.40%</b>	US\$(3,225.0)	5.41%	US\$(4,238.6)	6.38%
31 December 2015	<b>US\$(1,315.0)</b>	<b>5.43%</b>	<b>US\$(4,479.0)</b>	<b>6.44%</b>	US\$(1,900.0)	5.42%	US\$(4,118.9)	6.42%
31 December 2016	<b>US\$(800.0)</b>	<b>5.34%</b>	<b>US\$(3,344.4)</b>	<b>6.71%</b>	US\$(1,100.0)	5.32%	US\$(2,989.0)	6.71%
31 December 2017	<b>US\$(1,000.0)</b>	<b>3.94%</b>	<b>US\$(2,966.3)</b>	<b>6.81%</b>	US\$(1,000.0)	3.94%	US\$(2,615.9)	6.83%
31 December 2018	<b>US\$(1,000.0)</b>	<b>3.94%</b>	<b>US\$(1,715.0)</b>	<b>6.71%</b>	US\$(1,000.0)	3.94%	US\$(1,370.7)	6.70%
31 December 2019	–	–	<b>US\$(552.9)</b>	<b>6.73%</b>	–	–	US\$(261.1)	6.75%
31 December 2020	–	–	<b>US\$(46.8)</b>	<b>4.97%</b>	–	–	–	–

#### € receivable/(payable)

31 December 2009	–	–	–	–	€373.3	3.58%	€(373.3)	3.58%
31 December 2010	<b>€373.3</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	<b>€(373.3)</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	€373.3	3.58%	€(373.3)	3.58%
31 December 2011	<b>€373.3</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	<b>€(373.3)</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	€373.3	3.58%	€(373.3)	3.58%

#### A\$ receivable

31 December 2009	–	–	–	–	A\$7,477.1	6.65%	–	–
31 December 2010	<b>A\$6,649.9</b>	<b>6.78%</b>	–	–	A\$6,649.9	6.78%	–	–
31 December 2011	<b>A\$5,749.9</b>	<b>6.78%</b>	–	–	A\$6,099.9	6.78%	–	–
31 December 2012	<b>A\$4,885.9</b>	<b>6.88%</b>	–	–	A\$5,235.9	6.87%	–	–
31 December 2013	<b>A\$2,649.9</b>	<b>7.30%</b>	–	–	A\$2,949.9	7.22%	–	–
31 December 2014	<b>A\$400.0</b>	<b>6.76%</b>	–	–	A\$700.0	6.63%	–	–

#### US\$ receivable

31 December 2009	–	–	–	–	US\$1,150.0	1.22%	–	–
31 December 2010	<b>US\$2,200.0</b>	<b>3.15%</b>	–	–	US\$850.0	1.56%	–	–
31 December 2011	<b>US\$2,500.0</b>	<b>3.51%</b>	–	–	US\$1,150.0	2.76%	–	–
31 December 2012	<b>US\$1,550.0</b>	<b>4.05%</b>	–	–	US\$200.0	3.33%	–	–
31 December 2013	<b>US\$1,350.0</b>	<b>4.15%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–
31 December 2014	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–
31 December 2015	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–
31 December 2016	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–
31 December 2017	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–
31 December 2018	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–
31 December 2019	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	–	–	–	–	–	–

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to Note 32 (iia) for details of the interest rate swap profile subsequent to balance date

The Group's interest rate swaps do not meet the accounting requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value have been reflected in the income statement as a component of interest expense. At 31 December 2010, the aggregate fair value is a payable of \$46.4 million (31 December 2009: \$282.8 million). The change in fair value for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$236.4 million (31 December 2009: \$804.0 million).

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 32 INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

### Summary of interest rate positions at balance date (continued)

#### (ii) Fixed rate debt and interest rate derivatives (continued)

Fair value sensitivity		31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of fair value of interest rate swaps to changes in interest rates is as follows:	Interest rate movement	(Increase)/decrease in interest expense	
	- 1.0%	76.9	(46.3)
	- 0.5%	38.4	(21.8)
	0.5%	(38.3)	20.0
	1.0%	(76.2)	39.7

All fixed rate borrowings are carried at amortised cost, therefore increases or decreases arising from changes in fair value have not been recorded in these financial statements.

#### (iia) Interest rate swaps subsequent to balance date

As part of ongoing Treasury risk management and as part of the restructure of Westfield Group's hedging portfolio, the Group has terminated US\$ payable interest rate hedges. These terminations were transacted over the period December 2010 to January 2011. The positions reported in Note 32(ii) above reflect the interim position at 31 December 2010. The final positions in respect of the Group's US\$ payable interest rate hedges following these transactions are set out below.

Interest rate swaps contracted as at the reporting date and outstanding at	US\$ payable	
	31 Jan 11 Notional principal amount million	31 Jan 11 Average rate
31 December 2017	US\$(1,000.0)	3.94%
31 December 2018	US\$(1,000.0)	3.94%

#### (iii) Interest rate caps

Notional principal of the Group's consolidated and share of equity accounted interest rate caps:

Interest rate caps contracted as at the reporting date and outstanding at	Interest rate caps		Interest rate caps	
	31 Dec 10 Notional principal amount million	31 Dec 10 Strike rate	31 Dec 09 Notional principal amount million	31 Dec 09 Strike rate
US\$ payable				
31 December 2010	US\$(500.0)	1.32%	US\$(500.0)	1.32%
31 December 2011	US\$(500.0)	1.32%	US\$(500.0)	1.32%

The Group's interest rate caps do not meet the accounting requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value have been reflected in the income statement as a component of interest expense. At 31 December 2010 the aggregate fair value is a payable of \$2.6 million (31 December 2009: receivable of \$0.2 million). The change in fair value for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$2.8 million (31 December 2009: \$0.2 million).

Fair value sensitivity		31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of fair value of interest rate caps to changes in interest rates is as follows:	Interest rate movement	(Increase)/decrease in interest expense	
	- 1.0%	(0.2)	(6.9)
	- 0.5%	(0.2)	(3.7)
	0.5%	0.8	4.2
	1.0%	2.3	8.8

## NOTE 33 EXCHANGE RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

The Group is exposed to exchange rate risk on its foreign currency earnings, its distribution, its foreign currency denominated shopping centre assets and other assets. The Group manages these exposures by entering into foreign currency derivative instruments and by borrowing in foreign currencies.

### Summary of foreign exchange balance sheet positions at balance date

The Group's foreign exchange exposures at reporting date together with the foreign exchange risk management transactions which have been entered into to manage those exposures are as follows:

	Note	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 09 million
<b>Foreign currency net investments</b>			
The Group had floating currency exposure, after taking into account the effect of foreign exchange derivatives, at reporting date of:			
US\$ net assets		US\$14,668.2	US\$14,189.2
US\$ borrowings		US\$(8,360.9)	US\$(7,847.3)
US\$ cross currency swaps	33(i)	US\$(1,812.8)	US\$(2,412.8)
US\$ currency swaps	33(ii)	US\$500.0	US\$1,100.0
US\$ denominated net assets		US\$4,994.5	US\$5,029.1

The Group's foreign currency net investments are subject to exchange rate risk. Gains and losses arising from changes in fair value of the Group's foreign currency denominated shopping centre and other assets together with associated hedging instruments are reflected in the foreign currency translation reserve where the Group has satisfied the accounting requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment.

Where the Group does not satisfy the hedge accounting requirements, the changes in fair value are reflected in the income statement as either foreign exchange gains or losses as appropriate.

Foreign currency sensitivity		31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of US\$ denominated net assets to changes in the A\$/US\$ rate is as follows:	A\$/US\$ Currency movement		Gain/(loss) to foreign currency translation reserve		Gain/(loss) to income statement
	- 10 cents	481.1	545.6	51.9	153.0
	- 5 cents	228.1	256.7	24.6	71.9
	+ 5 cents	(206.8)	(229.7)	(22.3)	(63.2)
	+ 10 cents	(395.1)	(436.5)	(42.6)	(119.8)

#### (i) Net investment hedges of the Group's foreign currency assets and liabilities

The following table details the cross currency swaps outstanding at reporting date. These contracts have been designated and qualify as hedges of net investment of foreign operations.

Cross currency swaps contracted as at the reporting date and outstanding at	Weighted average exchange rate		Amount receivable/(payable)			
	31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 09 million	31 Dec 09 million
<b>US\$</b>						
Contracts to receive A\$ and pay US\$						
31 December 2009	-	0.7594	-	-	A\$2,581.1	US\$(1,960.0)
31 December 2010	0.7830	0.7667	A\$1,736.8	US\$(1,360.0)	A\$1,499.9	US\$(1,150.0)
31 December 2011	0.7830	0.7667	A\$1,736.8	US\$(1,360.0)	A\$1,499.9	US\$(1,150.0)
31 December 2012	0.7667	0.7667	A\$1,499.9	US\$(1,150.0)	A\$1,499.9	US\$(1,150.0)
31 December 2013	0.7667	0.7667	A\$1,499.9	US\$(1,150.0)	A\$1,499.9	US\$(1,150.0)
Contracts to receive € <sup>(1)</sup> and pay US\$						
31 December 2009	-	1.2128	-	-	€373.3	US\$(452.8)
31 December 2010	1.2128	1.2128	€373.3	US\$(452.8)	€373.3	US\$(452.8)
31 December 2011	1.2128	1.2128	€373.3	US\$(452.8)	€373.3	US\$(452.8)

<sup>(1)</sup> The receive € exposure is matched with a pay € exposure in the income statement.

These cross currency swaps are effective net investment hedges and recorded directly in the foreign currency translation reserve. At 31 December 2010, the aggregate fair value is a receivable of \$446.2 million (31 December 2009: \$498.0 million). The change in fair value for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$51.8 million (31 December 2009: \$535.6 million).

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 33 EXCHANGE RATE RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

(i) Net investment hedges of the Group's foreign currency assets and liabilities (continued)

Foreign currency sensitivity	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of cross currency swaps to changes in the A\$/US\$ rate is as follows:		
A\$/US\$ Currency movement		Gain/(loss) to foreign currency translation reserve
- 10 cents	<b>(194.1)</b>	(335.0)
- 5 cents	<b>(92.0)</b>	(157.0)
+ 5 cents	<b>83.4</b>	141.1
+ 10 cents	<b>159.3</b>	268.0

(ii) Other foreign currency derivatives in respect of the Group's foreign currency assets and liabilities

The following table details the other financial derivatives in respect of the Group's foreign currency assets and liabilities outstanding at reporting date. These contracts do not qualify as hedges of net investments of foreign operations.

Foreign currency swaps contracted as at the reporting date and maturing during the year ended	Weighted average exchange rate	31 Dec 10 million	Amount receivable/(payable)		
31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 09 million	31 Dec 09 million
<b>US\$</b>					
Contracts to buy US\$ and sell A\$					
31 December 2010	-	0.7583	-	-	US\$600.0 A\$(791.2)

Cross currency swaps contracted as at the reporting date and outstanding at

Contracts to receive US\$ and pay A\$	Weighted average exchange rate	31 Dec 10 million	Amount receivable/(payable)		
31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 09 million	31 Dec 09 million
<b>US\$</b>					
31 December 2009	-	0.9068	-	-	US\$500.0 A\$(551.4)
31 December 2010	<b>0.9068</b>	0.9068	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>A\$(551.4)</b>	US\$500.0 A\$(551.4)
31 December 2011	<b>0.9068</b>	0.9068	<b>US\$500.0</b>	<b>A\$(551.4)</b>	US\$500.0 A\$(551.4)

At 31 December 2010, none of the above described foreign currency derivatives qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value have been reflected in the income statement. At 31 December 2010, the aggregate fair value is a payable of \$58.3 million (31 December 2009: \$91.4 million). The change in fair value for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$33.1 million (31 December 2009: \$91.4 million).

Foreign currency sensitivity	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of cross currency swaps to changes in the A\$/US\$ rate is as follows:		
A\$/US\$ Currency movement		Gain/(loss) to income statement
- 10 cents	<b>51.9</b>	153.0
- 5 cents	<b>24.6</b>	71.9
+ 5 cents	<b>(22.3)</b>	(63.2)
+ 10 cents	<b>(42.6)</b>	(119.8)

## NOTE 33 EXCHANGE RATE RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

(iii) Forward exchange derivatives to hedge the Group's foreign currency earnings

These derivatives manage the impact of exchange rate movements on the Group's foreign currency denominated earnings and the Group's distribution.

The following table details the forward exchange contracts outstanding at reporting date. These mitigate the impact of exchange rate movements on the Group's distribution and are ineffective hedges for accounting purposes.

Forward exchange contracts contracted as at the reporting date and maturing during the year ended	Weighted average exchange rate	31 Dec 10 million	Amount receivable/(payable)		
31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 09 million	31 Dec 09 million
<b>US\$</b>					
Contracts to buy A\$ and sell US\$					
31 December 2010	-	0.7270	-	-	A\$228.7 US\$(166.3)
	-	0.8043	-	-	A\$(164.5) US\$132.3
31 December 2011	<b>0.7765</b>	0.7765	<b>A\$186.1</b>	<b>US\$(144.5)</b>	A\$186.1 US\$(144.5)
	<b>0.7361</b>	0.7195	<b>A\$(140.9)</b>	<b>US\$103.7</b>	A\$(128.1) US\$92.2
31 December 2012	<b>0.8241</b>	0.8241	<b>A\$123.9</b>	<b>US\$(102.1)</b>	A\$123.9 US\$(102.1)
	<b>0.8114</b>	0.8114	<b>A\$(15.2)</b>	<b>US\$12.3</b>	A\$(15.2) US\$12.3
31 December 2013	<b>0.8136</b>	0.8136	<b>A\$197.3</b>	<b>US\$(160.5)</b>	A\$197.3 US\$(160.5)
31 December 2014	<b>0.7869</b>	0.7869	<b>A\$93.3</b>	<b>US\$(73.4)</b>	A\$93.3 US(73.4)

At 31 December 2010, none of the above described forward exchange contracts qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value have been reflected in the income statement. At 31 December 2010, the aggregate fair value is a receivable of \$50.5 million (31 December 2009: \$29.5 million). The change in fair value for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$21.0 million (31 December 2009: \$106.3 million).

Foreign currency sensitivity	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of forward exchange contracts to changes in the A\$/US\$ rate is as follows:		
A\$/US\$ Currency movement		Gain/(loss) to income statement
- 10 cents	<b>(38.6)</b>	(54.9)
- 5 cents	<b>(18.3)</b>	(25.8)
+ 5 cents	<b>16.5</b>	23.7
+ 10 cents	<b>31.5</b>	45.4

(iv) Cross currency interest rate swaps to hedge the Group's foreign currency earnings

The Group has entered into the following foreign currency derivative financial instruments to sell US\$ and purchase A\$ at floating interest rates on notional principals at fixed exchange rates.

The following table details the cross currency interest rate swaps outstanding at reporting date. These mitigate the impact of exchange rate movements on the Group's earnings and are ineffective hedges for accounting purposes.

Contracts to receive A\$ and pay US\$	Weighted average exchange rate	31 Dec 10 million	Amount receivable/(payable)		
31 Dec 10	31 Dec 09	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 10 million	31 Dec 09 million	31 Dec 09 million
<b>US\$</b>					
31 December 2009	-	0.7171	-	-	A\$4,030.3 US\$(2,890.0)
31 December 2010	<b>0.7171</b>	0.7171	<b>A\$3,437.2</b>	<b>US\$(2,465.0)</b>	A\$3,437.2 US\$(2,465.0)
31 December 2011	<b>0.7171</b>	0.7171	<b>A\$2,949.4</b>	<b>US\$(2,115.0)</b>	A\$2,949.4 US\$(2,115.0)
31 December 2012	<b>0.7158</b>	0.7158	<b>A\$1,983.9</b>	<b>US\$(1,420.0)</b>	A\$1,983.9 US\$(1,420.0)
31 December 2013	<b>0.7138</b>	0.7138	<b>A\$1,064.7</b>	<b>US\$(760.0)</b>	A\$1,064.7 US\$(760.0)

At 31 December 2010, none of the above described foreign exchange derivatives qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in fair value have been reflected in the income statement. At 31 December 2010, the aggregate fair value is a receivable of \$305.8 million (31 December 2009: \$352.4 million). The change in fair value for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$46.6 million (31 December 2009: \$91.0 million).

Foreign currency sensitivity	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
The sensitivity of cross currency interest rate swaps to changes in the A\$/US\$ rate is as follows:		
A\$/US\$ Currency movement		Gain/(loss) to income statement
- 10 cents	<b>(6.2)</b>	(22.9)
- 5 cents	<b>(3.0)</b>	(10.8)
+ 5 cents	<b>2.6</b>	9.6
+ 10 cents	<b>5.0</b>	18.3

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 34 CREDIT AND LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit risk refers to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligations resulting in a financial loss to the Group. Credit limits have been established to ensure that the Group deals only with approved counterparties and that counterparty concentration risk is addressed and the risk of loss is mitigated. Counterparty exposure is measured as the aggregate of all obligations of any single legal entity or economic entity to the Group, after allowing for appropriate set offs which are legally enforceable. A maximum credit limit is allocated to each counterparty based on its credit rating. The counterparty credit risk associated with investment instruments is assessed based on its outstanding face value.

At 31 December 2010, the aggregate credit risk in respect of derivative financial instruments is \$1,100.0 million (31 December 2009: \$1,185.7 million). In accordance with the Group policy, credit risk is spread among a number of creditworthy counterparties within specified limits. The Group had 71.0% of its aggregate credit risk spread over four counterparties each with an S&P long term rating of A- or higher. The remainder is spread over counterparties each with less than 10% of the aggregate credit risk and with an S&P long term rating of A or higher.

The Group undertakes active liquidity and funding risk management to enable it to have sufficient funds available to meet its financial obligations as and when they fall due, working capital and expected committed capital expenditure requirements. The Group prepares and monitors rolling forecasts of liquidity requirements on the basis of expected cash flow.

Interest bearing liabilities, and funding facilities and their maturity profiles are set out in Note 17.

## NOTE 35 PARENT ENTITY

The Parent Entity financial information is presented in accordance with the amendments to the Corporations Regulations 2001 and the Corporations Amendment Regulations 2010 (No. 6). Summary data of the Parent Entity is disclosed as follows:

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>(a) Assets</b>		
Current assets	187.5	528.3
Non current assets	5,710.3	5,836.9
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>5,897.8</b>	<b>6,365.2</b>
<b>(b) Liabilities</b>		
Current liabilities	333.9	217.4
Non current liabilities	586.5	609.4
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>920.4</b>	<b>826.8</b>
<b>(c) Total equity</b>		
Contributed equity	7,929.5	7,926.9
Asset revaluation reserve	-	(2,605.2)
Retained profits/(accumulated losses)	(2,952.1)	216.7
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>4,977.4</b>	<b>5,538.4</b>
<b>(d) Comprehensive income</b>		
Profit after tax for the period	(1,256.5)	1,018.3
Other comprehensive income	-	(3,373.1)
<b>Total comprehensive income for the period</b>	<b>(1,256.5)</b>	<b>(2,354.8)</b>
<b>(e) Contingent liabilities</b>		
Guaranteed borrowings of controlled entities	5,756.7	5,951.3
Guaranteed borrowings of associates of the Responsible Entity	5,815.8	7,711.0
	<b>11,572.5</b>	<b>13,662.3</b>

## NOTE 36 INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES, INTEREST AND DERIVATIVE CASH FLOW MATURITY PROFILE

### Interest bearing liabilities and interest

Maturity profile of the principal amounts of current and non current interest bearing liabilities (refer Note 17) together with the aggregate future estimated interest thereon is set out below:

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Due within one year	(1,207.9)	(452.9)
Due between one and five years	(5,228.6)	(5,015.8)
Due after five years	(5,032.2)	(6,882.7)
	<b>(11,468.7)</b>	<b>(12,351.4)</b>

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
--	------------------------	------------------------

## NOTE 36 INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES, INTEREST AND DERIVATIVE CASH FLOW MATURITY PROFILE (CONTINUED)

### Derivatives

Maturity profile of the estimated impact of contracted derivative cash flows in respect of interest and currency is set out below:

	31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
Due within one year	78.4	(29.4)
Due between one and five years	444.7	526.8
Due after five years	152.4	104.1
	<b>675.5</b>	<b>601.5</b>

Contingent liabilities are set out in Note 28 and are not included in the amounts shown above.

## NOTE 37 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Set out below is a comparison by category of carrying amounts and fair values of all the Group's financial instruments.

	31 Dec 10 \$million	Fair value 31 Dec 09 \$million	Carrying amount 31 Dec 10 \$million	31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Consolidated assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	49.8	53.8	49.8	53.8
Trade debtors <sup>(1)</sup>	19.1	21.0	19.1	21.0
Receivables <sup>(1)</sup>	143.0	431.9	143.0	431.9
Other investments <sup>(2)</sup>	409.5	462.3	409.5	462.3
Derivative assets <sup>(2)</sup>	1,100.0	1,185.7	1,100.0	1,185.7
<b>Consolidated liabilities</b>				
Trade creditors <sup>(1)</sup>	42.3	59.8	42.3	59.8
Payables and other creditors <sup>(1)</sup>	452.4	604.6	452.4	604.6
Interest bearing liabilities <sup>(2)</sup>				
– Fixed rate debt	8,397.4	9,352.0	7,797.9	8,978.8
– Floating rate debt	1,057.3	304.2	1,049.0	308.0
Other financial liabilities <sup>(2)</sup>	1,275.7	1,659.4	1,275.7	1,659.4
Derivative liabilities <sup>(2)</sup>	428.5	704.6	428.5	704.6

<sup>(1)</sup> These financial assets and liabilities are not subject to interest rate risk and the fair value approximates carrying amount.

<sup>(2)</sup> The financial assets and liabilities are subjected to interest rate and market risks, the basis of determining the fair value is set out in the fair value hierarchy below.

### Determination of Fair Value

The Group uses the following hierarchy for determining and disclosing the fair value of a financial instrument. The valuation techniques comprise:

Level 1: the fair value is calculated using quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: the fair value is estimated using inputs other than quoted prices that are observable, either directly (as prices) or indirectly (derived from prices).

Level 3: the fair value is estimated using inputs that are not based on observable market data.

	31 Dec 10 \$million	Level 1 \$million	Level 2 \$million	Level 3 \$million
<b>Consolidated assets measured at fair value</b>				
Other investments				
– Unlisted investment	409.5	-	-	409.5
Derivative assets				
– Interest rate derivatives	566.1	-	566.1	-
– Currency derivatives	533.9	-	533.9	-
<b>Consolidated liabilities measured at fair value</b>				
Interest bearing liabilities				
– Fixed rate debt	8,397.4	-	8,397.4	-
– Floating rate debt	1,057.3	-	1,057.3	-
Other financial liabilities				
– Redeemable preference shares/units	1,275.7	-	920.3	355.4
Derivative liabilities				
– Interest rate derivatives	309.4	-	309.4	-
– Currency derivatives	119.1	-	119.1	-

During the year, there were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements.

## NOTE 37 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES (CONTINUED)

	31 Dec 09 \$million	Level 1 \$million	Level 2 \$million	Level 3 \$million
<b>Consolidated assets measured at fair value</b>				
Other investments				
– Unlisted investment	462.3	–	–	462.3
Derivative assets				
– Interest rate derivatives	620.6	–	620.6	–
– Currency derivatives	565.1	–	565.1	–
<b>Consolidated liabilities measured at fair value</b>				
Interest bearing liabilities				
– Fixed rate debt	9,352.0	–	9,352.0	–
– Floating rate debt	304.2	–	304.2	–
Other financial liabilities				
– Redeemable preference shares/units	1,659.4	–	1,204.0	455.4
Derivative liabilities				
– Interest rate derivatives	550.8	–	550.8	–
– Currency derivatives	153.8	–	153.8	–

During the year, there were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements.

	Unlisted investments <sup>(1)</sup> 31 Dec 10 \$million	Redeemable preference shares/units <sup>(2)</sup> 31 Dec 10 \$million	Unlisted investments <sup>(1)</sup> 31 Dec 09 \$million	Redeemable preference shares/units <sup>(2)</sup> 31 Dec 09 \$million
<b>Level 3 fair value movement</b>				
Balance at the beginning of the year	462.3	455.4	731.1	584.7
Additions	–	7.2	–	–
Disposals	–	–	(115.4)	–
Net revaluation increment/(decrement) to income statement	–	(58.9)	–	5.4
Retranslation of foreign operations	(52.8)	(48.3)	(153.4)	(134.7)
Balance at the end of the year	409.5	355.4	462.3	455.4

<sup>(1)</sup> The fair value of the unlisted investments has been determined by reference to the fair value of the underlying investment properties which are valued by independent appraisers.

<sup>(2)</sup> The fair value of the redeemable preference shares/units has generally been determined by applying the relevant earnings yield to the underlying net income of the relevant securities. At 31 December 2010, an increment of 1% to the earnings yield would result in an additional gain of \$54.0 million (31 December 2009: \$62.7 million) in the income statement. Similarly, a decrement of 1% to the yield would result in an additional loss of \$74.5 million (31 December 2009: \$83.0 million) in the income statement

## NOTE 38 AUDITOR'S REMUNERATION

	31 Dec 10 \$000	31 Dec 09 \$000
Amounts received or due and receivable by the auditors of the Parent Entity or any other entity in the Group for:		
– Audit or review of the financial reports	245	348
	<b>245</b>	<b>348</b>
Amounts received or due and receivable by affiliates of the auditors of the Parent Entity for:		
– Audit or review of the financial reports	2,116	2,458
– Assurance and compliance services	100	–
– Taxation advice and compliance	135	352
– Technical accounting advice and services	20	55
	<b>2,371</b>	<b>2,865</b>
	<b>2,616</b>	<b>3,213</b>

## NOTE 39 RELATED PARTY DISCLOSURES

Information required to be disclosed concerning relationships, transactions and balances with related parties of the Group is set out in this Note unless disclosed elsewhere in this financial report.

The Group forms part of the Westfield Group and the related party disclosures for the Westfield Group have the same applicability to the Group. As such, where the related party disclosures below make reference to the Westfield Group, they also relate to the Group.

### (a) Nature of relationship with related parties

#### Key Management Personnel of the entity

Details of Key Management Personnel are disclosed in Note 40.

#### Other Related Parties

LFG Holdings Pty Limited, its related entities and other entities controlled by members of the Lowy family (LFG) are considered to be related parties of the Westfield Group. This is due to LFG being under the control or significant influence of certain Directors of the Westfield Group, being Mr Frank Lowy, Mr David Lowy, Mr Steven Lowy and Mr Peter Lowy.

The Lowy Institute for International Policy is considered to be a related party of the Westfield Group. This is due to this entity being under the control or significant influence of certain Directors of the Westfield Group, being either Mr Frank Lowy, Mr Steven Lowy or Mr Peter Lowy.

### (b) Transactions and their terms and conditions with related parties

#### Transactions with Key Management Personnel of the entity

Remuneration of Key Management Personnel is disclosed in Note 40.

The Westfield Group owns two aircraft for business use by its executives. One is located in Australia and the other is located in the United States. During the year, the Lowy family hired the aircraft (when the aircraft was not required for Westfield Group business use) and were charged by the Westfield Group. The rates used for determining the amounts charged for aircraft usage were reviewed by an independent expert and determined to be at an arm's length rate. Amounts charged to the Lowy family totalled \$462,190 (31 December 2009: \$163,868) during the period, and were payable on seven day terms.

#### Other related parties

The Westfield Group and LFG have entered into arrangements regarding the Westfield Group's business use of LFG aircraft and related expenditure. These arrangements are on arm's length terms and were reviewed by an independent expert. Details of these arrangements are as follows:

- The Westfield Group entered into arrangements regarding the use of aircraft owned by LFG. The charges for these aircraft were on commercial arm's length rates. During the period the Westfield Group incurred costs amounting to \$1,694,551 (31 December 2009: \$1,445,041) in relation to the use of these aircraft. Amounts charged are payable on 30 day terms.
- The Westfield Group has aircraft operation, maintenance, crew sharing, and hangar facility agreements with LFG. The agreements enable the parties to, where possible, cooperate with each other with a view to enhancing the economy of operation of their respective aircraft through their combined resources and purchasing power, including in relation to the cost of fuel, parts, maintenance, landing, engineering, insurance and aircrew services. During the period the Westfield Group charged LFG \$430,851 (31 December 2009: \$741,530) in relation to the provision of aircrew, maintenance, and hangar facility to LFG, which are payable on seven day terms. Also during the period, the Westfield Group was charged \$93,459 (31 December 2009: \$205,795) for use of aircraft crew employed by LFG, which are payable on 30 day terms.

LFG currently subleases premises from the Westfield Group. During the period \$429,584 (31 December 2009: \$345,913) was charged to LFG covering rental and outgoings with respect to these leases. The leases are on arm's length terms and conditions. Rental is charged monthly and payable on seven day terms.

During the period the Westfield Group paid amounts totalling \$3,914 (31 December 2009: \$14,634) for rental accommodation owned by LFG.

During the period the Westfield Group charged the Lowy Institute amounts totalling \$72,937 (31 December 2009: nil) for design and construction services.

During the period the Westfield Group charged LFG \$421,077 (31 December 2009: \$286,640) for service costs in relation to the provision of communication and security services.

During the period the Westfield Group provided telecommunication and security services to certain Executive Directors necessary for them to fulfil their responsibilities.

At year end the following amounts were recorded in the Westfield Group's balance sheet as payable/receivable with the following related parties:

Nature	Type	2010	2009
Owing to LFG	Current payable	\$2,598	nil
Owing from LFG	Current receivable	nil	nil

No provision for doubtful debts has been recognised or bad debts incurred with respect to amounts payable or receivable from related parties during the period.

The Responsible Entity, a subsidiary of WHL, is considered to be a related party of the Group.

WAT, WT and WHL transacted on normal commercial terms as stapled entities with respect to the following:

- Manager's service charges;
- Reimbursement of expenses;
- Construction contracts; and
- Loans and financial derivatives.

The Responsible Entity management fee for the year ended 31 December 2010 was \$2.6 million (31 December 2009: \$2.7 million) of which \$1.4 million was payable at 31 December 2010 (31 December 2009: nil).

During the year, the Group received from a subsidiary of WHL \$5.4 million in respect of corporate service fees of which no amount was receivable at 31 December 2010.

#### Cross currency swaps with WT

WAT and WT have entered into the following cross currency swaps with terms, interest and principal amounts as follows:

- WAT pays to WT, on a semi-annual basis, a commercial fixed rate on a principal of US\$550.0 million in exchange for WT paying to WAT, on a semi-annual basis, a commercial fixed rate on a principal of A\$737.2 million. The cross currency swap has a start date of November 2004 and continues until November 2014.
- WAT pays to WT, on a semi-annual basis, a commercial fixed rate on a principal of US\$600.0 million in exchange for WT paying to WAT, on a semi-annual basis, a commercial fixed rate on a principal of A\$762.7 million. The cross currency swap has a start date of June 2009 and continues until June 2014.
- WAT pays to WT, on a quarterly basis, floating rate on a principal of US\$210.0 million in exchange for WT paying to WAT, on a quarterly basis, floating rate on a principal of A\$236.9 million. The cross currency swap has a start date of February 2010 and continues until November 2012.

The interest income for the year in respect of cross currency swaps with WT was \$70.6 million (31 December 2009: \$49.3 million).

## NOTE 39 RELATED PARTY DISCLOSURES (CONTINUED)

### (b) Transactions and their terms and conditions with related parties (continued)

#### Foreign currency contracts with WT

- (a) WAT and WT entered into a foreign currency contract on 27 May 2009. WAT paid A\$791.2 million to WT in exchange for WT paying US\$600.0 million to WAT. The foreign currency contract matured on 15 November 2010 and the loss from the contract was \$184.5 million.
- (b) WAT and WT entered into a foreign currency contract on 4 November 2010. WAT paid US\$3.5 million to WT in exchange for WT paying A\$3.5 million to WAT. The foreign currency contract matured on 5 November 2010 and the gain from the contract was \$12,357.

#### Foreign currency contracts with WHL entities

- (a) WAT and a WHL entity entered into a foreign currency contract on 30 April 2010. WAT paid A\$9.7 million to the WHL entity in exchange for the WHL entity paying US\$9.0 million to WAT. The foreign currency contract matured on 3 May 2010 and the gain from the contract was \$52,730.
- (b) WAT and a WHL entity entered into a foreign currency contract on 4 November 2010. WAT paid US\$11.5 million to the WHL entity in exchange for the WHL entity paying A\$11.4 million to WAT. The foreign currency contract matured on 5 November 2010 and the gain from the contract was \$40,603.
- (c) WAT and a WHL entity entered into a foreign currency contract on 23 December 2010. WAT paid US\$150,000 to the WHL entity in exchange for the WHL entity paying A\$150,054 to WAT. The foreign currency contract matured on the same day and the gain from the contract was \$659.

#### Loans from/to WT

During the year, WT advanced a loan to WAT. The balance of the loan at year end is \$149.1 million payable (31 December 2009: \$112.4 million receivable) with accrued interest of \$0.8 million payable (31 December 2009: \$0.7 million receivable). Interest accrues on this loan on a quarterly basis based on a floating rate. The net interest expense for the year in respect of the loan from WT was \$6.1 million (31 December 2009: \$15.7 million income).

#### Loan to WHL

During the year, WAT advanced a loan to WHL. The balance of the loan at year end is \$48.3 million receivable (31 December 2009: \$39.3 million), with accrued interest receivable of \$0.2 million (31 December 2009: \$0.1 million). Interest accrues on this loan on a quarterly basis based on a floating rate. The net interest income for the year in respect of the loan from WHL was \$2.0 million (31 December 2009: \$0.6 million).

#### Non controlling interests in WEA to WHL

In February 2009 WEA issued common shares to WHL entities for \$352.6 million of consideration. The discount on the issue of common shares resulted in a reallocation of \$315.4 million of Westfield Group net assets to the WHL entities. The WHL entities' investment in WEA is being accounted for as non controlling interests. The WHL entities' share of the after tax profit for the year was \$39.2 million (31 December 2009: loss of \$135.1 million).

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL

The Group forms part of the Westfield Group. The Responsible Entity does not have any employees. Key Management Personnel of the Group are paid by the Group and related entities within the Westfield Group.

As the Group forms part of the Westfield Group the discussion under this note relates to the Westfield Group and the Westfield Group's remuneration policies and practices.

## 1 Remuneration Committee

### 1.1 Role of the Committee

The Westfield Group's remuneration arrangements are overseen by the Remuneration Committee. The Committee's activities are governed by its Charter, a copy of which is available at the Corporate Governance section of the westfield.com/corporate website.

The responsibilities of the Remuneration Committee include:

- determining and reviewing remuneration policies to apply to members of the Board and to Westfield Group executives;
- determining the specific remuneration packages for Executive Directors and key members of the senior executive team (including base pay, incentive payments, equity linked plan participation and other contractual benefits);
- reviewing contractual rights of termination for members of the senior executive team;
- reviewing the appropriateness of the Westfield Group's succession planning policies;
- reviewing policy for participation by senior executives in equity linked plans;
- reviewing management's recommendations of the total proposed awards to be issued under each equity linked plan; and
- administering the equity linked plans as required in accordance with the rules of the plans.

The deliberations of the Committee, including any recommendations made by it on remuneration issues, are then considered by the Board.

### 1.2 Membership and meetings

The current members of the Committee are:

Name	Position held	Status
Frederick G Hilmer	Chairman	Independent Director
Roy L Furman	Member	Independent Director
David M Gonski	Member	Independent Director

The Committee met three times in the Financial Year. All members of the Committee attended all meetings.

## 2 Remuneration of Non-Executive Directors

### 2.1 Policy

The Westfield Group's remuneration of the Non-Executive Directors is straightforward. Non-Executive Directors are paid fees for service on the Board and its Committees as detailed in this note and are reimbursed for out of pocket expenses. No other bonuses or benefits are paid either during the tenure of a Non-Executive Director or on retirement. Non-Executive Directors do not participate in any of the Westfield Group's incentive plans. None of the Non-Executive Directors were paid an amount before they took office as consideration for agreeing to hold office.

Non-Executive Director remuneration comprises a base fee (which is inclusive of superannuation guarantee contributions), a committee fee and, where relevant, an additional fee for deputy chair of the Board and for committee chair.

The aggregate pool available for payment of fees to Non-Executive Directors of the Westfield Group is currently a maximum of \$2.5 million. That amount was approved by members at the Annual General Meeting of WHL (AGM) held on 23 May 2008.

The fees paid to the Non-Executive Directors in the Financial Year are set out in section 2.2. At the AGM held in May 2008, Westfield Group members approved an aggregate pool of Non-Executive Directors' fees of \$2.5 million. The aggregate fees currently paid to Non-Executive Directors (including standing Committee fees) is \$1.995 million. On the recommendation of the Remuneration Committee, the Board has determined that the base fee for Non-Executive Directors (inclusive of superannuation guarantee contributions) will be increased by 5.7% from \$175,000 to \$185,000, with effect from 1 January 2011. The same rate of increase also applies to committee fees, the additional fee for deputy chair and the fee for committee chair.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 2 Remuneration of Non-Executive Directors (continued)

#### 2.1 Policy (continued)

The remuneration of the Non-Executive Directors is determined by the Board (within the limits set by Westfield Group members), acting on recommendations made by the Remuneration Committee. The objective of the Committee in making its recommendations is to attract, retain and properly motivate Non-Executive Directors who will, through their contribution to the Board, work towards creating sustainable value for members and other stakeholders.

In making recommendations to the Board, the Remuneration Committee takes into account advice from independent consultants and advisers on domestic and international trends in Non-Executive director remuneration. In arriving at recommendations, the advisers consider a wide range of factors including the Westfield Group's financial profile, the complexity and geographic spread of its business and the size and scope of the workload and responsibilities assumed by Non-Executive Directors.

#### 2.2 Remuneration of Non-Executive Directors

The table below sets out the remuneration for the Non-Executive Directors for the Financial Year.

Name	Year	Base fee <sup>(1)</sup> \$	Deputy chair fee \$	Audit & compliance committee \$	Risk management committee \$	Nomination committee \$	Remuneration committee \$	Due diligence committee fees \$	Total \$
D H Lowy	2010	175,000	30,000	–	24,000	–	–	–	229,000
	2009	175,000	30,000	–	24,000	–	–	–	229,000
R L Furman	2010	175,000	–	–	–	–	12,000	–	187,000
	2009	175,000	–	–	–	–	12,000	–	187,000
P H Goldsmith	2010	175,000	–	–	–	–	–	–	175,000
	2009	175,000	–	–	–	–	–	–	175,000
D M Gonski	2010	175,000	–	20,000	–	6,000	12,000	–	213,000
	2009	175,000	–	20,000	–	6,000	12,000	–	213,000
F G Hilmer	2010	175,000	–	30,000	–	–	18,000	–	223,000
	2009	175,000	–	30,000	–	–	18,000	–	223,000
S P Johns	2010	175,000	–	20,000	18,000	–	–	–	213,000
	2009	175,000	–	20,000	18,000	–	–	–	213,000
M Johnson <sup>(2)</sup>	2010	104,327	–	–	–	–	–	–	104,327
	2009	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
J McFarlane	2010	175,000	–	–	18,000	–	–	–	193,000
	2009	175,000	–	–	6,330	–	–	–	181,330
B Schwartz <sup>(3)</sup>	2010	175,000	–	20,000	–	3,593 <sup>(4)</sup>	–	–	198,593
	2009	114,423	–	7,033	–	–	–	–	121,456
J Sloan	2010	175,000	–	–	–	6,000	–	25,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	206,000
	2009	175,000	–	–	–	2,110 <sup>(6)</sup>	–	–	177,110
GH Weiss <sup>(7)</sup>	2010	71,153	–	–	7,318	–	–	–	78,471
	2009	175,000	–	–	18,000	–	–	–	193,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Base fees are inclusive of statutory superannuation contributions for the Australian based Non-Executive Directors.

<sup>(2)</sup> Mr Johnson joined the Board on 27 May 2010. Accordingly, his fees for 2010 are on a pro-rata basis. There are no comparative fees for 2009.

<sup>(3)</sup> Mr Schwartz joined the Board on 6 May 2009. Accordingly, his fees for 2009 are on a pro-rata basis.

<sup>(4)</sup> This is a pro-rata fee as service on the committee commenced during 2010.

<sup>(5)</sup> Professor Sloan acted as Chair of the due diligence committee in connection with the establishment of Westfield Retail Trust. This fee was paid in respect of that role. The due diligence committee is not a standing committee.

<sup>(6)</sup> This is a pro-rata fee as service on the committee commenced during the 2009 financial year.

<sup>(7)</sup> Dr Weiss retired from the Board on 27 May 2010. Accordingly, his fees for 2010 are on a pro-rata basis.

#### 2.3 Other entitlements

##### Short term employee benefits

The fees paid to the Non-Executive Directors are disclosed in the table in section 2.2.

Non-Executive Directors have no entitlement to any other short-term benefits. In particular, the Non-Executive Directors are not entitled to:

- short-term compensated absences such as annual leave and personal leave;
- short-term cash profit sharing or other cash or performance related bonuses; or
- non-monetary or other short-term employee benefits.

##### Post-employment benefits

Non-Executive Directors are not entitled to:

- superannuation entitlements other than entitlements arising from contributions deducted from the base fees paid to Non-Executive Directors as required by law; or
- any other post-employment benefit.

##### Other long-term employee benefits

Non-Executive Directors are not paid and have no entitlement to any long term employee benefits.

##### Termination benefits

Non-Executive Directors are not entitled to any payment on termination other than the balance of outstanding fees.

##### Share based payments

Non-Executive Directors do not participate in the Westfield Group's equity linked incentive plans and are not entitled to equity linked compensation.

#### 2.4 Board changes

Dr Gary Weiss retired as a Director on 27 May 2010.

Mr Mark Johnson was appointed as a Director at the AGM held on 27 May 2010.

On 2 March 2011, the Westfield Group announced that:

- effective from the date of the AGM to be held on 25 May 2011, Mr Frank Lowy will assume a Non-Executive role as Chairman;
- Mr David Lowy and Mr David Gonski will not seek re-election at the AGM;
- Mr Brian Schwartz will from the date of the AGM be appointed as Deputy Chairman; and
- Mr Peter Allen will stand for election as a director at the AGM.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 3 Remuneration of the Senior Executive Team

#### 3.1 Policy and environment

The Charter for the Remuneration Committee, as adopted by the Board, requires that the Westfield Group adopts policies and procedures which:

- fairly and reasonably reward executives having regard to the overall performance of the Westfield Group, the performance of the executive measured against pre-determined objectives and the external compensation environment;
- enable the Westfield Group to attract and retain key executives who will create sustainable value for members and other stakeholders; and
- appropriately align the interests of executives with members.

In implementing its remuneration policies and procedures, the Westfield Group seeks to comply with applicable legal requirements and appropriate standards of governance.

The Westfield Group's current remuneration structure combines base salary with short term cash incentives and medium to long term equity linked incentives. The Westfield Group has sought to ensure that all elements of its executive remuneration remain competitive on a global basis.

In this note, reference to the Westfield Group's equity linked incentive plans are to the EDA Plan, the PIP Plan, the EPR Plan and the PIR Plan, collectively referred to as the "Plans".<sup>(1)</sup>

The total remuneration package of each executive is designed to ensure an appropriate mix of base salary with short and medium to long term incentives. The Remuneration Committee considers that this structure places an appropriate premium on performance and helps reinforce the alignment between the interests of executives and stakeholders in the Westfield Group.

The Westfield Group's remuneration practices are regularly benchmarked against its competitors in all markets. This extends beyond salary and short-term performance bonuses to the Plans which are an important part of the package used by the Westfield Group to attract, incentivise and retain executives.

In reviewing the remuneration policies and practices in the Financial Year against the specific remuneration objectives of the Westfield Group, the following general observations were made by the Remuneration Committee.

#### Performance

The remuneration policies of the Westfield Group are strongly focussed on individual and team performance against measurable financial and non financial objectives. Typically, these include important measures such as Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security, portfolio leasing statistics, achievement of development objectives in terms of development starts and compliance with development budgets and timetables, treasury and capital management objectives and other specific objectives relevant to the Westfield Group's business at a point in time.

In setting these objectives, the Board attempts to measure performance without reference to factors which are outside the control of the executive team or any individual member of that team. This includes gains or losses resulting from a movement in exchange rates and increases or decreases in the value of the Westfield Group's property portfolio attributable to a movement up or down in capitalisation rates.

Westfield Group's executive management is widely regarded as a dedicated, highly competent and committed team. That reputation is confirmed by regular independent surveys commissioned by the Westfield Group and is frequently acknowledged by the Westfield Group's members as well as market analysts and commentators around the world.

The high regard in which the Westfield Group's management is held is a product of the perceived capabilities of the Westfield Group in all aspects of the Westfield Group's business including the Westfield Group's focus on enhancing shareholder wealth over time, excellence in operations and capital management, good judgement and financial discipline in acquisitions and divestments and articulating a clear strategy for long term growth.

The specific achievements of the Westfield Group in the Financial Year are discussed in more detail in the Chairman's Review at pages 4 to 7 and the Westfield Group Managing Directors' Review at pages 8 to 15 of the Westfield Group Annual Report.

As noted in those reviews, the Westfield Group:

- exceeded its major operating targets for the Financial Year, including full year Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security of 90.1 cents (adjusted to include the earnings of 0.49 cents made by Westfield Retail Trust in respect of the 11 days to 31 December 2010) which was at the upper end of the earnings guidance implied by the forecast distribution per security of 64 cents, which was paid in full;
- achieved a significant improvement in the level of leasing of the global portfolio with pleasing increases in both the United States and the United Kingdom;
- commenced more than \$1 billion in new developments and made significant progress on continuing developments at both Stratford City (£1.45 billion) and Westfield Sydney (\$1.2 billion) – with the first stage of Westfield Sydney opening in October 2010 with an excellent response in terms of leasing, traffic and sales. At the end of the Financial Year, Westfield Group projects under construction have a total forecast investment of \$4.2 billion;
- established the Westfield Retail Trust (including the completion of an initial public offer raising in excess of \$2.0 billion), resulting in greater flexibility and choice for the Westfield Group's investors, an expected improvement on the Westfield Group's return on capital and creating a long term joint venture partner in Australia and New Zealand for the Westfield Group. As part of that transaction, the Westfield Group sold 50% of its interest in Westfield Sydney. The Westfield Group's total development surplus on the projects is expected to be approximately \$780 million;
- entered into an agreement to sell a 50% interest in the retail component of Westfield Stratford City for £871.5 million to a joint venture comprising APG of the Netherlands and Canada Pension Plan Investment Board. This is expected to result in total development surplus of approximately £300 million for the Westfield Group;
- completed refinancings in excess of \$3.6 billion in respect of existing facilities; and
- launched its on-line shopping site – westfield.com.au – an on-line mall for Australian consumers with over 100 retailers participating in the start-up phase of the site.

The size and scope of the Westfield Group's business and the philosophy of intensive management of the Westfield Group's business mean that the management team faces challenges which demand highly skilled and committed executives.

These executives must also be capable of supporting, and transferring skills to, the Westfield Group's business in various locations around the world. In recent years, the continued expansion of the Westfield Group's business has placed additional pressure on the Westfield Group's human resources. Executives from Australia/New Zealand and the United States have been relocated to other countries to bolster resources and to ensure that there is an appropriate transfer of operating culture and knowledge from the more established countries in which the Westfield Group operates. This process continued during the Financial Year.

#### Retention

The Remuneration Committee regards the ability of the Westfield Group to achieve continuity within the executive team as a significant continuing objective. Given the size, geographic spread and complexity of the Westfield Group's business, that continuity is considered to be vital to the continued success of the business.

In 2008, it was noted that, for the first time in a number of years, there was an easing in the global demand and competition for skilled executives in most areas of the Westfield Group's business. As a consequence, the pressure to increase remuneration (including the grant of "retention awards" under the equity linked incentive plans) in order to retain the Westfield Group's executive team eased due to declining global demand in a wide range of job types.

This significant change in the commercial environment was reflected in a number of specific remuneration policies which were adopted in respect of the 2009 and 2010 financial years. In particular, in each of those years, the Westfield Group applied a "remuneration freeze" to the senior executive team. Those policies are discussed in more detail in section 3.2.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 3 Remuneration of the Senior Executive Team (continued)

#### 3.1 Policy and environment (continued)

As noted in the 2009 financial year, there were signs late in that year (particularly Australia), of increasing pressure on remuneration for highly experienced executives and particular job types. As expected, in the Financial Year, those pressures became more evident as the global economy continued to stabilise and the Westfield Group's competitors in various markets recovered from difficult financial circumstances and sought to enhance the quality of their management teams through recruitment.

The Plans operated by the Westfield Group are regarded by the Board as an essential retention tool for the senior executive team. The design of the PIP Plan with a Qualifying Year (during which performance is measured and qualification against a targeted number of awards for that year is determined) coupled with a four to five year vesting period is intended to encourage and reward high performance and facilitate retention of executives for an extended period. The fact that the average length of service for PIP Plan participants is 13 years is a strong indication that the PIP Plan remains a significant factor on achieving continuity in the senior executive team.

#### Alignment

As noted above, it is the objective of the Westfield Group to appropriately align executive remuneration with the interests of members.

That alignment is achieved in a number of ways including:

- through the application of appropriate performance criteria in the short term variable bonus system; and
- through the participation by the executive team in the Plans.

Broadly, as executives gain seniority in the Westfield Group, the balance of the mix between salary, short term variable bonus and participation in the Plans moves to a higher proportion of variable cash remuneration (as opposed to fixed salary) and equity linked rewards (rather than cash payments). These elements of executive remuneration are considered to be "at risk" as they are dependent on the performance of the relevant executive and/or the performance of the Westfield Group over the life of the award.

As explained in detail in section 4.2, the Westfield Group's short term variable bonus scheme rewards executives for performance against financial and non financial objectives which are specific to that executive and which are considered to be in the interests of the Westfield Group and its members.

With regard to the Plans, the alignment of interests with members is created in a number of ways which are discussed below:

- in the case of the PIP Plan, being the plan in which the most senior executives in the Westfield Group participate, alignment is created through the performance hurdles which are established for each Qualifying Year (see section 3.3). These hurdles focus on the fundamentals of the Westfield Group's business and on the performance of the executive team in meeting the operational, development and corporate targets set by the Board. The Board is of the view that if the management team maintains its focus on these fundamentals, members will be rewarded, over time, by superior performance;
- the structure of the Plans and the specific performance hurdles set for the PIP Plan are designed to avoid encouraging excessive risk taking by the senior executive team;
- through the three to five year vesting periods which are imposed under the Plans (see section 4.3). By requiring executives to serve lengthy periods with the Westfield Group in order to achieve vesting, the Westfield Group is better able to achieve its retention objectives. Consequently, the practice of paying disproportionate cash bonuses for achieving short term objectives (with questionable long term benefits) is avoided; and

- the value of maturing awards made to executives under each of the Plans mirrors, in all respects, the performance of the Westfield Group stapled securities on the ASX. As a consequence of the declining equity markets which have impacted property securities globally (including the Westfield Group), the value of unvested awards which will be received by executives participating in the Plans has reduced significantly. By way of example, the reduction in the market value of equity linked incentives held by the senior executive team as a consequence of Westfield Group stapled security price movements in the Financial Year is evident from the remuneration summaries for the Key Management Personnel as set out in sections 7.3 and 7.4.

#### 3.2 Specific remuneration policies

##### 2009 Financial Year

Before outlining the Westfield Group's policies for 2010, it is useful to revisit the policies adopted at the end of 2008 and applied in the 2009 financial year.

As noted in 2009, despite a strong performance by the Westfield Group in the 2008 financial year, by the end of 2008, the Remuneration Committee and the Board were conscious that the global environment was deteriorating and that it was likely that 2009 would be a difficult year. In addition to a continuation of the volatile and weak operating environment, the Westfield Group's earnings in 2009 were expected to be negatively impacted by currency movements and increasing financing costs.

Having regard to these conditions, the Remuneration Committee and the Board set the following policies (as noted in the Westfield Group 2009 Annual Report) in relation to the remuneration of the Board and the senior executive team in 2009:

- there was no increase in the fees payable to Non-Executive Directors in 2009;
- with two exceptions arising as a consequence of promotions, there were no base salary increases for the senior executive team in 2009;
- the aggregate increase for other executives and staff for 2009 was capped at 4% per annum (to reflect increases in the cost of living);
- other than the exceptions noted above, the total target remuneration (including short term bonuses and equity linked incentives) for the senior executive team in 2009 was capped at the same level as in 2008; and
- in almost all cases for the senior executive team, the short term variable bonuses paid to executives for 2008 were at, or below, the same level as were paid in 2007.

At the end of the 2009 financial year, notwithstanding that the Westfield Group's Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security were within the forecast range of 94 – 97 cents, the short term variable bonuses paid to the Executive Chairman and to the Westfield Group Managing Directors for the 2009 financial year were set at 85% of their respective targets.

Further, vesting of awards under the PIP Plan and PIR Plan reduced (by application of the performance hurdle) to 85% of target for the 2009 Qualifying Year (see section 3.3 below).

In short, for the 2009 financial year, the Board imposed a freeze on all elements of remuneration of the senior executive team and the Non-Executive Directors. The Board also noted in the 2008 Westfield Group Annual Report (at page 91) that, as a consequence of the declining equity markets which impacted property securities globally (including the Westfield Group), the value of unvested awards held by executives participating in the Plans had reduced significantly since the date of grant. As a consequence of that fall in value, the amortisation of unvested awards decreased to a significant extent.

##### 2010 Financial Year

Although during the course of the 2009 financial year, there was a stabilisation in operating conditions, a significant improvement in financial markets and a modest recovery in the price of the Westfield Group's stapled securities, the Remuneration Committee and the Board determined that, having regard to the difficult operating conditions which were expected to continue in markets other than Australia, the following broad remuneration policies should be applied for 2010:

- there was no increase in the fees payable to Non-Executive Directors in 2010;

<sup>(1)</sup> As the terms of the PIP Plan and PIR Plan are essentially the same (other than the PIP Plan being cash settled and the PIR Plan being equity settled), unless the context otherwise appears a reference to the PIP Plan is also a reference to the PIR Plan. The same convention also applies to the EDA Plan and the EPR Plan.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 3 Remuneration of the Senior Executive Team (continued)

#### 3.2 Specific remuneration policies (continued)

- a continuation of the remuneration freeze for all senior executives (except where an executive is promoted). Consequently, with two exceptions as a result of promotion, there were no base salary increases for the senior executive team for 2010;
- other than the exceptions noted above, the total target remuneration for the senior executive team in 2010 was capped at the same level as 2009 (subject to the possibility of additional awards being earned under the PIP Plan for above target performance); and
- the aggregate increase for other executives and staff for 2010 was capped at 2.5% per annum to reflect increases in the cost of living.

In arriving at these policies for the 2010 Financial Year, the Board demonstrated continued restraint which was both appropriate and necessary given the continued challenges posed by an uncertain operating environment.

The specific disclosures with respect to the Executive Directors (as set out in sections 6 and 7) show an increase in remuneration in the Financial Year when compared with the 2009 financial year. That increase is attributable to the following factors:

- in the case of the Executive Chairman and the Westfield Group Managing Directors, the short term variable bonus paid to each of them increased from 85% of target in 2009 to 100% of target in 2010. The target bonus in each case remained constant between the financial years;
- in the case of each of the Westfield Group Managing Directors and the Key Management Personnel, entitlements to awards under the PIP Plan, as dictated by performance against the Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security hurdle set by the Board at the commencement of the Financial Year, increased from 85% of target in 2009 to 125% of target in 2010 (see section 3.3 for further details); and
- in the case of each of the Executive Directors and the Key Management Personnel, the level of amortisation of equity linked awards under the cash settled Plans operated by the Westfield Group, as dictated by applicable accounting standards, fluctuates significantly from year to year despite the fact that the face value of awards received by the executive did not vary between financial years. In the Financial Year the amortisation profile of awards held by the senior executive team gives the appearance of an increase in remuneration received by the executive when compared with 2009.

In any year the level of disclosed remuneration is impacted by the application of accounting rules relating to the amortisation of the cash settled equity linked plans operated by the Westfield Group. The process is more fully described in section 3.4. That process can give rise to significant year on year fluctuations in the disclosed remuneration, dictated principally by movements up or down in the price of Westfield Group stapled securities as well as assumptions made about the future value of Westfield Group stapled securities. In contrast to the method of amortising conventional equity settled awards (utilising an agreed option valuation method such as Black Scholes and then amortising a fixed amount across the life of the option), the cash settled “synthetic” EDA Plan and PIP Plan are marked to market each year and the actual value to the executive, over time, is reflected in the amortisation of that award as shown in the remuneration tables. The fluctuations in disclosed remuneration occur despite the fact that the face value of awards received by an executive remains constant as between the years being compared.

Whilst this accounting treatment can result in significant year on year fluctuations in total remuneration, a review of the remuneration of that executive over a longer period presents a more accurate picture of average remuneration actually received by that executive. For this reason, where available, we have disclosed a 5 year history for each Executive Director and Key Management Personnel which demonstrates the level of disclosed remuneration for that executive over time.

By way of example, the 5 year remuneration disclosures demonstrate that:

- the remuneration of the Westfield Group Managing Directors (Peter Lowy and Steven Lowy) in 2010 approximates their remuneration as disclosed for the 2007 financial year.

#### 2011 Financial Year

Although details of the remuneration of the Executive Directors and the Key Management Personnel in 2011 will be disclosed in the 2011 Westfield Group Annual Report, it is pertinent to note that the improved financial performance of the Westfield Group in 2010 combined with increasing pressure on retention of executives as global economic conditions improve has led to the removal of the “remuneration freeze” which applied in the previous two financial years.

As a consequence, merit based increases in both base salary and target short term variable bonuses have occurred in respect of the 2011 financial year.

On 2 March 2011, the Westfield Group announced that, as from the completion of the AGM on 25 May 2011, Mr Frank Lowy will assume a non-executive role as Chairman. Details of Mr Lowy’s remuneration in that role and the retirement benefit payable to him are set out in section 6.1. On that date, Peter Lowy and Steven Lowy will assume the role of joint Chief Executive Officers. There will be no adjustment to the 2011 remuneration of Peter Lowy and Steven Lowy or any change in their current contractual terms as a consequence of the assumption of that new role.

The Westfield Group’s policies with respect to the performance hurdles applicable to the PIP Plan in 2011 are set out in section 3.3.

#### 3.3 Review of equity linked incentive plans

##### Background

The operation of the Plans is described in greater detail in section 4.3.

Awards made under the EDA Plan and EPR Plan are generally in the nature of a deferral (for a period of three years) of a part of the remuneration payable to an executive in respect of the performance of that executive in a financial year.

Equally, the PIP Plan and PIR Plan (see section 4.3) are intended to reward strong performance by the senior executive team (measured against performance hurdles set in respect of the year in which the hurdles apply, known as the Qualifying Year) and to provide an incentive for executives to remain with the Westfield Group over the subsequent vesting period of four years.

##### 2010 Qualifying Year

In respect of the hurdle(s) which applied to the PIP Plan and PIR Plan for the 2010 Qualifying Year, the Remuneration Committee and the Board continued to focus on measures which reflected the underlying operating strength of the business.

Prior to the 2009 Qualifying Year, the Westfield Group had adopted performance hurdles whereby the level of grant of awards was determined by reference to:

- Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per unit; and
- the achievement of a specified level of development starts in the Qualifying Year.

However, as was noted in the 2008 and 2009 Westfield Group Annual Reports, the Board acknowledged in respect of both the 2009 and 2010 Financial Years that capital expenditure would be heavily constrained in those years and accordingly the market was advised that the Westfield Group did not expect to commence any large projects. Rather, the Westfield Group’s development focus was on the significant projects which had already commenced at Stratford City in the United Kingdom and the Sydney City redevelopment in Australia. In view of this, the Board determined that there would be no performance hurdle relating to development starts during either the 2009 or 2010 Qualifying Years.

The Board determined that the sole hurdle for the vesting of awards in the 2010 Qualifying Year would be based on achieving the Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security. As in previous years, the Board set a graduated scale of vesting having regard to performance against targets for Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security. That scale was determined by reference to the Westfield Group’s budget for the Financial Year.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 3 Remuneration of the Senior Executive Team (continued)

#### 3.3 Review of equity linked incentive plans (continued)

This hurdle is an important measure of the health of the operating business of the Westfield Group and is completely aligned with the interests of members. Earnings from the Westfield Group Operational segment are the best measure of the profitability of the core operating business of the Westfield Group. For the purposes of determining performance against the hurdle, they are determined without regard to issues not relating to the underlying operations (such as profits/losses arising through revaluations and currency movements). Westfield Group Operational segment earnings are reported to the market semi-annually and are the source from which distributions are paid to members.

Performance against this hurdle is measured in a single Qualifying Year. Awards are granted based on performance in the Qualifying Year, with a subsequent vesting requirement that the executive remains with the Westfield Group for a further four years. As noted above, the Committee considers that the structure of annual awards with performance hurdles measured in a single Qualifying Year and vesting over an extended period provides an appropriate balance between providing performance incentive and retention.

The Board reserves the right to adjust the hurdle to reflect any capital transaction occurring during the year which would impact on Westfield Group Operational segment earnings (e.g. a significant equity issue at a discount or the sale of a material part of the Westfield Group portfolio). Where the Board considers that an adjustment is required, both the methodology for the adjustment and the quantum of the adjustment would be referred by the Board to an independent expert for determination.

In the 2009 Qualifying Year, the application of that graduated scale resulted in participants in the PIP Plan receiving 85% of the targeted number of awards. Although Westfield Group Operational segment earnings were within the range of 94 to 97 cents as forecast to the market in January 2009, they were at the lower end of that range and, as a consequence, the executives’ entitlement to awards was impacted in line with the graduated scale approved by the Board.

The hurdle adopted by the Board for the 2010 Qualifying Year incorporated a graduated scale of growth in Westfield Group Operational segment earnings which contemplated participants earning between 0% and 150% of the targeted number of awards, depending on the level of Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security which was achieved. If those earnings fell below the minimum level set in the graduated scale, no part of the value of the awards which are contingent on meeting this hurdle would vest.

OSE Target	Percentage Vesting
Above 92.5	150%
91.5 – 92.4	140%
90.6 – 91.4	130%
90.0 – 90.5	125%
89.5 – 89.9	120%
89.0 – 89.4	115%
88.5 – 88.9	110%
88.0 – 88.4	105%
87.5 – 87.9	100%
87.0 – 87.4	95%
86.5 – 86.9	90%
86.0 – 86.4	85%
85.5 – 85.9	80%
85.0 – 85.4	75%
84.5 – 84.9	70%
83.5 – 84.4	50%
82.5 – 83.4	25%
Below 82.5	0%

In the 2010 Qualifying Year the application of the graduated scale resulted in participants in the PIP Plan receiving 125% of the targeted number of awards. The Westfield Group’s budget for the Financial Year contemplated Westfield Group Operational segment earnings of 87.5 cents. The Westfield Group achieved above budget performance in all jurisdictions in which the Westfield Group operated. The Westfield Group Operational segment earnings of 90.1 cents per Westfield Group stapled security includes an adjustment of earnings of 0.49 cents made by Westfield Retail Trust in respect of the 11 days to 31 December 2010. This adjustment was made to ensure that the reported earnings of the Westfield Group are comparable with the budgeted position at the commencement of the Financial Year.

The reported Westfield Group Operational segment earnings (on a constant currency basis) represent above budget performance of \$73 million (\$57.5 million without the constant currency adjustment). The cost of granting the additional awards is approximately \$3.4 million. It is the view of the Board that the cost of granting these additional awards is appropriately aligned with the Westfield Group’s operating performance and the interests of members.

#### 2011 Qualifying Year

In relation to the 2011 Qualifying Year, the Remuneration Committee and the Board have continued to focus on hurdles which reflect the underlying operating strength of the business. As a consequence of the recommencement during 2010 of the Westfield Group’s development program, the structure of the hurdles for the 2011 Qualifying Year will revert to the structure last used in the 2008 Qualifying Year. That is:

- achieving growth in Westfield Group Operational segment earnings continues with a graduated scale of vesting having regard to performance against set targets. This hurdle has a 75% weighting; and
- achieving a targeted level of development project starts. This target is binary (i.e. this portion of the PIP Plan or PIR Plan awards will not vest if the target is not achieved). This hurdle has a 25% weighting.

The Remuneration Committee and Board have maintained the position that performance against these targets will be measured in a single Qualifying Year after which the only vesting requirement will be that the executive remains with the Westfield Group for a further four years. The Committee considers that the structure of annual awards with performance hurdles measured in a single Qualifying Year and vesting over an extended period provides an appropriate balance between performance incentives and retention.

Both hurdles reflect important measures of the health of the underlying business of the Westfield Group.

Westfield Group Operational segment earnings on a constant currency basis measures the profitability of the core operating business of the Westfield Group without regard to issues not relating to the underlying operations (such as profits/losses arising through revaluations and currency movements). Westfield Group Operational segment earnings are reported to the market semi-annually. Performance against this hurdle will be measured by converting foreign currency earnings to Australian dollars at the exchange rates detailed in the Westfield Group’s budget.

As was the case in the Financial Year, the Westfield Group Operational segment earnings hurdle approved by the Board for the 2011 Qualifying Year incorporates a graduated scale of growth in Westfield Group Operational segment earnings which contemplates participants earning within a range of 0% and 150% of the targeted number of awards, depending on the level of Westfield Group Operational segment earnings per Westfield Group stapled security that is achieved. Up to 75% of the total number of targeted awards will be granted based on performance against this hurdle.

The second hurdle is a measure of the success of the Westfield Group in achieving a targeted value of development project starts. All major developments must be approved by the Board prior to commencement to ensure that relevant financial, operating and strategic requirements are satisfied. Development starts are considered by the Board to be of particular importance to the medium term growth of Westfield Group Operational segment earnings as well as the capital value of the Westfield Group’s portfolio. The Committee has set a targeted level of development starts which must be achieved in order for the awards linked to this hurdle to vest. If the level of development project starts is below that target, no part of the value of the awards which are contingent on meeting this hurdle will vest. Up to 25% of the total number of targeted awards will be granted based on performance against this hurdle.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 3 Remuneration of the Senior Executive Team (continued)

#### 3.3 Review of equity linked incentive plans (continued)

The Board retains the discretion to review the level of the development starts if projects, which are otherwise ready for commencement, are deferred for strategic or economic reasons which are not related to the unsatisfactory performance of management.

The Board does not believe it is appropriate, at this time, to publish the precise targets which have been set for the executive team as this may be taken as a forecast of Westfield Group Operational segment performance or that certain developments will be commenced. As a consequence, the specific terms of these hurdles remain commercially sensitive at this time. The Westfield Group will report on the specific terms of the hurdles and performance against those hurdles in the 2011 Westfield Group Annual Report.

#### Other hurdles considered by the Board

As in previous years, the Remuneration Committee has considered, and taken advice regarding, the implementation of a hurdle based on measurement of total return to shareholders (TRS), either on a comparative basis or in absolute terms. The Committee ultimately rejected the use of a TRS based hurdle primarily due to unwillingness on the part of the Board and the Committee to determine executive rewards by reference to movements in the price of Westfield Group stapled securities.

Although the Westfield Group over an extended period has a well established record of superior share market performance both in relative and absolute terms, the philosophy of the Westfield Group has been, and remains, that this record of success is a product of sound operating performance and strategic decision making and that the focus of the executive team should remain on the underlying business and not on the price of the Westfield Group's stapled securities. The Westfield Group's view remains that long term incentives (which are an increasingly significant component of executive remuneration) should not fluctuate in favour of, or against, the executive, based principally on movements in the price of Westfield Group stapled securities. Rather, performance hurdles should focus on the fundamentals of the Westfield Group's business and on the performance of the executive team in meeting the operational, development and corporate targets which the Westfield Group sets for itself. The Committee is of the view that if the management team maintains its intensive focus on these fundamentals, Westfield Group stapled security holders will be rewarded, over time, by superior market performance.

The interests of the executive and the members are also aligned in respect of the price of the Westfield Group's stapled securities as the value of awards at the time of vesting fluctuates with movements in the price of Westfield Group's stapled securities. The higher the price at the time of vesting, the greater the benefit received by the executive.

Apart from these general concerns regarding TRS hurdles, it was also apparent to the Remuneration Committee that, having regard to Westfield Group's size, market capitalisation, capital and debt structure, geographic spread and business model, there is no appropriate peer group in Australia or internationally to act as a benchmark against which to measure TRS performance. Westfield Group has a market capitalisation which is significantly larger than the next largest Australian listed property trust. The Westfield Group's significant international presence, its industry focus on regional and super regional retail centres and its capital and debt structure, mean that comparisons of the Westfield Group with both local and international competitors are difficult.

The Remuneration Committee and the Board are satisfied that the proposed hurdles for the 2011 Qualifying Year and the remuneration structure in general are appropriate having regard to the general objectives referred to above.

#### 3.4 Accounting for awards

As noted above, the 2010 Westfield Group Annual Report and the remuneration disclosures in this note disclose the full cost to members of the grant of awards under the Westfield Group's cash settled equity linked plans, and not simply the amortisation of the face value of the grant when originally made.

At the date of grant of an award, the face value of the grant is adjusted for anticipated increases in the value of that award over its life. Assumptions regarding Westfield Group's stapled security price movements are made for the purposes of estimating the Westfield Group's future liability with respect to each award. The estimated future liability is then amortised over the life of the award.

At the end of each accounting period the awards are marked to market on the basis of the then current Westfield Group's stapled security price and the assumptions made in previous years are reconsidered having regard to any change in circumstances.

This process may result in a variation of the estimate of the future liability of the Westfield Group with respect to that award and an increase or decrease in the amortisation. For example, in any year, where the Westfield Group's stapled security price increases at a rate which is greater than the estimate made in the original model, the implied increase in value of the awards at the date of maturity will result in an increase in the amount of amortisation. Conversely, as occurred in 2008 and 2009 financial years, where the Westfield Group's stapled security price decreases in any year, the expected lower value of the awards at the date of maturity will result in a decrease in the amount of amortisation. The full amount of that amortisation is then included in the accounts and disclosed as part of the remuneration of executive directors and specified executives.

By adopting this method of amortisation in disclosing the value of cash settled awards held by senior executives, members obtain an accurate picture of the full cost to the Westfield Group of granting those awards as well as the actual remuneration received, over time, by the recipients of the awards.

#### 3.5 External consultants

In setting remuneration levels and formulating human resources policies generally, the Committee and the Board utilise the services of specialist human resources and remuneration consultants.

Mr Mark Bieler of Bieler & Associates (based in New York), in conjunction with the Westfield Group's human resources managers in each of the jurisdictions, provides advice to the Remuneration Committee and the Board and coordinates the work performed for the Westfield Group by other external consultants (including Egan Associates in Australia, Mercers in the United States and Watson & Wyatt in the United Kingdom).

Mr Bieler attends all Remuneration Committee and Board meetings where human resources and remuneration items are discussed. He is available to consult directly with Committee members at all times.

The Westfield Group undertakes, through the consultants referred to above, an annual review in each country of operation to analyse matters such as overall market trends, benchmarking between specific job types and with different industries, changing or emerging remuneration strategies and market predictions for the following financial year. The results of this review are an important part of the remuneration review process.

In Australia, Egan Associates also prepare specific reports regarding the remuneration of the Executive Chairman and each of the Westfield Group Managing Directors. Those reports are commissioned and received by the Chair of the Remuneration Committee.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 4 Components of Westfield Executive Remuneration

#### 4.1 Base salary

Base salary is set by reference to the executive's position, performance and experience. In order to attract and retain executives of the highest quality and, in the expectation that executives will meet the high standards set by the Westfield Group, the Westfield Group aims to set competitive rates of base salary. Base salary levels are benchmarked regularly against local and (where appropriate) international competitors and are reviewed on an annual basis having regard to performance, external market forces and, where relevant, promotion.

#### 4.2 Short term variable bonus

Variable rewards are closely linked to the performance of the executive measured against objectives which are established each year pursuant to a performance review and development system. Under that system, senior management and the executives work together to establish agreed business and personal development objectives. These objectives are designed to recognise and reward both financial and non-financial performance. The objectives will vary according to the role of the particular executive and will typically relate to development, construction, retail management or corporate targets.

A target figure (as a percentage of base pay) for the short term variable cash component of the compensation package is advised to the executive at the commencement of each year. The actual bonus awarded is determined by reference to the performance of the executive against the agreed performance objectives, the corporate performance of the Westfield Group and any other aspect of the executive's performance which is considered relevant in the context of the review.

In special circumstances, executives may earn an additional bonus in excess of the agreed target percentage of base pay in recognition of the contribution made by that executive to a major transaction or corporate project. As with the annual performance bonus, payment of a special or project bonus to any member of the senior executive team is at the discretion of the Remuneration Committee.

Cash based incentives for the Executive Chairman, the Westfield Group Managing Directors and the Westfield Group's most senior executives are determined by the Remuneration Committee having regard to personal objectives which are set as part of the performance review and development system and to more general operational and financial objectives of the Westfield Group. The measures are chosen based on key contributions expected of that executive in order to enhance the overall performance of the Westfield Group. The Remuneration Committee will also consider any special contribution made by the executive to any major acquisition or capital transaction during the year.

#### 4.3 The Plans

The Westfield Group has four equity linked incentive plans. The EDA Plan and the PIP Plan which were introduced following the merger in 2004 and the EPR Plan and the PIR Plan which were introduced in 2008 to provide the Westfield Group with the flexibility to issue equity settled rights where considered appropriate.

#### Mechanics of the Plans

Under the EDA Plan and the PIP Plan, awards granted to executives are more in the nature of "restricted stock" whereby, on maturity, the executive is entitled to receive, for no further consideration, one Westfield Group stapled security for each award. However, as explained below these equity linked plans are synthetic and executives receive cash payments rather than physical securities.

The relevant common features of both the EDA Plan and the PIP Plan are as follows:

- based on principles and remuneration bands agreed with the Remuneration Committee, participating executives earn the opportunity to participate based on a set percentage of their base salary. For example, an employee earning a base salary of \$200,000 may be granted the opportunity to participate in the EDA Plan and PIP Plan up to 10% of that base salary or \$20,000;
- immediately prior to the commencement of participation in the EDA Plan and PIP Plan, that dollar amount is converted into an award which is based on the then current market price of Westfield Group stapled securities. In the above example, assuming a market price of \$10.00 per Westfield Group stapled security, the participant would receive an award equal to the economic benefit of 2,000 Westfield Group stapled securities;

- the number of awards is then adjusted to include an amount being an estimate of the distributions payable on a Westfield Group stapled security over the vesting period (but, in the case of the PIP Plan, excluding the Qualifying Year); and
- assuming the executive remains employed by the Westfield Group through the vesting period and, any applicable performance hurdles are satisfied, the executive will receive a payout equal to the capital value of the Westfield Group stapled securities in the award. That is, the executive receives a cash payment (rather than physical securities) which reflects the capital value of the number of "synthetic securities" comprised in that award as at the vesting date.

As noted above, the right to receive a cash payout under either the EDA Plan or the PIP Plan is dependent on the executive remaining employed by the Westfield Group throughout the vesting period. In special circumstances (e.g. death, redundancy or retirement), the Board retains a discretion under the Plans to allow vesting of all or part of the awards granted under the Plans (see section 7.1 below), or to allow the executive to remain as a participant in the Plan through to the vesting date.

#### The EDA Plan

The EDA Plan is a broader based plan in which senior executives and high performing employees participate. The EDA Plan uses the deferral of vesting of a portion of the short term incentive as part of a broader strategy for retaining the services of those executives participating in the EDA Plan.

The issue of awards under the EDA Plan is based on the same criteria as the short term variable bonus. That is, the grant of entitlements is closely linked to the performance of the executive measured against objectives established each year pursuant to a performance review and development system. Those objectives are designed to recognise achievement of both financial and non-financial objectives. Executives qualify to receive a payout of that deferred compensation by satisfying the requirement that they remain in the employment of the Westfield Group through the vesting period. That vesting period is currently three years (other than retention awards referred to below which have a vesting period of five years). There are no additional performance hurdles applicable during the vesting period.

Participants will qualify to receive a cash amount on the qualification date or, in limited circumstances, the date that they cease to be an employee of the Westfield Group. Depending on age, length of service and the date of retirement executives may be eligible to continue to participate in the Plans up to the vesting date if they retire prior to that date.

The circumstances in which a participant's award will be forfeited include the following:

- (a) voluntary resignation by the executive (other than where the retirement conditions are met);
- (b) a "Summary Termination Event" occurring in respect of a participant (this includes the participant engaging in serious misconduct or, in certain cases, being convicted of a criminal offence); and
- (c) the participant failing to comply with a "Competition and Confidentiality Condition" (which will include standard confidentiality, non-compete and non-solicitation conditions).

In the case of death or total and permanent disablement, the awards will fully vest with the exception of retention awards in respect of which a pro-rata payment will be made.

If a participant is made redundant or Westfield Group terminates their employment other than for cause, a pro-rata payment will be made to that participant. However, no payment will be made in these circumstances in respect of any retention awards.

The Board also utilises the EDA Plan to make non-recurring awards (known as retention awards) to the Westfield Group's most senior executives. These retention awards are distinguished from the typical EDA Plan awards described above. They are granted with the specific aim of retaining the services of those executives over a period of two to five years. In the Financial Year, there were no retention awards issued to any of the executives participating in the PIP Plan. Neither the Executive Chairman nor the Westfield Group Managing Directors receive these retention awards.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 4 Components of Westfield Executive Remuneration (continued)

#### 4.3 The Plans (continued)

These retention awards are intended to provide a further incentive to a small number of the Westfield Group's most senior executives in order to better secure their services over the vesting period. In granting these awards, the sole objective of the Westfield Group is retention of key executives for an extended period. Where the retention awards are issued to executives who also participate in the PIP Plan, the vesting of the awards is subject to a performance hurdle which requires that, over the vesting period, each executive must achieve at least 50% of his or her short term variable bonus in each of those years. Failure to achieve that hurdle in any year will result in the full amount of the awards being forfeited.

#### The PIP Plan

The structure of the PIP Plan reflects the decision by the Westfield Group to move away from market priced options as the preferred form of long term incentive.

Only the senior leadership team of the Westfield Group participates in the PIP Plan. There are currently 20 executives world-wide, including the Westfield Group Managing Directors, participating in the PIP Plan. The Executive Chairman does not participate in the PIP Plan.

The PIP Plan itself is designed to encourage a "partnership" amongst the senior leadership team of the Westfield Group which will emphasise the strategic leadership role of that team. Through the PIP Plan, the members of that partnership will be provided with a benefit which is fully aligned with the interests of members as discussed in section 3.1.

The operation of the PIP Plan and the manner of calculation of the payout to which the executive is entitled is as described above.

The performance hurdle(s) applicable under the PIP Plan are determined annually by the Remuneration Committee when determining which executives will be invited to participate in the PIP Plan. Executives are informed of those hurdles at the same time as they are advised of the potential number of "synthetic securities" for which they will qualify if the performance hurdles are achieved. More than one hurdle may be set in any year.

The year in which the performance hurdles apply is known as the Qualifying Year. Actual performance against the hurdles which apply during the Qualifying Year will determine the final number of awards which the executive will receive at the end of that year. If performance against a hurdle is such that full qualification for awards is not achieved, there is no provision in the PIP Plan for re-testing in subsequent years. The Board will revise hurdles during a Qualifying Year only where required as a consequence of a capital transaction undertaken by the Westfield Group (e.g. a major capital raising) or a strategic decision by the Westfield Group which prevents achievement of the hurdle (e.g. in circumstances where the Board decides to close down the development program due to economic circumstances and as a consequence, management is unable to meet the development starts hurdle).

No payments are made to the executive at the end of that Qualifying Year. Rather, the awards in the PIP Plan are confirmed at that time and vest on two dates: 50% at the end of year four and 50% at the end of year five. No other performance hurdles are imposed during the vesting period.

The hurdle chosen by the Remuneration Committee for the 2011 Qualifying Year is discussed in section 3.3.

By adopting this combination of the application of performance hurdles in the Qualifying Year and the employee being required to stay for the subsequent four to five year vesting period, the Westfield Group aims, through the issue of awards under the PIP Plan, to incentivise achievement of targeted objectives and assist in the retention of the senior leadership team for an extended period. Executives participating in the PIP Plan will be required to remain with the Westfield Group for a period of five years in order to get the full benefit of each award.

#### The EPR Plan and PIR Plan

In 2004, the Westfield Group moved to "synthetic" equity linked incentive plans as certain Australian taxation concessions in place at the time in relation to options over shares issued under employee share plans did not apply equally to options granted to employees over units in a trust. As a consequence, it was not practical for the Westfield Group to issue options over Westfield Group stapled securities. Rather, the Westfield Group introduced the synthetic plans (EDA Plan and PIP Plan) as described above which result in a cash payment to executives based on the value of Westfield Group stapled securities rather than being settled through the issue or transfer of actual securities.

In 2007, the Federal government introduced legislation to correct this position with regard to stapled entities, such as the Westfield Group, where a share in a company (WHL) is stapled to units in a trust (WAT and WT).

The EPR Plan and the PIR Plan operate in much the same manner as the EDA Plan and the PIP Plan except that entitlements will be satisfied by the delivery of Westfield Group stapled securities (as opposed to the payment of a cash amount).

The EPR Plan and the PIR Plan also offer participants the opportunity to defer the time at which they are taxed once the performance rights vest. Typically, on delivery of Westfield Group stapled securities, participants will be taxed on the value of the securities (as ordinary income). These plans enable participants to defer the taxing point if they elect to have a restriction period on dealing with Westfield Group stapled securities that vest under the EPR Plan and PIR Plan. If such an election is made, Westfield Group stapled securities delivered upon vesting will be placed in a "holding lock". This means that, while the Westfield Group stapled securities will be registered in the name of the participant and they will have a right to vote and receive distributions, the participant will not be able to sell or transfer the securities during the restriction period. The restriction period is up to ten years from the date of the grant of the performance rights.

#### 4.4 Hedging policy

In addition to the restrictions placed on entering into hedging arrangements by operation of the Westfield Group's Security Trading Policy, participants in the Plans are prohibited from entering into hedging arrangements in respect of unvested awards or rights in any of the Plans.

The primary purpose of this prohibition is to ensure that, at all times until awards granted to executives under the Plans have vested, there is complete alignment between the interests of the executive and the interests of the Westfield Group and its members. In the Board's view, that alignment potentially ceases if an executive's economic interest in the benefit of an award or right is hedged – with the effect that the executive is not affected (or is affected to a lesser extent), by positive or negative movements in the market value of Westfield Group stapled securities.

Executives are prohibited from entering into or renewing hedging or financial instruments in connection with their unvested entitlements under the Plans. This includes instruments such as equity swaps, caps and collars and other types of hedges, which are entered into for the purpose of mitigating the financial impact of movements in the price of Westfield Group stapled securities to the extent such movements impact the value of awards made under the Plans.

#### 5 Performance of the Westfield Group

Full details of the Westfield Group's various financial and operating achievements are contained in the Chairman's Review at pages 4 to 7 and the Westfield Group Managing Directors' Review at pages 8 to 15 of the Westfield Group Annual Report.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 6 Remuneration of the Executive Directors

At the date of this report, there were three Executive Directors in office, Mr Frank Lowy, Executive Chairman and the Westfield Group Managing Directors, Mr Peter Lowy and Mr Steven Lowy.

The remuneration of the Executive Directors is determined by the Board, acting on recommendations made by the Remuneration Committee.

The Westfield Group's remuneration practices are regularly benchmarked against its competitors in all markets. In making recommendations to the Board, the Remuneration Committee takes into account advice from independent consultants and advisers on domestic and international trends in remuneration for Executive Directors. In arriving at recommendations, the advisers consider a wide range of factors including the Westfield Group's financial profile, the complexity and geographic spread of its business and the size and scope of the workload and responsibilities assumed by the Executive Directors.

#### 6.1 Executive Chairman

The term of Mr Frank Lowy's service contract expired 31 December 2010 and was extended on the same terms until 31 December 2011. These arrangements are renewable by agreement between the parties at the end of that period. Mr Lowy does not have a Service Agreement (as referred to in section 7.1).

Mr Lowy's remuneration for the Financial Year was as follows:

- a base salary of \$8 million;
- an annual performance bonus of \$7 million; and
- other benefits as detailed in the table below. The Chairman's entitlement to these non monetary benefits has not changed from previous financial years.

Mr Lowy's total remuneration for the Financial Year increased by approximately 6.9% primarily as a consequence of achieving 100% of his target performance bonus for the Financial Year.

Mr Lowy does not participate in the Plans.

Mr Lowy's service contract does not contain provision for any payment on termination by the Westfield Group (with or without cause) other than the retirement benefit referred to below. Mr Lowy will also be entitled to accrued statutory entitlements with respect to annual and long service leave.

The performance hurdles for the payment of Mr Lowy's bonus were the same performance hurdles as those applied to the 2010 Qualifying Year hurdles for the PIP Plan (see section 3.3). Mr Lowy's performance bonus was paid at 100% of target.

Mr Lowy's service arrangements provide for a retirement benefit of one month's salary for each year of service on termination of his services. This benefit will continue to be calculated based on his salary in the 2003/2004 year (increased annually by CPI) and not the higher amount payable in accordance with the post merger arrangements.

The amount accrued for the Financial Year was \$101,588 (2009: \$67,679). The amount payable to Mr Lowy on retirement from his executive role on 25 May 2011 is \$2,208,292. That amount (along with any accrued statutory entitlements) has been fully provided for in the Westfield Group's Annual Report and disclosed in prior financial years.

In setting Mr Lowy's remuneration, a wide variety of matters are taken into account.

The Remuneration Committee has taken external advice from the Westfield Group's human resources consultants and has commissioned a report from Egan Associates regarding the level of Mr Lowy's remuneration compared with Australian and international chief executive officers. That external advice is considered in detail by the Remuneration Committee in making its recommendations to the Board.

The Remuneration Committee and the Board have particular regard to Mr Lowy's status as one of Australia's most respected and influential chief executive officers. As a founder of the Westfield Group and having occupied the position of Managing Director/Chief Executive Officer since its inception, Mr Lowy is regarded in Australia and internationally as an outstanding and unique Chief Executive Officer who has presided, for over 50 years, over the growth of a global retail business.

Mr Lowy's knowledge, not only of the Westfield Group and its corporate history, but of the broader industry in which the Westfield Group operates globally, is broadly acknowledged. With 51 years direct experience in the design, construction and management of shopping centres and associated fund and asset management, Mr Lowy's experience and reputation is unrivalled in the global retail property industry.

The Board also had regard to the fact that Mr Lowy does not participate in the Plans and has not done so over the past 21 years. Given Mr Lowy's significant holdings in the Westfield Group over time, the Remuneration Committee and the Board have, over that period, been satisfied that there is appropriate alignment between Mr Lowy's interest and those of shareholders despite the fact that Mr Lowy does not participate in the Plans. Rather, the vast majority of Mr Lowy's remuneration is paid in cash and is fully disclosed below.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 6 Remuneration of the Executive Directors (continued)

#### 6.1 Executive Chairman (continued)

The summary below outlines Mr Lowy's fixed and at risk remuneration for the Financial Year. Based on the independent advice received by the Remuneration Committee and the Board, and having regard to the unique circumstances applicable to Mr Lowy, the Board regards this remuneration as reasonable and appropriate.

The Board has announced that, as from the AGM on 25 May 2011, Mr Lowy will assume the role of Non-Executive Chairman. It is proposed that Mr Lowy be paid a fee of \$750,000 per annum in that role.

Component of remuneration	A\$ 2010	A\$ 2009	A\$ 2008	A\$ 2007	A\$ 2006
<i>Short term employee benefits</i>					
– Base salary <sup>(1)</sup>	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000
Fixed					
– Cash bonus (accrued)	7,000,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	5,950,000	7,000,000	7,000,000	5,500,000
At risk					
– Other short term employee benefits <sup>(3)</sup>	(26,100)	11,693	24,657	1,351	42,554
Fixed					
– Non monetary benefits <sup>(4)</sup>	889,414	902,584	1,054,041	817,421	756,737
Fixed					
<i>Post employment employee benefits</i>					
– Pension and superannuation benefits	–	–	–	–	–
Fixed					
– Retirement benefits <sup>(5)</sup>	101,588	67,679	126,062	66,506	88,906
<i>Other long term benefits</i>	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Termination benefits</i>	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Share based payments<sup>(6)</sup></i>	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Total remuneration</b>	<b>15,964,902</b>	<b>14,931,956</b>	<b>16,204,760</b>	<b>15,885,278</b>	<b>14,388,197</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Mr Lowy's base salary is inclusive of statutory superannuation contributions.

<sup>(2)</sup> Mr Lowy's bonus for the Financial Year was 100% of his targeted bonus (2009: 85%). No part of this bonus is payable in respect of any future financial year.

<sup>(3)</sup> Comprising annual leave and long service leave entitlements.

<sup>(4)</sup> Other benefits comprise usage of the Westfield Group's aircraft which is classified as private usage (\$886,504). The entitlement to private usage of the Westfield Group's aircraft by Mr Lowy is up to a maximum of 75 hours per annum. The value of private usage (including fringe benefits tax) in any year is disclosed as remuneration. Unused entitlements are carried forward to future periods. During the current and the previous financial year, the Chairman, for each year, waived 20 hours of his entitlement to 75 hours of private usage of the aircraft.

<sup>(5)</sup> Mr Lowy's service arrangements provide for a retirement benefit of one month's salary for each year of service on termination of his services. This benefit is calculated based on his salary in the 2003/2004 year (increased annually by CPI) and not the higher amount payable in accordance with the post merger arrangements.

<sup>(6)</sup> The Chairman does not participate in the Plans. He was not paid or entitled to any share based compensation in the Financial Year.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 6 Remuneration of the Executive Directors (continued)

#### 6.2 Westfield Group Managing Directors

The employment arrangements of the Westfield Group Managing Directors are detailed as follows.

#### Mr Peter Lowy

- Has been with the Group since 1983.
- Has resided in the United States since 1990.
- All aspects of Mr Lowy's remuneration are reviewed annually by the Remuneration Committee and the Board. To assist in that review, an external review is conducted by Egan Associates to determine the appropriate level of remuneration having regard to a wide range of factors including the specific responsibilities attached to the position, remuneration of executives within Australian and international REITs (and other comparable industries) and other matters relating specifically to Westfield Group.
- Base salary of US\$2.5 million per annum for the Financial Year.
- Mr Lowy's performance bonus was paid at 100% of target for the Financial Year (2009: 85%). That level of vesting is consistent with the performance bonus paid to the Executive Chairman.
- Mr Lowy qualified for awards under the PIP Plan (see sections 3.3 and 4.3) at 125% of target for the 2010 Qualifying Year.
- Details of Mr Lowy's Service Agreement with the Westfield Group, including termination entitlements are set out in section 7.1. Following the proposed assumption by Mr Lowy of the role of joint Chief Executive Officer, there will not be any change to Mr Lowy's contractual terms including his current remuneration for the 2011 financial year.

The summary below outlines Mr Peter Lowy's fixed and at risk remuneration for the Financial Year.

Component of remuneration <sup>(1)</sup>	US\$ 2010	US\$ 2009	US\$ 2008	US\$ 2007	US\$ 2006
<i>Short term employee benefits</i>					
– Base salary	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
Fixed					
– Cash bonus (accrued)	3,360,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	2,850,000	3,360,000	3,531,600	2,300,000
At risk					
– Other short term employee benefits <sup>(3)</sup>	–	–	89,346	(123,798)	221,037
Fixed					
– Non monetary benefits	–	–	–	–	–
Fixed					
<b>Total short term employee benefits</b>	<b>5,860,000</b>	<b>5,350,000</b>	<b>5,949,346</b>	<b>5,907,802</b>	<b>5,021,037</b>
<i>Post employment employee benefits</i>					
– Pension and superannuation benefits	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Share based payments<sup>(4)</sup></i>					
– Cash settled EDA Plan/PIP plan (at risk)	2,755,051	1,507,787	1,100,308	2,651,522	1,857,666
<i>Other long term benefits</i>	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Total remuneration</b>	<b>8,615,051</b>	<b>6,857,787</b>	<b>7,049,654</b>	<b>8,559,324</b>	<b>6,878,703</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> As Mr Peter Lowy is based in the United States his remuneration is disclosed in local US currency.

<sup>(2)</sup> Mr Lowy's bonus for the Financial Year was 100% of his targeted bonus (2009: 85%). No part of this bonus is payable in respect of any future financial year.

<sup>(3)</sup> Comprising annual leave entitlements.

<sup>(4)</sup> Mr Lowy does not hold any options or other equity instruments as part of his remuneration. Mr Lowy does not participate in the EPR Plan or PIR Plan. Refer to the tables at 6.3 and 6.4 for details of awards held by Mr Lowy under the EDA Plan and PIP Plan.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 6 Remuneration of the Executive Directors (continued)

#### 6.2 Westfield Group Managing Directors (continued)

##### Mr Steven Lowy

- Has been with the Westfield Group since 1987.
- All aspects of Mr Lowy's remuneration are reviewed annually by the Remuneration Committee and the Board. To assist in that review, an external review is conducted by Egan Associates to determine the appropriate level of remuneration having regard to a wide range of factors including the specific responsibilities attached to the position, remuneration of executives within Australian and international REITs (and other comparable industries) and other matters relating specifically to Westfield Group.
- Base salary of A\$2.5 million per annum for the Financial Year.
- Mr Lowy's performance bonus was paid at 100% of target for the Financial Year (2009: 85%). That level of vesting is consistent with the performance bonus paid to the Executive Chairman.
- Mr Lowy qualified for awards under the PIP Plan (see sections 3.3 and 4.3) at 125% of target for the 2010 Qualifying Year.
- Details of Mr Lowy's Service Agreement with the Westfield Group including termination entitlements are set out in section 7.1. Following the proposed assumption by Mr Lowy of the role of joint Chief Executive Officer, there will not be any change to Mr Lowy's contractual terms including his current remuneration for the 2011 financial year.

The summary below outlines Mr Steven Lowy's fixed and at risk remuneration for the Financial Year.

Component of remuneration <sup>(1)</sup>	A\$ 2010	A\$ 2009	A\$ 2008	A\$ 2007	A\$ 2006
<i>Short term employee benefits</i>					
– Base salary <sup>(2)</sup>	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
– Fixed					
– Cash bonus (accrued)	4,000,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	3,400,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	3,000,000
– At risk					
– Other short term employee benefits <sup>(4)</sup>	(73,718)	(141,025)	89,743	70,513	446,346
– Fixed					
– Non monetary benefits	–	–	–	–	–
– Fixed					
<i>Total short term employee benefits</i>	<b>6,426,282</b>	<b>5,758,975</b>	<b>6,589,743</b>	<b>6,570,513</b>	<b>5,946,346</b>
<i>Post employment employee benefits</i>					
– Pension and superannuation benefits	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Share based payments<sup>(5)</sup></i>					
– Cash settled EDA Plan/PIP Plan (at risk)	2,995,272	1,888,038	1,279,726	3,161,090	2,465,383
<i>Other long term benefits</i>	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Total remuneration</b>	<b>9,421,554</b>	<b>7,647,013</b>	<b>7,869,469</b>	<b>9,731,603</b>	<b>8,411,729</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> As Mr Steven Lowy is based in Australia his remuneration is disclosed in A\$.

<sup>(2)</sup> Mr Lowy's base salary is inclusive of statutory superannuation contributions.

<sup>(3)</sup> Mr Lowy's bonus for the Financial Year was 100% of his targeted bonus (2009: 85%). No part of this bonus is payable in respect of any future financial year.

<sup>(4)</sup> Comprising annual leave and long service leave entitlements.

<sup>(5)</sup> Mr Lowy does not hold any options or other equity instruments as part of his remuneration. Mr Lowy does not participate in the EPR Plan or the PIR Plan. Refer to the tables at 6.3 and 6.4 for details of awards held by Mr Lowy under the EDA Plan and the PIP Plan.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 6 Remuneration of the Executive Directors (continued)

#### 6.3 Westfield Group Managing Directors: participation in the EDA Plan

The following chart details awards under the EDA Plan held by the Westfield Group Managing Directors.

Executive	Date of Grant	Number of Awards at Grant Date	Vesting Date	Reinvest-ment Awards <sup>(1)</sup>	Adjustment Awards <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Awards Held/Issued	Fair Value at Grant <sup>(3)</sup> \$	Market Value at 28 Feb 2011 <sup>(4)</sup> \$	Performance Hurdles
<b>Peter Lowy</b>	1 Jan 2007	43,928	1 Jan 2010 <sup>(5)</sup>	9,652	N/A	53,580	984,562	N/A	N/A
Westfield Group	1 Jan 2008	49,912	15 Dec 2010 <sup>(6)</sup>	11,810	N/A	61,722	916,164	N/A	N/A
Managing Director	1 Jan 2009	84,661	15 Dec 2011	13,913	27,601	126,175	971,690	1,230,206	N/A
Director	1 Jan 2010	82,656	14 Dec 2012	N/A	23,144	105,800	864,582	1,031,550	N/A
<b>Steven Lowy</b>	1 Jan 2007	43,928	1 Jan 2010 <sup>(5)</sup>	9,652	N/A	53,580	984,562	N/A	N/A
Westfield Group	1 Jan 2008	49,912	15 Dec 2010 <sup>(6)</sup>	11,810	N/A	61,722	916,164	N/A	N/A
Managing Director	1 Jan 2009	84,661	15 Dec 2011	13,913	27,601	126,175	971,690	1,230,206	N/A
Director	1 Jan 2010	82,656	14 Dec 2012	N/A	23,144	105,800	864,582	1,031,550	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> Aggregate figure in relation to the notional reinvestment of distributions for the distributions paid in February and August of 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The notional reinvestment of distributions feature of the EDA Plan does not apply to awards granted on or after 1 January 2010. Rather, the number of awards has been adjusted to include an amount being an estimate of the distributions payable on a Westfield Group stapled security over the vesting period.

<sup>(2)</sup> To take into account the impact of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction, the number of awards was adjusted by a factor of 1.28 as per a formula reviewed by Ernst & Young and approved by the ASX. The number of adjustment awards is an adjustment on the total of the awards at grant date and the reinvestment awards. Other than the adjustment to the number of awards, there has been no alteration to the terms of the grant to either of the Westfield Group Managing Directors under the EDA Plan since the grant date.

<sup>(3)</sup> The fair value of the awards issued under the EDA Plan is based on the estimated fair value of earnings. This is calculated by discounting the total value of the anticipated impact of future distributions and Westfield Group stapled security price movements. The fair value of awards granted under the EDA plan on or after 1 January 2010 is calculated using the Black Scholes pricing methodology. The fair value of the awards issued under the EDA Plan is calculated on the assumption that the employee remains employed with the Westfield Group for the full term of the EDA Plan.

<sup>(4)</sup> The market value at 28 February 2011 is based on the closing price of \$9.75.

<sup>(5)</sup> These awards vested (and were paid) in January 2010. The payout amount was \$646,175 for each Westfield Group Managing Director.

<sup>(6)</sup> These awards vested (and were paid) in December 2010. The payout amount was \$750,540 for each Westfield Group Managing Director.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 6 Remuneration of the Executive Directors (continued)

#### 6.4 Westfield Group Managing Directors: participation in the PIP Plan

The following chart details awards under the PIP Plan held by the Westfield Group Managing Directors.

Executive	Date of Grant	Number of Awards at Grant Date	Vesting Date	Reinvestment Awards <sup>(1)</sup>	Adjustment Awards <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Awards Held/Issued	Fair Value at Grant <sup>(3)</sup> \$	Market Value at 28 Feb 2011 <sup>(4)</sup> \$	Performance Hurdles
<b>Peter Lowy</b>	1 Jan 2006	55,732	55,732: 01/01/10 <sup>(5)</sup>	16,479	N/A	72,211	1,117,684	N/A	Satisfied
Westfield Group Managing Director	1 Jan 2007	100,925	50,463: 01/01/10 <sup>(6)</sup> 50,462: 01/01/11 <sup>(7)</sup>	11,088	N/A	61,551	1,107,759	N/A	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2008	103,360	51,680: 15/12/10 <sup>(8)</sup> 51,680: 15/12/11	12,227	N/A	63,907	1,139,785	N/A	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2009	117,440	58,720: 15/12/11 58,720: 14/12/12	19,300	38,288	175,028	2,059,746	1,706,523	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2010	224,809 <sup>(9)</sup>	108,442: 14/12/12 116,367: 16/12/13	N/A	62,947	287,756	1,712,306	2,805,621	85% Satisfied
	1 Jan 2011	246,036 <sup>(10)</sup>	120,264: 16/12/13 125,772: 15/12/14	N/A	68,890	314,926	2,395,123	3,070,529	125% Satisfied
<b>Steven Lowy</b>	1 Jan 2006	55,732	55,732: 01/01/10 <sup>(5)</sup>	16,479	N/A	72,211	1,117,684	N/A	Satisfied
Westfield Group Managing Director	1 Jan 2007	100,925	50,463: 01/01/10 <sup>(6)</sup> 50,462: 01/01/11 <sup>(7)</sup>	11,088	N/A	61,551	1,107,759	N/A	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2008	103,360	51,680: 15/12/10 <sup>(8)</sup> 51,680: 15/12/11	12,227	N/A	63,907	1,139,785	N/A	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2009	117,440	58,720: 15/12/11 58,720: 14/12/12	19,300	38,288	175,028	2,059,746	1,706,523	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2010	224,809 <sup>(9)</sup>	108,442: 14/12/12 116,367: 16/12/13	N/A	62,947	287,756	1,712,306	2,805,621	85% Satisfied
	1 Jan 2011	246,036 <sup>(10)</sup>	120,264: 16/12/13 125,772: 15/12/14	N/A	68,890	314,926	2,395,123	3,070,529	125% Satisfied

<sup>(1)</sup> Aggregate figure in relation to the notional reinvestment of distributions for the distributions paid in February and August of 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The notional reinvestment of distributions feature of the PIP Plan does not apply to awards granted on or after 1 January 2010. Rather, the number of awards has been adjusted to include an amount being an estimate of the distributions payable on a Westfield Group stapled security over the vesting period.

<sup>(2)</sup> To take into account the impact of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction, the number of awards was adjusted by a factor of 1.28 as per a formula reviewed by Ernst & Young and approved by the ASX. The number of adjustment awards is an adjustment on the total of the awards at grant date and the reinvestment awards. Other than the adjustment to the number of awards, there has been no alteration to the terms of the grant to either of the Westfield Group Managing Directors under the EDA Plan since the grant date.

<sup>(3)</sup> The fair value of the awards issued under the PIP Plan is based on the estimated fair value of earnings. This is calculated by discounting the total value of the anticipated impact of future distributions and Westfield Group stapled security price movements. The fair value of awards granted under the PIP plan on or after 1 January 2010 is calculated using the Black Scholes pricing methodology and calculated on the assumption that the employee remains employed with the Westfield Group for the full term of the PIP Plan.

<sup>(4)</sup> The market value at 28 February 2011 is based on the closing price of \$9.75.

<sup>(5)</sup> These tranche 2 awards vested (and were paid). The payout amount was \$870,865 for each Westfield Group Managing Director.

<sup>(6)</sup> This number represents 50% of the original number of the awards, as awards under the PIP Plan vest in two tranches. These awards are tranche 1 of the awards first granted in 2007. Tranche 1 vested on 1 January 2010. The payout amount was \$742,305 for each Westfield Group Managing Director.

<sup>(7)</sup> These tranche 2 awards vested (and were paid). The payout amount was \$821,185 for each Westfield Group Managing Director.

<sup>(8)</sup> These tranche 2 awards vested (and were paid). Peter Lowy paid in December & Steven Lowy paid in January. The payout amount was \$777,109 for each Westfield Group Managing Director.

<sup>(9)</sup> As the Qualifying Hurdles were only satisfied to 85%, 169,323 base awards were granted. The difference of 55,486 represents a gross up of awards for an adjustment for future distributions. See footnote (1) above. If the Qualifying Hurdles for 2009 were met in full the Westfield Group Managing Directors would have entitled to 264,480 awards (including gross up of future distributions).

<sup>(10)</sup> As the Qualifying Hurdles were satisfied to 125%, 207,469 base awards were granted. The difference of 38,567 represents a gross up of awards for an adjustment for future distributions. See footnote (1) above.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 7 Executive remuneration and termination arrangements

#### 7.1 Service agreements and termination arrangements

This note incorporates details of the other Key Management Personnel as defined under AASB 124. In addition to the Directors in section 2 above and the Executive Directors in section 6 above, the following Key Management Personnel are responsible for the strategic direction and management of the Group:

- Mr John Widdup (Chief Operating Officer, United States)

In 2009, the Westfield Group entered into Service Agreements with the Westfield Group Managing Directors and each of the Key Management Personnel. Previously, none of these executives had written contracts. Rather, their employment was managed in accordance with well established policies and procedures developed by the Westfield Group over time. The Service Agreements entered into between the Westfield Group and each of these executives are in a common form and are consistent with those policies and procedures.

The Service Agreements outline the elements of remuneration which may be conferred on the executive during their period of employment by the Westfield Group (including base salary, performance bonus and participation in the Plans). The agreement is silent on the details of that remuneration. Those details are determined annually by the Board and advised to the executive by letter.

The Service Agreements do not have a fixed term. They may be terminated by the Westfield Group employer at any time by giving the relevant executive one month's notice. The executive may terminate the contract at any time by giving the Westfield Group three month's notice.

Payments to the executive on termination are also common to each Service Agreement. The principles applicable to termination payment by the Westfield Group, as applied by the Westfield Group prior to execution of the Service Agreements and now reflected in those Service Agreements, are as follows:

#### (a) Resignation (excluding retirement) and termination by the Westfield Group for cause

An executive who resigns from the Westfield Group to pursue other opportunities or who is dismissed by the Westfield Group for cause (broadly defined to include serious misconduct, fraud or dishonest conduct or a refusal to comply with lawful directions) is entitled to minimal benefits on termination.

The executive is entitled only to accrued base salary and statutory entitlements to the date of departure. Payment of a pro-rata bonus for the relevant year may be considered in exceptional circumstances. All unvested entitlements under the Plans are forfeited, without payment, on termination.

#### (b) Redundancy or termination by the Westfield Group (other than for cause)

An executive made redundant by the Westfield Group or who is terminated without cause is entitled to receive:

- accrued statutory entitlements;
- a pro-rata performance bonus to the date of termination;
- a redundancy payment of between twelve and twenty four months base salary depending on the length of service of the executive plus one month's base salary in lieu of notice; and
- pro-rata vesting of outstanding awards under the Plans (excluding any awards which lapsed as a consequence of a failure to satisfy a performance hurdle).

#### (c) Death or permanent disability

If an executive dies or suffers a permanent disability during the term of employment the entitlements payable to that executive (or the estate of that executive) are as follows:

- accrued statutory entitlements;
- a pro-rata performance bonus to the date of termination; and
- full vesting of outstanding awards under the Plans (excluding any awards which lapsed as a consequence of a failure to satisfy a performance hurdle) other than "retention awards" which vest pro-rata to the date of termination.

#### (d) Retirement

The Westfield Group recognises that if an executive satisfies the retirement conditions (see below), the termination of the employment should be treated in a different manner to a resignation in the ordinary course.

Provided an executive has reached the age of 55 years with at least 5 years continuous service or the aggregate of the age of the participant and the number of years of service with the Westfield Group is equal to or greater than 70, the executive will be entitled to the following benefits:

- accrued statutory entitlements;
- a pro-rata performance bonus to the date of retirement; and
- the right to continue in the Plans until the date of vesting of outstanding awards granted prior to the date of retirement (excluding any awards which lapsed as a consequence of a failure to satisfy a performance hurdle) or, in circumstances where continued participation in the Plans is not permitted under the terms of the Plans, the executive is entitled to a cash payment from the Westfield Group equal to the amount that would have been received had the executive been permitted to continue in the Plans.

Where permitted by law, the Westfield Group imposes a further requirement that, following retirement, the executive complies with certain continuing non compete obligations which, if not satisfied, will result in forfeiture of all awards then outstanding.

The Remuneration Committee and the Board believe that these policies provide appropriate incentives (and disincentives) on termination which balances the interests of the Westfield Group and its members with the policy objective of providing commercially reasonable payments to executives which reflect the circumstances of their departure. As has been noted above, the retention of senior executives is a key objective of the Westfield Group. It is also an objective of the Board to keep long serving executives participating in the Plans right up to the point of their retirement. The Board believes that the policies described above assist in achieving those objectives.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 7 Executive remuneration and termination arrangements (continued)

#### 7.2 Remuneration: Key Management Personnel

The table below outlines the terms of the service contracts with Key Management Personnel.

Name and Title	Employing Company	Commencement Date	Term	Termination Provisions/Benefits
<b>John Widdup</b> Chief Operating Officer, United States	Westfield LLC	5 April 1994	Mr Widdup's contract continues in force until terminated by either party in accordance with its terms. This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the Group may in its discretion terminate Mr Widdup's employment on one month's notice of termination</li> <li>- the Group may summarily terminate Mr Widdup's employment for cause</li> <li>- Mr Widdup may terminate his employment on three month's notice to the Group</li> </ul>	Refer section 7.1

#### Mr John Widdup: Fixed and at risk remuneration for the Financial Year.

Component of remuneration <sup>(1)</sup>	US\$ 2010	US\$ 2009	US\$ 2008
<i>Short term employee benefits</i>			
- Base salary	800,000	800,000	800,000
- Cash bonus (accrued)	900,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	700,000	800,000
- Other short term employee benefits <sup>(3)</sup>	30,709	25,897	71,701
- Non monetary benefits <sup>(4)</sup>	740,266	625,390	609,913
<b>Total short term employee benefits</b>	<b>2,470,975</b>	<b>2,151,287</b>	<b>2,281,614</b>
<i>Post employment employee benefits</i>			
- Pension and superannuation benefits	-	-	-
<i>Share based payments<sup>(5)</sup></i>			
- Cash settled EDA Plan/PIP Plan (at risk)	802,040	526,877	432,205
- Equity settled EPR Plan/PIR Plan (at risk)	637,782	553,743	596,178
<i>Other long term benefits</i>	-	-	-
<b>Total remuneration</b>	<b>3,910,797</b>	<b>3,231,907</b>	<b>3,309,997</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Mr John Widdup is based in the United States and his remuneration is disclosed in local US currency. Mr Widdup was first classified as a Key Management Personnel in 2008.

<sup>(2)</sup> Mr Widdup's bonus for the Financial Year was 100% of his targeted bonus (2009: 85%). No part of this bonus is payable in respect of any future financial year.

<sup>(3)</sup> Comprising annual leave and long service leave entitlements.

<sup>(4)</sup> Comprising normal expatriate benefits including medical benefits, accommodation, school fees, home leave plus fringe benefit tax on those benefits.

<sup>(5)</sup> Refer to sections 7.3 to 7.6.

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 7 Executive remuneration and termination arrangements (continued)

#### 7.3 Key Management Personnel: participation in the EDA Plan<sup>(1)</sup>

The following chart details awards under the EDA Plan held by Key Management Personnel.

Executive	Date of Grant	Number of Awards at Grant Date	Vesting Date	Reinvest-ment Awards <sup>(2)</sup>	Adjustment Awards <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Awards Held/ Issued	Fair Value at Grant <sup>(4)</sup> \$	Market Value at 28 Feb 2011 <sup>(5)</sup> \$	Performance Hurdles
<b>John Widdup</b>	1 Jan 2007	14,729	1 Jan 2010 <sup>(6)</sup>	3,238	N/A	17,967	330,155	N/A	N/A
Chief Operating, United States	1 Jan 2007	31,008	1 May 2010 <sup>(7)</sup>	8,273	N/A	39,281	677,036	N/A	N/A
	1 Jan 2008	18,851	15 Dec 2010 <sup>(8)</sup>	4,461	N/A	23,312	346,039	N/A	N/A
	1 Jan 2009	46,276	15 Dec 2011	7,606	15,087	68,969	531,141	672,448	N/A
	1 Jan 2010	32,196	14 Dec 2012	N/A	9,015	41,211	336,770	401,807	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> In the United States, the issuer of awards under the EDA Plan is Westfield LLC.

<sup>(2)</sup> Aggregate figure in relation to the notional reinvestment of distributions for the distributions paid in February and August 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The notional reinvestment of distributions feature of the EDA Plan does not apply to awards granted on or after 1 January 2010. Rather, the number of awards has been adjusted to include an amount being an estimate of the distributions payable on a Westfield Group stapled security over the vesting period.

<sup>(3)</sup> To take into account the impact of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction, the number of awards was adjusted by a factor of 1.28 as per a formula reviewed by Ernst & Young and approved by the ASX. The number of adjustment awards is an adjustment on the total of the awards at grant date and the reinvestment awards. Other than the adjustment to the number of awards, there has been no alteration to the terms of the grant to the Key Management Personnel under the EDA Plan since the grant date.

<sup>(4)</sup> The fair value of the awards issued under the EDA Plan is based on the estimated fair value of earnings. This is calculated by discounting the total value of the anticipated impact of future distributions and Westfield Group stapled security price movements. The fair value of awards granted under the EDA plan on or after 1 January 2010 is calculated using the Black Scholes pricing methodology. The fair value of the awards issued under the EDA Plan is calculated on the assumption that the employee remains employed with the Westfield Group for the full term of the EDA Plan.

<sup>(5)</sup> The market value at 28 February 2011 is based on the closing price of \$9.75.

<sup>(6)</sup> These awards vested and have been paid. The payout amount was A\$216,682.

<sup>(7)</sup> These awards vested and have been paid. The payout amount was A\$481,978.

<sup>(8)</sup> These awards vested and have been paid. The payout amount was A\$283,474.

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 40 REMUNERATION, OPTION AND SHAREHOLDINGS OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (CONTINUED)

### 7 Executive remuneration and termination arrangements (continued)

#### 7.4 Key Management Personnel: participation in the PIP Plan <sup>(1)</sup>

The following chart details awards under the PIP Plan held by Key Management Personnel.

Executive	Date of Grant	Number of Awards at Grant Date	Vesting Date	Reinvestment Awards <sup>(2)</sup>	Adjustment Awards <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Awards Held/Issued	Fair Value at Grant <sup>(4)</sup> \$	Market Value at 28 Feb 2011 <sup>(5)</sup> \$	Performance Hurdles
<b>John Widdup</b> <sup>(6)</sup>	1 Jan 2008	20,672	10,336: 15/12/10 <sup>(7)</sup>	2,448	N/A	12,784	217,442	N/A	Satisfied
Chief Operating Officer, United States	1 Jan 2009	25,134	10,336: 15/12/11 12,567: 15/12/11 12,567: 14/12/12	2,448 4,132	3,580 8,194	16,364 37,460	214,146 440,923	159,549 365,235	Satisfied
	1 Jan 2010	44,962 <sup>(8)</sup>	21,689: 14/12/12 23,273: 16/12/13	N/A	12,589	57,551	342,463	561,122	85% Satisfied
	1 Jan 2011	49,208 <sup>(9)</sup>	24,053: 16/12/13 25,155: 15/12/14	N/A	13,778	62,986	479,032	614,113	125% Satisfied

<sup>(1)</sup> In the United States, the issuer of awards under the PIP Plan is Westfield LLC.

<sup>(2)</sup> Aggregate figure in relation to the notional reinvestment of distributions for the distributions paid in February and August 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The notional reinvestment of distributions feature of the PIP Plan does not apply to awards granted on or after 1 January 2010. Rather, the number of awards has been adjusted to include an amount being an estimate of the distributions payable on a Westfield Group stapled security over the vesting period.

<sup>(3)</sup> To take into account the impact of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction, the number of awards was adjusted by a factor of 1.28 in accordance with a formula reviewed by Ernst & Young and approved by the ASX. The number of adjustment awards is an adjustment on the total of the awards at grant date and the reinvestment awards. Other than the adjustment to the number of awards, there has been no alteration to the terms of the grant to the Key Management Personnel under the PIP Plan since the grant date.

<sup>(4)</sup> The fair value of the awards issued under the PIP Plan is based on the estimated fair value of earnings. This is calculated by discounting the total value of the anticipated impact of future distributions and Westfield Group stapled security price movements. The fair value of awards granted under the PIP plan on or after 1 January 2010 is calculated using the Black Scholes pricing methodology. The fair value of the awards issued under the PIP Plan is calculated on the assumption that the employee remains employed with the Westfield Group for the full term of the PIP Plan.

<sup>(5)</sup> The market value at 28 February 2011 is based on the closing price of \$9.75.

<sup>(6)</sup> Overseas executives were given the election to participate in the PIP Plan or the PIR Plan. Mr Widdup made the election in respect of awards granted in the Financial Year to participate in the PIP Plan.

<sup>(7)</sup> This first tranche of awards vested and was paid in December 2010. The payout amount was \$155,453.

<sup>(8)</sup> As the Qualifying Hurdles were only satisfied as to 85%, 33,865 base awards were granted. The difference of 11,097 represents a gross up of awards for an adjustment for future distributions. See footnote (2) above. If the Qualifying Hurdles for 2009 were met in full Mr Widdup would have been entitled to 52,896 awards (including gross up of future distributions). See footnote (2) above.

<sup>(9)</sup> As the Qualifying Hurdles were satisfied as to 125%, base awards 41,494 were granted. The difference of 7,714 represents a gross up of awards for an adjustment for future distributions. See footnote (2) above.

#### 7.5 Key Management Personnel: participation in the EPR Plan <sup>(1)</sup>

Executive	Date of Grant	Number of Rights at Grant Date	Vesting Date/Market Value	Adjustment Rights <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Rights Held	Fair Value at Grant <sup>(3)</sup> \$	Market Value at 28 Feb 2011 <sup>(4)</sup> \$	Performance Hurdles
<b>John Widdup</b> <sup>(5)</sup>	1 Jan 2008	219,950	15 Dec 2011	61,586	281,536	2,773,570	2,744,976	N/A
Chief Operating Officer, United States	1 Jan 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> In the United States, the issuer of rights under the EPR Plan is Westfield LLC.

<sup>(2)</sup> To take into account the impact of the Westfield Retail Trust transaction, the number of rights was adjusted by a factor of 1.28 in accordance with a formula reviewed by Ernst & Young and approved by ASX. Other than to permit an adjustment to the number of rights, there has been no alteration to the terms of the grant to the Key Management Personnel under the EPR Plan since the grant date.

<sup>(3)</sup> The fair value of the rights issued under the EPR Plan is calculated using the Black Scholes option pricing methodology. The fair value of the awards issued under the EPR Plan is calculated on the assumption that the employee remains employed with the Westfield Group for the full term of the EPR Plan.

<sup>(4)</sup> The market value at 28 February 2011 is based on the closing price of \$9.75.

<sup>(5)</sup> From 1 January 2008, certain overseas executives were given the election to participate in the EDA Plan or the EPR Plan. Mr Widdup made the election in respect of retention awards granted in 2008 to participate in the EPR Plan. Mr Widdup participated in the EDA Plan in respect of awards granted in 2009 and the Financial Year. Refer table at 7.3.

#### 7.6 Key Management Personnel: participation in the PIR Plan

There were no outstanding rights issued under the PIR Plan to Key Management Personnel at 31 December 2010 and 31 December 2009.

## NOTE 41 DETAILS OF CONTROLLED ENTITIES AND EQUITY ACCOUNTED ENTITIES

Name of entity	31 Dec 10 – Interest		31 Dec 09 – Interest	
	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN AUSTRALIA</b>				
<b>Parent Entity</b>				
Westfield America Trust				
<b>Consolidated Controlled Entities</b>				
WFA Finance (Aust) Pty Limited	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN UNITED STATES</b>				
<b>Consolidated Controlled Entities</b>				
1801 Avenue of the Stars, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
21919 Erwin Street, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
21945 Erwin Street, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Anita Associates	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Holdings, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Land, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Land II, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Mall, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Manager, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Parcel, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Annapolis Shoppingtown, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Avenue of the Stars, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bellweather Properties of Florida, Ltd	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Brandon Land Partners, Ltd	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Brandon Shopping Center Partners, Ltd	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Broward Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Broward Mall MM, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bunworth Enterprises, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bunworth Holdings, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Capital Mall Company	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Capital Mall GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Capital Mall Holdings, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Capital Mall I, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Capital Mall Land, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Capital Shopping Center, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
CC Building GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CC Building, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Century City Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Citrus Park Venture, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF Culver City, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF MP North, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF MP South, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF NCF North, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF NCF South, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF PCR, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF PWC, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF Richland, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CMF Santa Anita, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
CMF UTC North, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
CMF UTC South, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
CMF, Inc <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Connecticut Post Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 41 DETAILS OF CONTROLLED ENTITIES AND EQUITY ACCOUNTED ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

Name of entity	31 Dec 10 – Interest		31 Dec 09 – Interest	
	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN UNITED STATES (CONTINUED)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Controlled Entities (continued)</b>				
Culver City GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Culver City Mall, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Downtown Plaza, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Eastland Manager, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Eastland Shopping Center, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
EWH Escondido Associates, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Fashion Square, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Fox Valley Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Franklin Park Parcel, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Franklin Residential Parcel, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Great Northern Partnership	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Growth Head GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP Investor, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hahn UPI	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hawthorn, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hawthorn Furniture, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hawthorn Theatre, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Head Acquisition, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Horton Land, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Horton Plaza, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Horton Plaza GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Horton Plaza Venture, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Louis Joliet Holdings, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Louis Joliet Shoppingtown, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MainPlace Shoppingtown, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Meriden Square #2, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Meriden Square #3, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Meriden Square Partnership	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Metreon, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Mission Valley Center, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Mission Valley Partnership	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Mission Valley Shoppingtown, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Missouri Residential I, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
North County Fair, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Oakridge Mall, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Oakridge Mall GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Old Orchard License Holdings, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Old Orchard Urban, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parkway Plaza, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parkway Plaza GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
PCRGP, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza Bonita II, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza Bonita IV, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza Bonita, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza Bonita GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

## NOTE 41 DETAILS OF CONTROLLED ENTITIES AND EQUITY ACCOUNTED ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

Name of entity	31 Dec 10 – Interest		31 Dec 09 – Interest	
	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN UNITED STATES (CONTINUED)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Controlled Entities (continued)</b>				
Plaza Bonita Parking GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza Camino Real, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza Camino Real, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza West Covina, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Plaza West Covina GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Promenade, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Residential Real Estate I, LLC	–	–	100.0	100.0
Residential Rental and Investments, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Roseville Parcel, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Roseville Shoppingtown, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
S.F. Centre, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
S.F. Centre Limited Partnership	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
S.F. Shopping Centre Associates, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Santa Ana Venture	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Santa Anita Fashion Park, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Santa Anita GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Santa Anita Shoppingtown, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Sarasota Shoppingtown, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Solano Mall, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Solano Mall Boxes, LLC (formerly WEA Solano BB, LLC)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
South County Center, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
South County Holdings, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
South County Post Office, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
South Shore Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
South Shore Mall Holdings, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
South Shore Manager, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Southgate Plaza, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Southlake Indiana, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Southpark Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
SSM Land, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
St. Louis Assets, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Sunrise Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
The Connecticut Post, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Topanga Plaza, LP <sup>(1)</sup>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Topanga Plaza Owner, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Trumbull Shopping Center # 1, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Trumbull Shopping Center # 2, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UC Century Genpar, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UPI Associates	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Urban Roseville, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Urban Shopping Centers, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Vancouver Holdings, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Vancouver Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Vancouver Mall II, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Vancouver Mall II, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Vancouver Mall III, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WALP Service, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WAP HC, Inc <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
WCI Finance, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 41 DETAILS OF CONTROLLED ENTITIES AND EQUITY ACCOUNTED ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

Name of entity	31 Dec 10 – Interest		31 Dec 09 – Interest	
	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN UNITED STATES (CONTINUED)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Controlled Entities (continued)</b>				
WCM (BOS), LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WCM (Texas), LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WDI Operations LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Belden, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Brandon I GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Brandon II GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Chicago Ridge, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Citrus GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Countryside GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA CT Houses, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Eastridge, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Eastridge GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Finance, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Fox Valley GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Gateway, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Great Northern GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Great Northern GP II, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Great Northern Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Hawthorn Shopping Center GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Hawthorn Theatre MM, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Meriden Square, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Meriden Square No.2, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA North Bridge, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
WEA Northwest Indiana Holdings, LLC 1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA NY, Inc.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA NY Houses, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Old Orchard GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Palm Desert, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA San Francisco GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Southcenter, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Southgate Plaza, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Southlake, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Southpark, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Valley Fair, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA Valley Fair UTC, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA VTC GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEA VTC LP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
West County Center, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
West Valley, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
West Valley Development, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
West Valley Partnership	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield 816–818 Mission Street LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield America, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield America GP, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield America GP, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield America Investor, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield America Shopping Centers, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield America, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Beneficiary 1, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

## NOTE 41 DETAILS OF CONTROLLED ENTITIES AND EQUITY ACCOUNTED ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

Name of entity	31 Dec 10 – Interest		31 Dec 09 – Interest	
	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN UNITED STATES (CONTINUED)</b>				
<b>Consolidated Controlled Entities (continued)</b>				
Westfield Beneficiary 2, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Beverage, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Branding, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Brandon Partners, Ltd	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Bulletin Building, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Centers, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Concession Management, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Concession Management II, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Development, Inc.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Digital Services, Inc.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Eco Inc.	100.0	100.0	–	–
Westfield Emporium, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Franklin Park Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Franklin Park Mall II, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Garden State, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield GEX2, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Gift Card Management, Inc.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Growth II, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Growth, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Head, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Independence, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Independence Mall, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Louis Joliet, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Management Company	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Metreon, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Project Management, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Sacramento Acquisition Associates, LP <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	100.0	100.0
Westfield San Francisco, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield SF, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Subsidiary REIT 1, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Subsidiary REIT 2, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Topanga Owner, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield U.S. Holdings, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Urban Preferred, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield Urban, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield World Trade Retail, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westfield WTC Holding, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westland Mall, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westland Properties, Inc.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westland Realty Beneficiary, Inc	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westland Shopping Center L.P., A California Limited Partnership	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westland Shopping Center, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Westland South Shore Mall, LP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Wheaton Plaza No.1, LLC	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Wheaton Plaza Regional Shopping Center, LLP	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

# Notes to the Financial Statements

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

## NOTE 41 DETAILS OF CONTROLLED ENTITIES AND EQUITY ACCOUNTED ENTITIES (CONTINUED)

Name of entity	31 Dec 10 – Interest		31 Dec 09 – Interest	
	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %	Beneficial* Parent Entity %	Consolidated or Equity accounted %
<b>ENTITIES INCORPORATED IN UNITED STATES (CONTINUED)</b>				
<b>Equity Accounted Entities</b>				
Abbey Acquisition, LLC	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
Bulletin Building, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Bulletin Building Owner, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Emporium Development, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Emporium Mall, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Fashion Square Service TRS, Inc	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
GSP Holdings, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
GSP Service TRS, Inc	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
MBM Associates	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
MerchantWired, LLC	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.7
Montgomery Mall Borrower, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	50.0	50.0
Montgomery Mall Condo, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Montgomery Mall, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Montgomery Mall of Maryland, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Montgomery Service, Inc	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Northbridge Retail Company, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	33.3	33.3
R A Hotel, Inc.	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
R A Hotel Partners, LP	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
R A West, Inc.	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
RNA-NY, LLC	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
Rolim Real Estate Investments, Inc	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
Rolim West, LLC	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
RoPro TRS, Inc	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
Sherman Oaks Fashion Associates, LP	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Tri-Party Miscellaneous, LLC	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
Tri-Party Non-856 Assets, LLC	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3
University Towne Center, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
UTC Venture, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
V F Mall, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Valencia Town Center Associates, LP	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Valencia Town Center Venture, G.P., LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Valencia Town Center Venture, LP	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Valley Fair UTC, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
VF/UTC Service, Inc	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Westfield Paramus 1, Inc.	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Westfield Paramus Holdings 1, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Westfield Paramus Holdings 2, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Westfield Paramus Holdings 3, LLC	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Westfield Paramus Holdings LLC 4	49.5	49.5	–	–
Westfield Valencia, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	–	–	50.0	50.0
Westland Garden State Plaza, LP	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0

\* Beneficial interest in underlying controlled and equity accounted entities reflects the Parent Entity's ownership interest as determined under Australian Accounting Standards excluding certain convertible redeemable preference shares and other redeemable preference shares/units which have been accounted for as other financial liabilities in these financial statements.

<sup>(1)</sup> Entity dissolved during the financial year.

# Directors' Declaration

The Directors of Westfield America Management Limited, the Responsible Entity of Westfield America Trust (Trust), declare that:

- in the Directors' opinion, there are reasonable grounds to believe that the Trust will be able to pay its debts as and when they become due and payable;
- in the Directors' opinion, the Financial Statements and notes thereto are in accordance with the Corporations Act 2001, including sections 296 and 297; and the International Financial Reporting Standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board; and
- they have been provided with the declarations required by section 295A of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cwlth).

Made on 16 March 2011 in accordance with a resolution of the Board of Directors.



**F P Lowy AC**  
Executive Chairman



**F G Hilmer AO**  
Director



Ernst & Young Centre  
680 George Street  
Sydney NSW 2000 Australia  
GPO Box 2646 Sydney NSW 2001  
Tel: +61 2 9248 5555  
Fax: +61 2 9248 5959  
www.ey.com/au

**Independent auditor's report to the members of Westfield America Trust**

**Report on the financial report**

We have audited the accompanying financial report of Westfield America Trust (the Trust), which comprises the consolidated balance sheet as at 31 December 2010, the consolidated income statement and statement of comprehensive income, the consolidated statement of changes in equity and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended, notes comprising a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information, and the directors' declaration of the consolidated entity comprising the Trust and the entities it controlled at the year's end or from time to time during the financial year.

**Directors' responsibility for the financial report**

The directors of Westfield America Management Limited, the Responsible Entity of the Trust, are responsible for the preparation of the financial report that gives a true and fair view in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards and the *Corporations Act 2001* and for such internal controls as the directors determine are necessary to enable the preparation of the financial report that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In Note 1, the directors also state, in accordance with Accounting Standard AASB 101 *Presentation of Financial Statements*, that the financial statements comply with *International Financial Reporting Standards*.

**Auditor's responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial report based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we comply with relevant ethical requirements relating to audit engagements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial report is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial report. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial report, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal controls relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial report in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal controls. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by the directors, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial report.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

**Independence**

In conducting our audit we have complied with the independence requirements of the *Corporations Act 2001*. We have given to the directors of Westfield America Management Limited a written Auditor's Independence Declaration, a copy of which is included in the directors' report.

**Opinion**

In our opinion:

- a. the financial report of Westfield America Trust is in accordance with the *Corporations Act 2001*, including:
  - i giving a true and fair view of the consolidated entity's financial position at 31 December 2010 and of its performance for the year ended on that date; and
  - ii complying with Australian Accounting Standards and the *Corporations Regulations 2001*; and
- b. the financial report also complies with *International Financial Reporting Standards* as disclosed in Note 1.

Ernst & Young

S J Ferguson  
Partner

Sydney, 16 March 2011

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

The Directors of Westfield America Management Limited (Responsible Entity), the responsible entity of Westfield America Trust (Trust) submit the following report for the year ended 31 December 2010 (Financial Year).

In this report, the Trust and its controlled entities are referred to as the Group.

**1. Operations and Activities**

**1.1 Review of Operations and Results of Operations**

The Group reported a net profit of \$428.4 million and a distribution of \$451.5 million for the Financial Year. Basic earnings per unit is 18.56 cents and the distribution per unit is 19.56 cents.

As at 31 December 2010, the Group had a \$15.3 billion (consolidated properties of \$13.2 billion and share of equity accounted properties of \$2.1 billion) interest in 55 shopping centres, comprising 8,989 retail outlets and approximately 5.9 million square metres of retail space.

The Group contributed net property income of \$1,011.8 million for the Financial Year with a contraction in comparable mall income of 1.4%.

Retail sales on the Group's 55 shopping centres totalled US\$6.7 billion for the Financial Year.

At 31 December 2010, the portfolio of 55 shopping centres was 94.1% leased. New leases totalling 3.2 million square feet were completed during the Financial Year. The average specialty store rent across the portfolio at 31 December 2010 was US\$58.43 per square foot, up 0.1% for the Financial Year (on a comparable basis).

**Development projects**

In 2010, the Group commenced \$280 million of construction under the small projects programme.

There were no significant changes in the Trust's state of affairs during the Financial Year.

**1.2 Principal Activities**

The principal activities of the Group during the Financial Year were the ownership, development, design, construction, funds/asset management, leasing and marketing activities undertaken with respect to its US portfolio of retail properties. There were no significant changes in the nature of those activities during the Financial Year.

**1.3 Subsequent Events**

**Proposed changes to Board and management**

As announced on 2 March 2011, the Westfield Group is proposing a number of changes to its Board and management, including a decision by the Executive Chairman, Mr Frank Lowy, to assume the role of non-executive Chairman and the appointment of Mr Peter Lowy and Mr Steven Lowy as joint Chief Executive Officers.

Other changes include Mr David Lowy and Mr David Gonski indicating that they do not wish to seek re-election at the next Annual General Meeting (AGM) of Westfield Holdings Limited, the appointment of Mr Brian Schwartz as Deputy Chairman and the proposed appointment of Mr Peter Allen, the current Group Chief Financial Officer of Westfield Group as a director. Mr Allen will stand for election at the upcoming AGM.

All changes will take effect at the AGM to be held on 25 May 2011.

**Termination of interest rate hedges**

Reference is made to Note 32(ii) and (iia) regarding the termination of interest rate hedges subsequent to the balance date.

Other than the abovementioned events, no matter or circumstance has arisen since the end of the Financial Year that has significantly affected, or may significantly affect, the Trust's operations in future financial years, the results of the Trust's operations in future financial years or the Trust's state of affairs in future financial years.

**1.4 Future Developments**

The likely developments in the Trust's operations in future financial years and the expected results of those operations are described in the Review of Operations and Results of Operations above. In the opinion of the Directors, disclosure of any further information would be likely to result in unreasonable prejudice to the Group.

**1.5 Environmental Performance**

Environmental laws and regulations in force in the various jurisdictions in which the Westfield Group operates are applicable to areas of the Westfield Group's operations and in particular to its development, construction and shopping centre management activities. The Westfield Group has in place procedures to identify and comply with such requirements including, where applicable, obtaining and complying with the conditions of relevant authority consents and approvals and the obtaining of any necessary licences. These compliance procedures are regularly reviewed and audited and their application closely monitored.

**2. Distributions**

The distribution for the six months ended 31 December 2009<sup>(1)</sup>, paid 26 February 2010:

- 19.00 cents per unit final distribution for all ordinary units	\$454,263,025
--	---------------

The distribution for the six months ended 30 June 2010<sup>(2)</sup>, paid 31 August 2010:

- 11.00 cents per unit interim distribution for all ordinary units	\$253,855,103
--	---------------

The following final distribution<sup>(3)</sup> was declared for payment to members with respect to the Financial Year, and paid on 28 February 2011:

- 8.56 cents per unit final distribution for all ordinary units	\$197,649,419
---	---------------

<sup>(1)</sup> The Trust distribution of 19.00 cents per ordinary unit formed part of the distribution of 47.00 cents per ordinary WDC stapled security paid on 26 February 2010. This distribution was an aggregate of a distribution from the Trust and a distribution from Westfield Trust. No dividend was paid by Westfield Holdings Limited. The figure reported here only represents that component of the aggregate Westfield Group distribution being the distribution of the Trust.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Trust distribution of 11.00 cents per ordinary unit formed part of the distribution of 32.00 cents per ordinary WDC stapled security paid on 31 August 2010. This distribution was an aggregate of a distribution from the Trust and a distribution from Westfield Trust. No dividend was paid by Westfield Holdings Limited. The figure reported here only represents that component of the aggregate Westfield Group distribution being the distribution of the Trust.

<sup>(3)</sup> The Trust distribution of 8.56 cents per ordinary unit formed part of the distribution of 31.56 cents per ordinary WDC stapled security paid on 28 February 2011. This distribution is an aggregate of a distribution from the Trust, a distribution from Westfield Trust and a dividend from Westfield Holdings Limited. The figure reported here only represents that component of the aggregate Westfield Group distribution being the distribution of the Trust.

**3. The Directors**

The following Directors served on the Board for the Financial Year: Mr F P Lowy, Mr D H Lowy, Professor F G Hilmer, Mr R L Furman, Lord P H Goldsmith, Mr D M Gonski, Mr S P Johns, Mr M R Johnson, Mr P S Lowy, Mr S M Lowy, Mr J McFarlane, Mr B M Schwartz, Professor J Sloan and Dr G H Weiss.

The composition of the Board changed during the Financial Year with the retirement of Dr G H Weiss on 27 May 2010 and the appointment of Mr M R Johnson on the same date.

Biographies of the Directors can be found in the 2010 Westfield Group Annual Report.

The names of the Directors in office and the relevant interests of each Director in ordinary stapled securities in the Westfield Group as at the date of this report are shown below. Ordinary units in the Trust are stapled to shares in Westfield Holdings Limited and units in Westfield Trust. The stapled securities trade on the Australian Securities Exchange under the code WDC.

Director	Number of Stapled Securities
F P Lowy	179,598,386
D H Lowy	
P S Lowy	
S M Lowy	
R L Furman	50,000
P H Goldsmith	5,000
D M Gonski	243,057
F G Hilmer	205,904
S P Johns	1,512,655
M R Johnson	4,415
J McFarlane	51,951
BM Schwartz	21,110
J Sloan	3,000

Dr G H Weiss retired from the Board on 27 May 2010. On the date of retirement, Dr Weiss held 22,237 ordinary stapled securities in the Westfield Group.

None of the Directors hold options over any issued or unissued units in the Trust or stapled securities in the Westfield Group. No options over any issued or unissued units in the Trust or stapled securities in the Westfield Group have been issued to the Directors. None of the Directors hold debentures of the Westfield Group. Details of performance rights granted to other Key Management Personnel are contained in Note 40 to the Financial Statements.

None of the Directors are party to or entitled to a benefit under a contract which confers a right to call for, or be delivered, interests in the Trust or the Westfield Group.

**4. Options and Unissued Interests**

Details of the unissued ordinary units in the Trust under options as at the date of this report are provided in Note 21 in the Notes to the Financial Statements (page 19).

Details of fully paid ordinary units in the Trust which were issued during or since the end of the Financial Year as a result of the exercise of options over unissued units are provided in Note 8(b) and 20 in the Notes to the Financial Statements (page 13 and 19).

**5. Indemnities and Insurance Premiums**

No insurance premiums were paid during or since the end of the Financial Year out of the assets of the Trust in regards to insurance cover provided to the Responsible Entity or the auditor of the Trust. So long as the Responsible Entity acts in accordance with the Constitution and the Corporations Act, it remains indemnified out of the assets of the Trust against any losses incurred while acting as Responsible Entity. The auditors of the Trust are in no way indemnified out of the assets of the Trust.

**6. Special Rules for Registered Schemes**

- \$2.6 million in fees were paid and payable to the Responsible Entity and its associates out of the assets of the Trust during the Financial Year.
- Associates of the Responsible Entity held 21,292,045 units as at the end of the Financial Year.
- Details of units issued in the Trust during the Financial Year are set out on Note 20 on page 19.
- No withdrawals were made from the scheme during the Financial Year.
- Details of the value of the Trust's assets as at the end of the Financial Year and the basis for the valuation are set out in Notes 2(c), 12 and 13 on pages 9, 14 and 15 respectively.
- Details of the number of units in the Trust as at the end of the Financial Year are set out in Note 20 on page 19.

**7. Audit**

**7.1 Audit and Compliance Committee**

As at the date of this report, the Responsible Entity had an Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors.

**7.2 Auditor's Independence Declaration**



**Auditor's Independence Declaration to the Directors of Westfield America Management Limited**

In relation to our audit of the financial report of Westfield America Trust for the year ended 31 December 2010, to the best of my knowledge and belief, there have been no contraventions of the auditor independence requirements of the *Corporations Act 2001* or any applicable code of professional conduct.

*Ernst & Young*

**Ernst & Young**

Sydney, 16 March 2011

*S J Ferguson*

**S J Ferguson**  
Partner

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

This Report is made in accordance with a resolution of the Board of Directors and is signed for and on behalf of the Directors.

*F P Lowy*

**F P Lowy AC**  
Executive Chairman

*F G Hilmer*

**F G Hilmer AO**  
Director

16 March 2011

The Corporate Governance Statement for Westfield America Trust for the financial year ended 31 December 2010 has been incorporated into the Corporate Governance Statement prepared for the Westfield Group. This Statement can be found in the 2010 Westfield Group Annual Report, after the Directors' Report. The Westfield Group's Annual Report is available on the [westfield.com/corporate](http://westfield.com/corporate) website.

## Members' Information

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2010

### Twenty Largest Holders of Stapled Securities in Westfield Group\*

	Number of Securities	% of Issued Securities
1. HSBC Custody Nominees (Australia) Limited	701,004,259	30.36
2. J P Morgan Nominees Australia Limited	410,295,835	17.77
3. National Nominees Limited	284,420,575	12.32
4. Cordera Holdings Pty Limited	119,507,561	5.18
5. Citicorp Nominees Pty Limited	110,158,799	4.77
6. AMP Life Limited	35,098,655	1.52
7. Citicorp Nominees Pty Limited <CFS WSLE Property Secs A/C>	33,001,914	1.43
8. JP Morgan Nominees Australia Limited <Cash Income A/C>	29,096,460	1.26
9. Cogent Nominees Pty Limited	19,821,644	0.86
10. Franley Holdings Pty Limited	16,975,434	0.74
11. Mr Frank P Lowy	14,107,391	0.61
12. Bond Street Custodians Limited <ENH Property Securities A/C>	12,595,223	0.55
13. Cogent Nominees Pty Limited <SMP Accounts>	11,688,604	0.51
14. Citicorp Nominees Pty Limited <CFSIL Cw/lt Property 1 A/C>	10,787,666	0.47
15. RBC Dexia Investor Services Australia Nominees Pty Limited <APN A/C>	10,753,995	0.47
16. Citicorp Nominees Pty Limited <CFSIL CFS WS Indx Prop A/C>	10,470,995	0.45
17. Queensland Investment Corporation	7,462,325	0.32
18. Bond Street Custodians Limited <Property Securities A/C>	7,307,140	0.32
19. Amondi Pty Ltd <W E O P T A/C>	5,869,425	0.25
20. Citicorp Nominees Pty Limited <CISL LPT No 1 Account>	5,572,993	0.24
	1,855,996,893	80.40

\* Ordinary shares in Westfield Holdings Ltd were stapled to units in Westfield Trust and Westfield America Trust as part of the Merger.

The stapled securities trade on the Australian Securities Exchange under the code WDC.

#### Voting Rights

*Westfield Holdings Limited* – At a meeting of members, on a show of hands, every person present who is a member or representative of a member has one vote, and on a poll every member present in person or by proxy or attorney and every person who is a representative of a member has one vote for each share they hold or represent.

*Westfield Trust & Westfield America Trust* – At a meeting of members, on a show of hands, every person present who is a member or representative of a member has one vote, and on a poll, every member present in person or by proxy or attorney and every person who is a representative of a member has one vote for each dollar value of the total interest they have in the respective trusts.

#### Distribution Schedule

Category	No. of Options*	No. of Option Holders	No. of Stapled Securities**	No. of Security Holders	% of Securities in each Category
1 – 1,000	0	0	33,132,562	66,867	1.43
1,001 – 5,000	0	0	131,382,729	59,617	5.69
5,001 – 10,000	0	0	48,210,799	6,912	2.09
10,001 – 100,000	52,500	1	78,168,911	3,502	3.39
100,001 and over	27,608,709	3	2,018,093,538	260	87.40
<b>Total</b>	27,661,209	4	2,308,988,539	137,158	100.00

As at 25 February 2011, 5,077 security holders hold less than a marketable parcel of quoted securities in the Westfield Group.

The number of options on issue include options on issue by Westfield Holdings Limited, Westfield Trust and Westfield America Trust.

Under the stapling arrangements each entity is required to issue securities on the exercise of options in one of the other entities.

\* In addition, there are 27,661,209 options on issue to four subsidiaries of Westfield Holdings Limited. Due to the stapling structure of the Westfield Group, these options could not be exercised by these subsidiaries. The total number of options on issue at 25 February 2011 is 27,661,209.

\*\* There are 4,387,551 performance rights on issue to a total of 137 Westfield Group employees. Under the stapling arrangement each of Westfield Holdings Limited, Westfield Trust and Westfield America Trust is required to issue securities on the vesting of a performance right.

#### Substantial Securityholders

The names of the Westfield Group's substantial securityholders and the number of ordinary stapled securities in which each has a relevant interest, as disclosed in substantial shareholding notices given to the Westfield Group, are as follows:

Members of the Lowy family and associates	179,598,386
Vanguard Investments Australia Ltd	117,007,468
BlackRock Investment Management (Australia) Limited	147,243,880